Appendix 3 (as supplied by the authors): Supplementary Tables 3a–3f

Table 3a: The effect of opioid add-on therapy vs. optimization of therapy with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), for adult patients with chronic non-cancer pain (see https://www.magicapp.org/public/guideline/8nyb0E/pico/jXNPbn/widget?openOnLoad=1) Absolute effect estimates Relative risk (95%CI) Outcome (95%CI) Plain Language no. of patients and Quality of evidence Follow-up Summary studies **NSAIDs** Opioids RR 2.52 93 37 Gastrointestinal side (CI 95% 1.54 - 4.13) per 1000 per 1000 Opioid therapy results effects Based on data from High in a small increase in Difference: 56 more per 1000 3,675 patients in 7^a gastrointestinal side (CI 95% 20 more 116 more) studies 1-5 6 to 26 weeks effects Pain (10 cm VAS; Based on data from Opioid therapy may Low lower is better)* 2,250 patients in 13 Difference: MD 0.49 lower result in little or no Due to serious inconsistency^b, studies1-3, 5-13 (CI 95% 1.24 lower to 0.26 higher) difference in pain Due to serious imprecision^c 1 to 6 months compared to NSAIDS Physical function (0 Opioid therapy likely to 100-point SF-36 Based on data from results in little or no physical component 1,972 patients in 8 **Difference: MD 1.5 lower** Moderate difference in physical summary scale; studies^{2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 12-14} (CI 95% 3.08 lower to 0.08 higher) Due to serious imprecision^c function compared to higher is better)** NSAIDS 1 to 4 months Based on data from Opioid therapy likely Addiction results in an important 22,278 patients in 9 Risk of opioid addiction is 5.5% Moderate studies¹⁵⁻²³ Due to serious inconsistency^d follow-up not (95% CI 3.91 to 7.03%) risk of addiction reported Annual risk of fatal overdose is **Fatal Overdose** Based on data from Opioid therapy results 0.10% with <20mg MED/day 285,520 patients in 1 in a rare but important High 0.14% with 20-49mg MED/day study²⁴ median 2-6 years risk of fatal overdose 0.18% with 50-99mg MED/day 0.23% with >100 mg MED/day Based on data from Annual risk of non-fatal overdose is Opioid therapy likely Non-fatal overdose 9,940 patients in 1 0.2% with <20mg MED/day Moderate results in a small but study²⁵ 0.7% with 50-99mg MED/day Due to serious imprecision^e important risk of non-1 month to 10 years 0.8% with >100 mg MED/day fatal overdose Diversion Based on data from Among US adults, the prevalence Opioid therapy likely 472,200 patients in 1 of nonmedical use of prescription Moderate results in an important study²⁶ 1 year opioids was 4.9% (95% CI, 4.58%-Due to serious risk of bias^f risk of diversion 5.22%) in 2013.

Legend

a: Two cited papers each reported on two individual studies, therefore seven individual trials were reported by five references

b: The magnitude of statistical heterogeneity was high, with $I^2 = 94.5$ %

c: Wide confidence intervals which include benefit and harm

d: Point estimates varied substantially, from 0.7% to 15.7%

e: Small number of events

f: Response rate of 66%. Outcome was self-reported

Appendix to: Busse J, Craigie S, Juurlink D, et al. Guideline for opioid therapy and chronic noncancer pain. *CMAJ* 2017. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.170363. Copyright © 2017 Joule Inc. or its licensors * Minimally important difference for pain on a 10-cm visual analogue scale (VAS) is a reduction of 1 cm

** Minimally important difference for physical function on a 100-point SF-36 physical component summary score is an increase of 5 points MD: mean difference. Gastrointestinal side effects include nausea, vomiting, and constipation

patients with chroni	c non-cancer pain	herapy vs. optimization of the eline/8nyb0E/pico/L6Kl0n/wi		
Outcome follow-up	Relative risk (95%CI) no. of patients and studies	Absolute effect estimates (95%CI) Anticonvulsants Opioids	Quality of evidence	Plain Language Summary
Pain (difference in patients who achieve the MID or greater)* 4 to 6 weeks	RR 1.26 (CI 95% 1.05 to 1.42) Based on data from 303 patients in 3 studies ²⁷⁻²⁹	618 779 per 1000 per 1000 Difference: 161 more per 1000 (CI 95% 31 more to 260 more)	Low Due to serious risk of bias ^a , Due to serious imprecision ^b	Opioid therapy may result in a large increase in the proportion of patients who achieve a 1 cn reduction on a 10-cm VAS compared to anticonvulsants
Gastrointestinal side effects 4 to 6 weeks	RR 10.64 (CI 95% 2.01 to 56.24) Based on data from 303 patients in 3 studies ²⁷⁻²⁹	6 64 per 1000 per 1000 Difference: 58 more per 1000 (CI 95% 6 more to 331 more)	Low Due to serious risk of bias ^a , Due to serious imprecision ^c	Opioid therapy may result in an increase in gastrointestinal side effects compared to anticonvulsants
Pain (10 cm VAS; lower is better)* 4 to 6 weeks	Based on data from 303 patients in 3 studies ²⁷⁻²⁹	Difference: MD 0.9 lower (CI 95% 1.65 lower to 0.14 lower)	Low Due to serious risk of bias ^a , Due to serious imprecision ^b	Opioid therapy may result in a small but important improvement in pain compared to anticonvulsants
Physical function (0 to 100-point SF-36 physical component summary scale; higher is better)** 4 to 6 weeks	Based on data from 303 patients in 3 studies ²⁷⁻²⁹	Difference: MD 0.45 higher (CI 95% 5.77 lower to 6.66 higher)	Low Due to serious risk of bias ^a , Due to serious imprecision ^d	Opioids may result in little to no difference in physical function compared to anticonvulsants
Addiction follow-up not reported	Based on data from 22,278 patients in 9 studies ¹⁵⁻²³	Risk of opioid addiction is 5.5% (95% CI 3.91 to 7.03%)	Moderate Due to serious inconsistency ^e	Opioid therapy likely results in an important risk of addiction
Fatal overdose median 2.6 years	Based on data from 285,520 patients in 1 study ²⁴	Annual risk of fatal overdose is 0.10% with <20mg MED/day 0.14% with 20-49mg MED/day 0.18% with 50-99mg MED/day 0.23% with >100 mg MED/day	High	Opioid therapy results in a rare but important risk of fatal overdose
Non-fatal overdose 1 month to 10 years	Based on data from 9,940 patients in 1 study ²⁵	Annual risk of non-fatal overdose is 0.2% with <20mg MED/day 0.7% with 50-99mg MED/day 0.8% with >100 mg MED/day	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ^f	Opioid therapy likely results in a small but important risk of non-fatal overdose
Diversion 1 year	Based on data from 472,200 patients in 1 study ²⁶	Among US adults, the prevalence of nonmedical use of prescription opioids was 4.9% (95% CI 4.58% to 5.22%) in 2013	Moderate Due to serious risk of bias ^g	Opioid therapy likely results in an important risk of diversion

Legend

a: Two out of three studies (Sakai et al. 2015, Ko et al. 2010) had no allocation concealment and no blinding

b: Confidence interval includes both important benefit and no clinically meaningful effect

Appendix to: Busse J, Craigie S, Juurlink D, et al. Guideline for opioid therapy and chronic noncancer pain. *CMAJ* 2017. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.170363. Copyright © 2017 Joule Inc. or its licensors c: Wide confidence intervals

d: Confidence interval includes both benefit and harm

e: Point estimates varied substantially, from 0.7% to 15.7%

f: Small number of events

g: Response rate of 66%. Outcome was self-reported

* Minimally important difference for pain on a 10-cm visual analogue scale (VAS) is a reduction of 1 cm

** Minimally important difference for physical function on a 100-point SF-36 physical component summary score is an increase of 5 points

MD: mean difference. Gastrointestinal side effects include nausea, vomiting, and constipation.

 Table 3c: The effect of opioid add-on therapy vs. optimization of therapy with tricyclic antidepressants, for adult patients with chronic non-cancer pain (https://www.magicapp.org/public/guideline/8nyb0E/pico/EdV6zL/widget?openOnLoad=1)

 Outcome follow-up
 no. of patients and studies
 Absolute effect estimates (95%CI)
 Quality of evidence
 Plain Language Summary

follow-up	studies	Antidepressants Opioids		Summary
Pain (10 cm VAS; lower is better)* 5 to 8 weeks	Based on data from 183 patients in 3 studies	Difference: MD 0.15 lower (CI 95% 1.04 lower to 0.74 higher) Low Due to serious risk of bias ^a , Due to serious imprecision ^b		Opioids may result in little to no difference in pain compared to tricyclic antidepressants
Physical function (0 to 100-point SF- 36 physical component summary scale; higher is better)** 5 to 6 weeks	Based on data from 107 patients in 2 studies ^{30, 31}	Difference: MD 5.29 lower (CI 95% 13.7 lower to 3.12 higher)	Low Due to serious risk of bias ^a , Due to serious imprecision ^b	Opioids may result in little to no difference in physical function compared to tricyclic antidepressants
Addiction follow-up not reported	Based on data from 22,278 patients in 9 studies 22,278 patients in 9 studies ¹⁵⁻²³	Risk of opioid addiction is 5.5% (95% CI 3.91 to 7.03%)	Moderate Due to serious inconsistency ^c	Opioid therapy likely results in an important risk of addiction
Fatal overdose median 2.6 years	Based on data from 285,520 patients in 1 study ²⁴	Annual risk of fatal overdose is 0.10% with <20mg MED/day 0.14% with 20-49mg MED/day 0.18% with 50-99mg MED/day 0.23% with >100 mg MED/day	High	Opioid therapy results in a rare but important risk of fatal overdose
Non-fatal overdose 1 month to 10 years	Based on data from 9,940 patients in 1 study ²⁵	Annual risk of non-fatal overdose is 0.2% with <20mg MED/day 0.7% with 50-99mg MED/day 0.8% with >100 mg MED/day	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ^d	Opioid therapy likely results in a small but important risk of non- fatal overdose
Diversion 1 year	Based on data from 472,200 patients in 1 study ²⁶	Among US adults, the prevalence of nonmedical use of prescription opioids was 4.9% (95% CI 4.58% to 5.22%) in 2013	Moderate Due to serious risk of bias ^e	Opioid therapy likely results in an important risk of diversion

Legend

a: High loss to follow-up in all studies (>25%)

b: Confidence interval includes benefit and harm

c: Point estimates varied substantially, from 0.7% to 15.7%

d: Small number of events

e: Response rate of 66%. Outcome was self-reported

* Minimally important difference for pain on a 10-cm visual analogue scale (VAS) is a reduction of 1 cm

** Minimally important difference for physical function on a 100-point SF-36 physical component summary score is an increase of 5 points Appendix to: Busse J, Craigie S, Juurlink D, et al. Guideline for opioid therapy and chronic noncancer pain.

CMAJ2017. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.170363. Copyright © 2017 Joule Inc. or its licensors

Table 3d: The effect of opioid add-on therapy vs. optimization of therapy with nabilone, for adult patients with chronic non-cancer pain

(https://www.magicapp.org/public/guideline/8nyb0E/pico/jNB77j/widget?openOnLoad=1)

Outcome follow-up	no. of patients and studies	Absolute effect estimates (95%CI) Trial of opioids Nabilone	Quality of evidence	Plain Language Summary
Pain (10 cm VAS; lower is better)* 6 weeks	Based on data from 73 patients in 1 study ³²	Difference: MD 0.13 lower (CI 95% 1.04 lower to 0.77 higher)	Low Due to serious risk of bias ^a , Due to serious imprecision ^b	Opioids may result in little to no difference in pain compared to nabilone
Physical function (0 to 100-point SF- 36 physical component summary scale; higher is better)** 6 weeks	Based on data from 71 patients in 1 study ³²	Difference: MD 1.2 lower (CI 95% 4.5 lower to 2.1 higher)	Low Due to serious risk of bias ^a , Due to serious imprecision ^b	Opioids may result in little to no difference in physical function compared to nabilone

Legend

a: Did not report randomization or allocation; loss to follow-up was 33%

b: Confidence interval includes benefit and harm

* Minimally important difference for pain on a 10-cm visual analogue scale (VAS) is a reduction of 1 cm

** Minimally important difference for physical function on a 100-point SF-36 physical component summary score is an increase of 5 points MD: mean difference

Table 3e: The effect of opioid add-on therapy vs. optimization of therapy with mexiletine, for adult patients with chronic non-cancer pain

(https://www.magicapp.org/public/guideline/8nyb0E/pico/Ev1zan/widget?openOnLoad=1)

Outcome follow-up	no. of patients and studies	Absolute effect estimates (95%CI) Mexiletine Trial of opioids	Quality of evidence	Plain Language Summary
Pain (10 cm VAS; lower is better)* 2 months	Based on data from 60 patients in 1 study ³³	Difference: MD 1.3 lower (CI 95% 2.15 lower to 0.45 lower	Moderate Due to serious risk of bias ^a	Opioid therapy likely results in a small but important improvement in pain compared to mexiletine

Legend

a: Loss to follow-up was 42%

* Minimally important difference for pain on a 10-cm visual analogue scale (VAS) is a reduction of 1 cm MD: mean difference

Table 3f: The effect of opioid add-on therapy, vs. continued non-opioid therapy, for adult patients with chronic non-cancer pain, without current or past substance use disorder and without other current active psychiatric disorders, whose therapy is optimized with non-opioids with persistent problematic pain (https://www.magicapp.org/public/guideline/8nyb0E/pico/Lrqbwj/widget?openOnLoad=1)

		Absolute offset estimates (050/ CT)		
Outcome follow-up	Relative risk (95%CI) no. of studies (patients)	Absolute effect estimates (95%CI) continuing established therapy without opioids opioid therapy	Quality of evidence	Plain Language Summary
Pain (difference in patients who achieve the MID or greater)* 3 to 6 months	RR 1.25 (CI 95% 1.21 to 1.29) Based on data from 13,876 patients in 27 studies ^{5, 34-59}	448 560 per 1000 per 1000 Difference: 112 more per 1000 (CI 95% 94 more to 130 more)	High	Opioid add-on therapy results in a small but important increase in the proportion of patients who will achieve a 1 cm reduction of pain on a 10 cm VAS compared with placebo
Physical function (difference in patients who achieve the MID or greater)** 1 to 6 months	RR 1.24 (CI 95% 1.17 to 1.3) Based on data from 12,058 patients in 33 studies. ^{5, 27, 34-36, 38, 39, 41,} 42, 44-48, 50, 51, 53, 55-57, 59-71	424 526 per 1000 per 1000 Difference: 102 more per 1000 (CI 95% 72 more to 127 more)	High	Opioid add-on therapy results in a small but important increase in the proportion of patients who will achieve 5 point increase on the SF-36 physical component summary scale compared with placebo.
Gastrointestinal side effects 1 to 6 months	RR 3.08 (CI 95% 2.53 to 3.75) Based on data from 14,449 patients in 36 studies. ^{5, 34-38, 40-44, 46, 47, 51-55, 57, 59, 62-66, 69-79}	28 86 per 1000 per 1000 Difference: 58 more per 1000 (CI 95% 43 more to 77 more)	High	Opioid add-on therapy results in an increase in gastrointestinal side effects
Pain (10 cm VAS; lower is better) 3 to 6 months	Based on data from: 13,876 patients in 27 studies. ^{5, 34-59}	Difference: MD 0.64 lower (CI 95% 0.76 lower to 0.53 lower)	High	Opioid add-on therapy results in a small but important improvement in pain
Physical function (0 to 100-point SF-36 physical component summary scale; higher is better) 1 to 6 months	Based on data from: 12,058 patients in 33 studies. ^{5, 27, 34-36, 38, 39, 41,} 42, 44-48, 50, 51, 53, 55-57, 59-71	Difference: MD 2.16 higher (CI 95% 1.56 higher to 2.76 higher)	High	Opioid add-on therapy results in a small but important improvement in physical function
Addiction not reported	Based on data from 22,278 patients in 9 studies ¹⁵⁻²³	Risk of opioid addiction is 5.5% (95% CI 3.91 to 7.03%)	Moderate Due to serious inconsistency ^a	Opioid add-on therapy likely results in an important risk of addiction
Fatal overdose median 2.6 years	Based on data from 285,520 patients in 1 study ²⁴	Annual risk of fatal overdose is 0.10% with <20mg MED/day 0.14% with 20-49mg MED/day 0.18% with 50-99mg MED/day 0.23% with >100 mg MED/day	High	Opioid add-on therapy results in a rare but important risk of fatal overdose
Non-fatal overdose 1 to 119 months	Based on data from 9,940 patients in 1 study ²⁵	Annual risk of non-fatal overdose is 0.2% with <20mg MED/day 0.7% with 50-99mg MED/day 0.8% with >100 mg MED/day	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ^b	Opioid add-on therapy likely results in a small but important increase in the risk of non-fatal overdose
Diversion	Based on data from	Among US adults, the prevalence of	Moderate	Opioid therapy likely

Appendix to: Busse J, Craigie S, Juurlink D, et al. Guideline for opioid therapy and chronic noncancer pain. *CMAJ*2017. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.170363. Copyright © 2017 Joule Inc. or its licensors

12 months	472,200 patients in 1 study ²⁶	nonmedical use of prescription opioids was 4.9% (95% CI, 4.6% to 5.2%) in 2013	Due to serious risk of bias ^c	results in an important risk of diversion
		5.2%) III 2015		

Legend

a: Point estimates varied substantially, from 0.7% to 15.7%

b: Small number of events

c: Response rate of 66%. Outcome was self-reported

* Minimally important difference for pain on a 10-cm visual analogue scale (VAS) is a reduction of 1 cm.

** Minimally important difference for physical function on a 100-point short form-36 (SF-36) physical component summary score is an increase of 5-points.

MD: mean difference. Gastrointestinal side effects include nausea, vomiting, and constipation

References

- 1. Salzman RT, Brobyn RD. Long-term comparison of suprofen and propoxyphene in patients with osteoarthritis. Pharmacology. 1983;27 Suppl 1:55-64.
- 2. Pavelka Jr K, Peliskova Z, Stehlikova H, Repas C. Comparison of the effectiveness of tramadol and diclofenac in the symptomatic treatment of osteoarthritis. [Czech]. Ceska Revmatologie. 1995;3(4):171-6.
- 3. Beaulieu AD, Peloso PM, Haraoui B, Bensen W, Thomson G, Wade J, et al. Once-daily, controlled-release tramadol and sustained-release diclofenac relieve chronic pain due to osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. Pain Res Manag. 2008;13(2):103-10.
- 4. O'Donnell JB, Ekman EF, Spalding WM, Bhadra P, McCabe D, Berger MF. The effectiveness of a weak opioid medication versus a cyclo-oxygenase-2 (COX-2) selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug in treating flare-up of chronic low-back pain: results from two randomized, double-blind, 6-week studies. J Int Med Res. 2009;37(6):1789-802.
- 5. DeLemos BP, Xiang J, Benson C, Gana TJ, Pascual ML, Rosanna R, et al. Tramadol hydrochloride extended-release once-daily in the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee and/or hip: a double-blind, randomized, dose-ranging trial. Am J Ther. 2011;18(3):216-26.
- 6. Vlok GJ, van Vuren JP. Comparison of a standard ibuprofen treatment regimen with a new ibuprofen/paracetamol/codeine combination in chronic osteo-arthritis. S Afr Med J. 1987;Suppl:1, 4-6.
- 7. Parr G, Darekar B, Fletcher A, Bulpitt CJ. Joint pain and quality of life; results of a randomised trial. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 1989;27(2):235-42.
- 8. Jamison RN, Raymond SA, Slawsby EA, Nedeljkovic SS, Katz NP. Opioid therapy for chronic noncancer back pain. A randomized prospective study. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1998;23(23):2591-600.
- 9. Liu GH, Liu JM. Efficacy of oxycodone-acetamainophen on postherpetic neuralgia in patients with zoster. [Chinese]. Chinese Journal of New Drugs. 2009;18(8):722-3+40.
- 10. Qin L, Jiang F, Hu XQ. Effect of treating fibromyalgia syndrome with the combination of Tramadol and Amitriptyline. Chinese Journal of Rural Medicine and Pharmacy [zhong Guo Xiang Cun Yi Yao za Zhi]. 2009;16(3).
- 11. Kim S, Ryou J, Hur J. Comparison of Effectiveness and Safety of Tramadol/Acetaminophen and Non-steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs) for Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis in Elderly Patients. Journal of Rheumatic Diseases [Internet]. 2012; 19(1):[25-9 pp.]. Available from: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/o/cochrane/clcentral/articles/399/CN-01045399/frame.html.
- 12. Park KS, Choi JJ, Kim WU, Min JK, Park SH, Cho CS. The efficacy of tramadol/acetaminophen combination tablets (Ultracet(R)) as add-on and maintenance therapy in knee osteoarthritis pain inadequately controlled by nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID). Clin Rheumatol. 2012;31(2):317-23.
- 13. Tetsunaga T, Tetsunaga T, Tanaka M, Ozaki T. Efficacy of tramadol-acetaminophen tablets in low back pain patients with depression. J Orthop Sci. 2015;20(2):281-6.
- 14. Pavelka K, Peliskova Z, Stehlikova H, Ratcliffe S, Repas C. Intraindividual differences in pain relief and functional improvement in osteoarthritis with diclofenac or tramadol. Clin Drug Investig. 1998;16(6):421-9.
- 15. Banta-Green CJ, Merrill JO, Doyle SR, Boudreau DM, Calsyn DA. Opioid use behaviors, mental health and pain-development of a typology of chronic pain patients. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2009;104(1-2):34-42.
- 16. Manchikanti L, Pampati V, Damron KS, Beyer CD, Barnhill RC, Fellows B. Prevalence of prescription drug abuse and dependency in patients with chronic pain in western Kentucky. J Ky Med Assoc. 2003;101(11):511-7.
- 17. Adams EH, Breiner S, Cicero TJ, Geller A, Inciardi JA, Schnoll SH, et al. A comparison of the abuse liability of tramadol, NSAIDs, and hydrocodone in patients with chronic pain. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2006;31(5):465-76.
- 18. Cowan DT, Wilson-Barnett J, Griffiths P, Allan LG. A survey of chronic noncancer pain patients prescribed opioid analgesics. Pain Med. 2003;4(4):340-51.
- 19. Edlund MJ, Sullivan M, Steffick D, Harris KM, Wells KB. Do users of regularly prescribed opioids have higher rates of substance use problems than nonusers? Pain Med. 2007;8(8):647-56.
- 20. Fleming MF, Davis J, Passik SD. Reported lifetime aberrant drug-taking behaviors are predictive of current substance use and mental health problems in primary care patients. Pain Med. 2008;9(8):1098-106.

- 21. Hojsted J, Nielsen PR, Guldstrand SK, Frich L, Sjogren P. Classification and identification of opioid addiction in chronic pain patients. Eur J Pain. 2010;14(10):1014-20.
- 22. Passik SD, Messina J, Golsorkhi A, Xie F. Aberrant drug-related behavior observed during clinical studies involving patients taking chronic opioid therapy for persistent pain and fentanyl buccal tablet for breakthrough pain. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2011;41(1):116-25.
- 23. Schneider JP, Kirsh KL. Defining clinical issues around tolerance, hyperalgesia, and addiction: a quantitative and qualitative outcome study of long-term opioid dosing in a chronic pain practice. J Opioid Manag. 2010;6(6):385-95.
- 24. Kaplovitch E, Gomes T, Camacho X, Dhalla IA, Mamdani MM, Juurlink DN. Sex Differences in Dose Escalation and Overdose Death during Chronic Opioid Therapy: A Population-Based Cohort Study. PLoS One. 2015;10(8):e0134550.
- 25. Dunn KM, Saunders KW, Rutter CM, Banta-Green CJ, Merrill JO, Sullivan MD, et al. Opioid prescriptions for chronic pain and overdose: a cohort study. Ann Intern Med. 2010;152(2):85-92.
- 26. Han B, Compton WM, Jones CM, Cai R. Nonmedical Prescription Opioid Use and Use Disorders Among Adults Aged 18 Through 64 Years in the United States, 2003-2013. JAMA. 2015;314(14):1468-78.
- 27. Gilron I, Bailey JM, Tu D, Holden RR, Weaver DF, Houlden RL. Morphine, gabapentin, or their combination for neuropathic pain. N Engl J Med. 2005;352(13):1324-34.
- 28. Sakai Y, Ito K, Hida T, Ito S, Harada A. Pharmacological management of chronic low back pain in older patients: a randomized controlled trial of the effect of pregabalin and opioid administration. Eur Spine J. 2015;24(6):1309-17.
- 29. Ko SH, Kwon HS, Yu JM, Baik SH, Park IB, Lee JH, et al. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of tramadol/acetaminophen combination therapy and gabapentin in the treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy. Diabet Med. 2010;27(9):1033-40.
- 30. Khoromi S, Cui L, Nackers L, Max MB. Morphine, nortriptyline and their combination vs. placebo in patients with chronic lumbar root pain. Pain. 2007;130(1-2):66-75.
- 31. Gilron I, Tu D, Holden RR, Jackson AC, DuMerton-Shore D. Combination of morphine with nortriptyline for neuropathic pain. Pain. 2015;156(8):1440-8.
- 32. Frank B, Serpell MG, Hughes J, Matthews JN, Kapur D. Comparison of analgesic effects and patient tolerability of nabilone and dihydrocodeine for chronic neuropathic pain: randomised, crossover, double blind study. BMJ. 2008;336(7637):199-201.
- 33. Wu CL, Agarwal S, Tella PK, Klick B, Clark MR, Haythornthwaite JA, et al. Morphine versus mexiletine for treatment of postamputation pain: a randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover trial. Anesthesiology. 2008;109(2):289-96.
- 34. Afilalo M, Etropolski MS, Kuperwasser B, Kelly K, Okamoto A, Van Hove I, et al. Efficacy and safety of Tapentadol extended release compared with oxycodone controlled release for the management of moderate to severe chronic pain related to osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized, double-blind, placebo- and active-controlled phase III study. Clin Drug Investig. 2010;30(8):489-505.
- 35. Bennett RM, Kamin M, Karim R, Rosenthal N. Tramadol and acetaminophen combination tablets in the treatment of fibromyalgia pain: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. Am J Med. 2003;114(7):537-45.
- 36. Breivik H, Ljosaa TM, Stengaard-Pedersen K, Persson J, Aro H, Villumsen J, et al. A 6-months, randomised, placebo-controlled evaluation of efficacy and tolerability of a low-dose 7-day buprenorphine transdermal patch in osteoarthritis patients naive to potent opioids. Scandinavian Journal of Pain. 2010;1(3):122-41.
- Burch F, Fishman R, Messina N, Corser B, Radulescu F, Sarbu A, et al. A comparison of the analgesic efficacy of Tramadol Contramid OAD versus placebo in patients with pain due to osteoarthritis. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2007;34(3):328-38.
- 38. Buynak R, Shapiro DY, Okamoto A, Van Hove I, Rauschkolb C, Steup A, et al. Efficacy and safety of tapentadol extended release for the management of chronic low back pain: results of a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo- and active-controlled Phase III study. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2010;11(11):1787-804.

- 39. Emkey R, Rosenthal N, Wu SC, Jordan D, Kamin M. Efficacy and safety of tramadol/acetaminophen tablets (Ultracet) as add-on therapy for osteoarthritis pain in subjects receiving a COX-2 nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Rheumatol. 2004;31(1):150-6.
- 40. Friedmann N, Klutzaritz V, Webster L. Efficacy and safety of an extended-release oxycodone (Remoxy) formulation in patients with moderate to severe osteoarthritic pain. J Opioid Manag. 2011;7(3):193-202.
- 41. Gana TJ, Pascual ML, Fleming RR, Schein JR, Janagap CC, Xiang J, et al. Extended-release tramadol in the treatment of osteoarthritis: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Curr Med Res Opin. 2006;22(7):1391-401.
- 42. Hale ME, Zimmerman TR, Eyal E, Malamut R. Efficacy and safety of a hydrocodone extended-release tablet formulated with abuse-deterrence technology in patients with moderate-to-severe chronic low back pain. J Opioid Manag. 2015;11(6):507-18.
- 43. Hanna M, O'Brien C, Wilson MC. Prolonged-release oxycodone enhances the effects of existing gabapentin therapy in painful diabetic neuropathy patients. Eur J Pain. 2008;12(6):804-13.
- 44. Katz N, Hale M, Morris D, Stauffer J. Morphine sulfate and naltrexone hydrochloride extended release capsules in patients with chronic osteoarthritis pain. Postgrad Med. 2010;122(4):112-28.
- 45. Katz N, Kopecky EA, O'Connor M, Brown RH, Fleming AB. A phase 3, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, safety, tolerability, and efficacy study of Xtampza ER in patients with moderate-to-severe chronic low back pain. Pain. 2015;156(12):2458-67.
- 46. Peloso PM, Fortin L, Beaulieu A, Kamin M, Rosenthal N. Analgesic efficacy and safety of tramadol/ acetaminophen combination tablets (Ultracet) in treatment of chronic low back pain: a multicenter, outpatient, randomized, double blind, placebo controlled trial. J Rheumatol. 2004;31(12):2454-63.
- 47. Rauck R, Rapoport R, Thipphawong J. Results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled, fixed-dose assessment of once-daily OROS(R) hydromorphone ER in patients with moderate to severe pain associated with chronic osteoarthritis. Pain Pract. 2013;13(1):18-29.
- 48. Rauck RL, Hale ME, Bass A, Bramson C, Pixton G, Wilson JG, et al. A randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled efficacy and safety study of ALO-02 (extended-release oxycodone surrounding sequestered naltrexone) for moderate-to-severe chronic low back pain treatment. Pain. 2015;156(9):1660-9.
- 49. Rauck RL, Nalamachu S, Wild JE, Walker GS, Robinson CY, Davis CS, et al. Single-entity hydrocodone extendedrelease capsules in opioid-tolerant subjects with moderate-to-severe chronic low back pain: a randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Pain Med. 2014;15(6):975-85.
- 50. Rauck RL, Potts J, Xiang Q, Tzanis E, Finn A. Efficacy and tolerability of buccal buprenorphine in opioid-naive patients with moderate to severe chronic low back pain. Postgrad Med. 2016;128(1):1-11.
- 51. Ruoff GE, Rosenthal N, Jordan D, Karim R, Kamin M. Tramadol/acetaminophen combination tablets for the treatment of chronic lower back pain: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled outpatient study. Clin Ther. 2003;25(4):1123-41.
- 52. Schwartz S, Etropolski M, Shapiro DY, Okamoto A, Lange R, Haeussler J, et al. Safety and efficacy of tapentadol ER in patients with painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy: results of a randomized-withdrawal, placebocontrolled trial. Curr Med Res Opin. 2011;27(1):151-62.
- 53. Steiner DJ, Sitar S, Wen W, Sawyerr G, Munera C, Ripa SR, et al. Efficacy and safety of the seven-day buprenorphine transdermal system in opioid-naive patients with moderate to severe chronic low back pain: an enriched, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2011;42(6):903-17.
- 54. Trenkwalder C, Chaudhuri KR, Martinez-Martin P, Rascol O, Ehret R, Valis M, et al. Prolonged-release oxycodonenaloxone for treatment of severe pain in patients with Parkinson's disease (PANDA): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Neurol. 2015;14(12):1161-70.
- 55. Vinik AI, Shapiro DY, Rauschkolb C, Lange B, Karcher K, Pennett D, et al. A randomized withdrawal, placebocontrolled study evaluating the efficacy and tolerability of tapentadol extended release in patients with chronic painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Diabetes Care. 2014;37(8):2302-9.
- 56. Vojtassak J, Vojtassak J, Jacobs A, Rynn L, Waechter S, Richarz U. A Phase IIIb, Multicentre, Randomised, Parallel-Group, Placebo-Controlled, Double-Blind Study to Investigate the Efficacy and Safety of OROS Hydromorphone in Subjects with Moderate-to-Severe Chronic Pain Induced by Osteoarthritis of the Hip or the Knee. Pain Res Treat. 2011;2011:239501.

- 57. Vorsanger GJ, Xiang J, Gana TJ, Pascual ML, Fleming RR. Extended-release tramadol (tramadol ER) in the treatment of chronic low back pain. J Opioid Manag. 2008;4(2):87-97.
- 58. Webster LR, Butera PG, Moran LV, Wu N, Burns LH, Friedmann N. Oxytrex minimizes physical dependence while providing effective analgesia: a randomized controlled trial in low back pain. J Pain. 2006;7(12):937-46.
- 59. Wen W, Sitar S, Lynch SY, He E, Ripa SR. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to assess the efficacy and safety of single-entity, once-daily hydrocodone tablets in patients with uncontrolled moderate to severe chronic low back pain. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2015;16(11):1593-606.
- 60. Caldwell JR, Rapoport RJ, Davis JC, Offenberg HL, Marker HW, Roth SH, et al. Efficacy and safety of a once-daily morphine formulation in chronic, moderate-to-severe osteoarthritis pain: results from a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial and an open-label extension trial. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2002;23(4):278-91.
- 61. Chu LF, D'Arcy N, Brady C, Zamora AK, Young CA, Kim JE, et al. Analgesic tolerance without demonstrable opioidinduced hyperalgesia: a double-blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of sustained-release morphine for treatment of chronic nonradicular low-back pain. Pain. 2012;153(8):1583-92.
- 62. Cloutier C, Taliano J, O'Mahony W, Csanadi M, Cohen G, Sutton I, et al. Controlled-release oxycodone and naloxone in the treatment of chronic low back pain: a placebo-controlled, randomized study. Pain Res Manag. 2013;18(2):75-82.
- Freeman R, Raskin P, Hewitt DJ, Vorsanger GJ, Jordan DM, Xiang J, et al. Randomized study of tramadol/acetaminophen versus placebo in painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Curr Med Res Opin. 2007;23(1):147-61.
- 64. Gordon A, Callaghan D, Spink D, Cloutier C, Dzongowski P, O'Mahony W, et al. Buprenorphine transdermal system in adults with chronic low back pain: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study, followed by an open-label extension phase. Clin Ther. 2010;32(5):844-60.
- 65. Gordon A, Rashiq S, Moulin DE, Clark AJ, Beaulieu AD, Eisenhoffer J, et al. Buprenorphine transdermal system for opioid therapy in patients with chronic low back pain. Pain Res Manag. 2010;15(3):169-78.
- 66. Hale M, Khan A, Kutch M, Li S. Once-daily OROS hydromorphone ER compared with placebo in opioid-tolerant patients with chronic low back pain. Curr Med Res Opin. 2010;26(6):1505-18.
- 67. Lee JH, Lee CS. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of the extended-release tramadol hydrochloride/acetaminophen fixed-dose combination tablet for the treatment of chronic low back pain. Clin Ther. 2013;35(11):1830-40.
- 68. Ma K, Jiang W, Zhou Q, Du DP. The efficacy of oxycodone for management of acute pain episodes in chronic neck pain patients. Int J Clin Pract. 2008;62(2):241-7.
- 69. Matsumoto AK, Babul N, Ahdieh H. Oxymorphone extended-release tablets relieve moderate to severe pain and improve physical function in osteoarthritis: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo- and active-controlled phase III trial. Pain Med. 2005;6(5):357-66.
- 70. Thorne C, Beaulieu AD, Callaghan DJ, O'Mahony WF, Bartlett JM, Knight R, et al. A randomized, double-blind, crossover comparison of the efficacy and safety of oral controlled-release tramadol and placebo in patients with painful osteoarthritis. Pain Res Manag. 2008;13(2):93-102.
- 71. Zin CS, Nissen LM, O'Callaghan JP, Duffull SB, Smith MT, Moore BJ. A randomized, controlled trial of oxycodone versus placebo in patients with postherpetic neuralgia and painful diabetic neuropathy treated with pregabalin. J Pain. 2010;11(5):462-71.
- 72. Boureau F, Legallicier P, Kabir-Ahmadi M. Tramadol in post-herpetic neuralgia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Pain. 2003;104(1-2):323-31.
- 73. Fleischmann RM, Caldwell JR, Roth SH, Tesser JRP, Olson W, Kamin M. Tramadol for the treatment of joint pain associated with osteoarthritis: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Current Therapeutic Research Clinical and Experimental. 2001;62(2):113-28.
- 74. Gimbel JS, Richards P, Portenoy RK. Controlled-release oxycodone for pain in diabetic neuropathy: a randomized controlled trial. Neurology. 2003;60(6):927-34.
- 75. Langford R, McKenna F, Ratcliffe S, Vojtassak J, Richarz U. Transdermal fentanyl for improvement of pain and functioning in osteoarthritis: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Arthritis Rheum. 2006;54(6):1829-37.
- 76. Mangel AW, Bornstein JD, Hamm LR, Buda J, Wang J, Irish W, et al. Clinical trial: asimadoline in the treatment of patients with irritable bowel syndrome. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2008;28(2):239-49.

- Munera C, Drehobl M, Sessler NE, Landau C. A randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blinded, parallel-group,
 5-week study of buprenorphine transdermal system in adults with osteoarthritis. J Opioid Manag. 2010;6(3):193-202.
- 78. Norrbrink C, Lundeberg T. Tramadol in neuropathic pain after spinal cord injury: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Clin J Pain. 2009;25(3):177-84.
- 79. Vondrackova D, Leyendecker P, Meissner W, Hopp M, Szombati I, Hermanns K, et al. Analgesic efficacy and safety of oxycodone in combination with naloxone as prolonged release tablets in patients with moderate to severe chronic pain. J Pain. 2008;9(12):1144-54.