word count: 67,539



Preparation of this data base was made possible in part by the financial support of the

National Institute of Chiropractic Research

2950 North Seventh Street, Suite 200, Phoenix AZ 85014 USA (602) 224-0296; www.nicr.org

Chronology of J. STANLEY HAYES, D.C.

Joseph C. Keating, Jr., Ph.D. 6135 N. Central Avenue, Phoenix AZ 85012 USA (602) 264-3182; JCKeating@aol.com

Color Code:

Red & Magenta: questionable or uncertain information

Green: for emphasis

filename: Hayes Chrono 03/07/29

Potential Sources:

Arizona Association of Chiropractic, 5060 N. 19th Avenue, Suite 413, Phoenix AZ 85015; (602-246-0664; FAX: 602-246-2906)

Berner, Elmer, DC; 611 Eggert Road, Buffalo NY 14215 (see letter, 8/10/95) DECEASED

DiPietro, James J., DC; 3855 E. Calle Cortez, Tucson AZ 85718 (520-327-5896)

Hamman, Glen, DC; (may be dead), in Casa Grand AZ, was acquaintance of Stanley Hayes

Himmelstein, Steve, DC; retired in Tucson (W: 602- or 520-747-1116), office might have residence number

Kalas, Bill, DC; Glendale AZ (straight DC)

Krasner, Charles, DC; 77 S Park Ave., Apt B-17, Rockville Centre, NY 11570

Markson, Barry, DC (brother of Larry Markson DC); Tucson AZ; (602-881-8100)

Anon Rowe, D.C., Tucson (Stanley Hayes' nephew)

Rudnick, Leonard, DC; 570 N. Columbus Blvd, Tucson AZ (520-323-8989); didn't know Hayes

Schlabach, Leila, DC

Smith, Marvin, DC; 15th Avenue, Phoenix (straight DC)

Year/Volume Index to the Journal of the National Chiropractic Association (1949-1963), formerly National Chiropractic Journal (1939-1948), formerly The Chiropractic Journal (1933-1938),

formerly Journal of the International Chiropractic Congress (1931-1932) and Journal of the National Chiropractic Association (1930-1932):

1,02,1							
Year	Vol.	Year	Vol.	Year	Vol.	Year	Vol.
		1941	10	1951	21	1961	31
		1942	11	1952	22	1962	32
1933	1	1943	12	1953	23	1963	33
1934	3	1944	14	1954	24		
1935	4	1945	15	1955	25		
1936	5	1946	16	1956	26		
1937	6	1947	17	1957	27		
1938	7	1948	18	1958	28		
1939	8	1949	19	1959	29		
1940	9	1950	20	1960	30		

c1890: Stanley Hayes is born, according to letter from J. Lewis Fenner, D.C. dated 63/01/19, congratulating Hayes for reaching age 72 (Hayes collection)

1905: letter (5/7/65) from Stanley Hayes DC to Dave Palmer (Hayes collection; Dave Palmer folder) notes:

...I have been intensely interested in chiropractic since 1905, when it restored my health -- and probably saved my life. I have practiced chiropractic since 1922. I have read practically everything that has been written about it -- which included many tons of trash. I watched the profession grow from less than 50 chiropractors to about 12,000 in 1930, since which time its growth has greatly diminished.

1923 (Feb): National (College) Journal of Chiropractic [11(6)]:

-Stanley Hayes DC authors "Valedictory," which was his presentation at graduation exercises at National College of Chiropractic (pp. 5-8)

1923 (June): National (College) Journal of Chiropractic [10(11)] includes:

-Stanley Hayes, D.C. (U.C.C. 1922) authors "Affirmative argument" (pp. 22-5) debates the affirmation "That Chiropractic is more efficient than medication in the cure of human ailments"; Hayes and ER Barry DC argue in the affirmative while A Watten DC and A. Budden DC argue in the negative

1923 (July 6): letter to Stanley Hayes DC, at 3144 N. California Ave., Chicago, from Frank W. Elliott DC, Treasurer and Business Manager of the Universal Chiropractors' Association on UCA stationery (Hayes collection; in my Elliott folder):

Dear Doctor:

You certainly have been incorrectly informed by some one telling you that the U.C.A. would not permit a Chiropractor to become a member of the **U.C.A.**

The first question you ask, viz., "Does the U.C.A. protect any Chiropractor holding an O.P. license, etc?" Any Chiropractor holding an O.P. license will be protected by the U.C.A. Dr. Seubold of Chicago is a shining example of one and he has been honored by being elected Vice President of this Association. One holding a license to practice without drugs or surgery, according to the laws of your state and for which you hold a license could not or would not be arrested for practicing medicine if he employed the means that you indicate in your letter and the U.C.A. would have no difficulty in defending such a member in such a case.

I do not know whether you intend to ask the question whether one who does nto hold a license would be protected for doing the things we do not consider straight chiropractic. Our position on this matter is that any instrument or device used to make a diagnosis comes under the term of the medical practice act. We have defended Chiropractors thus charged until they are proven guilty, then, of course, we can not defend them. It is manifestly shown in the charges that the person so

2

injured as the result of a percussion hammer or a stretching machine, that the **U.C.A.** would feel at liberty not to handle these cases.

There can be no fixed rule in answer to your question on individual cases; these must be considered on their merits.

Very truly yours,...

-officers of the UCA are:

- *George A. Newsalt DC, President, Fargo ND
- *C.M. Kightlinger DC, PhC, Vice-President, 1018 Broad St., Newark NJ
- *B.J. Palmer DC, PhC, Secretary, 800-1100 Brady St., Davenport IA
- *Frank W. Elliott DC, PhC, Treasurer and Business Manager, 800-1100 Brady St., Davenport IA
- *H.W. Bruhn, Assistant Secy., 800-1100 Brady St., Davenport IA -members of the **UCA** Board of Directors are:
- *O.L. Brown, DC, Chairman, 401 Flat Iron Building, Akron OH (Term 1922-23)
- *F.G. Lundy DC, Trio Theatre Bldg, Marshfield WI (Term 1923-24)
- *L.E. Fuller DC, 404 Kahn Bldg, Indianapolis IN (Term 1924-25)
- *J.W. Daugherty DC, City Commercial Sav. Bk. Bldg., Mason City IA (Term 1925-26)
- *W.S. Whitman DC, Rooms 221-5 Bond Bldg., Washington, D.C. (Term 1926-27)
- -Morris, Winter, Esch & Holms are "National Counsel" at Linker Block, LaCrosse WI
- -letterhead indicates: "Make all checks and drafts payable to the **U.C.A.**; Address all business correspondence to the secretary, **B.J. Palmer**, D.C., Ph.C., Davenport, Iowa"

PHOTOGRAPH



Frank W. Elliott, D.C.

1923 (July 28): letter from **Stanley Hayes** DC at 3144 N. California Ave., Chicago to **B.J. Palmer**, Sec'y of the **UCA** (Hayes collection):

Dear Sir:

For the benefit of our common cause I have been paying and expect to continue paying full Class A dues to the **U.C.A.**, although I hold a license in Illinois, and, therefore, am not subject to prosecution for practicing without a license. I **practice straight chiropractic**, using only my adjusting table and my hands.

I have been informed -- incorrectly, I hope -- that a chiropractor holding an O.P. license in this state is not eligible for membership in the U.C.A. I have been further informed -- or misinformed -- that the U.C.A. is very likely to refuse protection to a chiropractor who uses

or who has used a stethoscope, sphygmomanometer, clinical thermometer, or who makes an occasional urinalysis.

That the issue may be entirely clear, I shall expect definite answers to the following questions:

- 1- Does the possession of an Illinois O.P. license in any way affect the status of a chiropractor in the **U.C.A.**?
- Will the U.C.A. give full protection to a chiropractor who employs the ordinary and harmless methods and instruments of precision to determine the exact physical conditions of his patients?
- 3- Will the **U.C.A.** give full protection to a chiropractor who may occasionally advise a patient to use ordinary and sensible hygienic measures to ameliorate his condition until adjustments will have had time to remove the cause of this trouble?

Very respectfully yours, Stanley Hayes

1925: West Virginia passes its first chiropractic law (Wardwell, 1992, p. 111)

1925 (Apr/May): Bulletin of the ACA [2(3-4)] notes:

-BA Sauer DC, Editor, authors "With the Editor: VICTORY" (p. 5):

Add to the Chiropractic license states "West Virginia." In an article by Dr. **John N. Monroe**, President of the West Virginia State Branch of the A.C.A. on page 7 detailed information is given. In view of the Supreme Court decision rendered in the State of West Virginia just previous to the passage of the Chiropractic bill this victory is the more significant. surely Chiropractic is passing to a higher plane, which indicates progress. Our congratulations are extended to our West Virginia brethren. Read Dr. monroe's article on page 7.

A NATIONAL ORGANIZATION OF THE PROFESSION

As evidence of the fact that the control of Chiropractic can be in the hands of the profession itself, your attention is called to the fact that the legislature of the State of West Virginia has enacted a law which provides that a Chiropractor to be eligible for examination to practice his profession in the State of West Virginia must be a graduate of a chiropractic school or college recognized by the American Chiropractic Association. Were such laws as this written on the statute books of every state in the Union the profession itself would be in full control of its own destiny. The quality schools would then be assured the support they merit and the unscrupulous could not exist. The A.C.A. being an organization of the profession itself, a democratic organization in which no school can gain control, assures all schools a fair deal and places the profession itself in control. The West Virginia law is but a starter and the degree to which the profession can control its own destiny is limited only by the extent to which it will organize...

-John N. Monroe, AM, DC of Wheeling WV and "President, West Virginia State Branch of the ACA" authors "West Virginia passes chiropractic licensing law" (pp. 7-8)

1926 (May 8): "Discussion of Dr. Geo. A. Stolze's address -- 'The Value of Laboratory Diagnosis to the Chiropractor', delivered at the Sixth Annual Convention of the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society, Wheeling, W.Va., May 8, 1962"

I believe that Dr. Stolze's paper is timely and worthy of careful consideration by all chiropractors. For reasons well known to most of us, however, the subject of diagnosis in any of its phases must usually be handled rather carefully before the average chiropractic audience. There has been much confusion in our ranks as tactually constitutes diagnosis, and especially as to its value to the chiropractor. Therefore, while this paper is concerned only with laboratory methods, it seems to me that a few remarks about the meaning and

value of diagnosis in general may promote a better understanding and appreciation of the laboratory side of it.

With a view to avoiding possible legal entanglements, some have thought it best to omit the use of the term "diagnosis" entirely, and there is little doubt that much trouble has actually been saved thereby, in the lower courts at least. Nevertheless, the courts of last resort have, I believe, invariably held that diagnosis is the art of distinguishing or attempting to distinguish one disease condition from another; and that anything done for the purpose of determining the physical state of a patient, especially with a view to basing any sort of remedial measures upon findings thus arrived at, is diagnosis, altogether regardless of what name or names may be used to describe the process employed.

Thus, palpation, use of the X-ray or other means to find points of nerve disturbance, is diagnosis. Consideration of symptoms is also diagnosis, whether we call it analysis, symptomatology, or something else. Any of these things is just as much diagnosis as testing the reflexes, analysing the urine, examining the blood, sputum etc.

While at one time it may have been expedient to shun the term, it is no longer so to the chiropractors of this and most other states, and I believe that, after careful consideration, all will concede that if we fail to employ such harmless and useful means as will best enable us to deal intelligently with the cases under our care, we shall thus neglect a portion of our duty to our patients, ourselves, and our profession.

Of course we are fortunate in that successful treatment by our method does not essentially depend upon a correct diagnosis. If a patient had stomach trouble, the spinal treatment would be the same whether the condition was gastritis, dilatation, ulcer, or a neurosis. Nevertheless, there are many times when diagnosis is very important in directing us toward proper adjustment. For example, headaches and many other symptomatic ailments may be due to disorders in parts of the body remote from the seat of the pain. In such cases we must either attempt to correct all subluxations in the spine, so as to be sure to get the right one, or we must resort to diagnosis.

Even if we disregard their value as an aid to intelligent adjustment, we are still obliged to admit that certain diagnostic methods can be extremely useful to us in other ways. As I understand the points presented in Dr. Stolze's excellent paper, laboratory diagnosis can be of value to the chiropractor in at least four important respects; First, in prognosis. Second, in complying with the law. Third, in raising the prestige of chiropractic. Fourth, in compiling statistics. This last point, while not mentioned specifically, is implied throughout the paper, and I wish to emphasize its importance.

Let us first take the matter of prognosis. As you know, this means the determination, in advance of the fact, of the probable course, duration, and outcome of a disease. If we cannot or will not give our patient some idea as to what he may expect, he will usually take his case to somebody who will. If we do give an opinion, and time proves us grossly wrong, the patient then has his choice of believing that we are ignorant or dishonest. Of course we cannot always be right, or even approximately right, but self-interest, if nothing else, should urge us to make as few mistakes as possible. It seems to me that the chiropractor who uses nothing but spinal analysis to prognose as case is missing a lot of good chances to improve his work and, incidentally, his practice.

As Dr. Stolze has said, there are few conditions in which a positive diagnosis may be made upon laboratory findings alone. But in connection with a well taken clinical history the laboratory evidence will in a host of ailments mean all the difference between guesswork and certainty. It is needless to say that in any case such knowledge is highly desirable and valuable. In handling grave and dangerous

conditions it is absolutely priceless, and how much the possession or lack of it may help or hut us and our profession cannot be estimated.

For example, we have before us a case of kidney trouble. Various method of spinal diagnosis may indicate nerve disturbance in the kidney region, but they cannot give the slightest clue as to what is now going on or has already taken place in the kidneys themselves. The symptomatology, which, by the way, is diagnosis pure and simple, may show that the trouble is one of three or four possible conditions. The laboratory report will do the rest, and we can give our patient an idea as to what he may reasonably expect.

A man about forty years old has pain in the epigastrium, nausea, loss of appetite, loss of weight. Other symptoms negative. We should certainly like to know what is wrong, and the laboratory findings will usually tell us.

It is often impossible to differentiate certain blood diseases without a microscopic examination, a hemoglobin test, or both. We want to know, because whoever is too hopeful in some of these conditions, especially in pernicious anemia, will surely live to regret his optimism. Again the laboratory tells the tale. Time permits mention of only a few of the many cases in which the laboratory can give us aid and comfort. A book on laboratory diagnosis, costing only two or three dollars, will tell us when such methods are of value and when they are not.

We surely want to know what we are handling. If we don't, neither our own experience nor that of the profession can help us to foretell the outcome in any case. We are simply working in the dark -- that is, unless we care to proceed upon the assumption that everything is curable by adjustment, in which event the prognosis would of course be good in all cases. But how much this sort of business has already done to classify us in the public mind as ignoramuses and grafters, is beyond reckoning, and I hope that no chiropractor would willingly make matters any worse.

Dr. Stolze's example of how laboratory diagnosis can help us to obey the law is so plain that comment would be superfluous.

Now, how can laboratory diagnosis help us to raise the prestige of chiropractic?

First, by increasing our efficiency, as already explained. The world respects the man who knows. Chiropractic is comparatively new, and, therefore, is still judged largely by the character and ability of the men and women who practice it. Anything and everything we can do to make ourselves individually worthy of public confidence and respect will reflect a proportionate amount of credit upon our profession.

Second, it can help by supplying irrefutable proof that certain diseases in which we claim to have secured such splendid results were really the diseases claimed, and not something else. We can talk our heads off about what chiropractic has done and can do in T.B., pneumonia, diptheria, etc., but as a matter of fact, few people are convinced. The skeptics, who, to tell the truth, are still greatly in the majority, shake their heads and say that such cases were in reality not these diseases at all, but some slight ailments that would have got well anyway. Whether we like it or not, the plain, blunt fact is that most people still think that chiropractic may be all right for some things, but that if you get tonsillitis, typhoid, or the flu, you need some medicine, and might as well take strychnine as call a chiropractor.

The truth is, of course, that nature cures most acute diseases anyway, regardless of and in many cases in spite of, the treatment given. Chiropractic is infinitely superior to drugs in these cases, but whatever treatment is given gets the credit for the cure; and since in pursuance of blind, unreasoning custom drugs are usually given, they fall heir to the praise which rightly belongs to the natural protective forces of the body. Let us once prove that chiropractic will do as

much as or more than drugs in acute infectious diseases, and confidence in our method will be increased a thousand fold. Not that I advocate invading this field to any great extent. Personally, I regard most acute cases as a nuisance, and I believe that most chiropractors, and physicians too, would prefer a good office practice. But when we find means to prove what we can do in these ailments which the public erroneously considers hardest to cure, the confidence thus engendered in chiropractic will fill our office with the sort of cases we do want to handle.

The only effective way to prove our point is to show reliable laboratory reports stating that the specific germ was present. This establishes the fact that the disease in question did exist. The further fact that it did get well under adjustments leaves no room for doubt or argument. Our critics are completely silenced, and forced to admit the truth whether they like it or not. Multitudes of skeptics are inexpensively and painless convinced, and our prestige and practice improve in proportion.

Let us briefly consider the value of accurate diagnosis in preparing statistics to show what chiropractic has been able to do for various diseases. It is unfortunate that those upon which we must now depend have been compiled largely from the case reports of chiropractors who profess to know nothing and care less about diagnosis. These estimable members of our profession go to great trouble and expense to convince the public that none of us know or want to know one disease from another. Then they turn right around and say that they have cured cancer, cirrhosis of the liver, organic heart lesions, et cetera, ad infinitum, and become very indignant if the public won't believe it! The position of a part of the profession in this respect is about as absurdly inconsistent as anything could be. They deny the value of diagnosis, and ridicule the medical profession for using it. Yet if they relieve a patient of a condition diagnosed as a certain disease by some obscure physician, they promptly claim to have cured that disease, with as much positiveness as if diagnosis were the most reliable, infallible, and fool-proof thing in existence.

The fact is that to be able to say we have cured a disease, we must first prove that our patient had it; and the only possible way to do this is by diagnosis. If we cannot make the diagnosis ourselves, we must take somebody's word for it. Now, if diagnosis is of value, let us by all means use it. And if any man, after positively assuring himself that he actually knows all the facts about it, sincerely believe it to be worthless, let him be at least consistent, and instead of dogmatically asserting that he cured such and such diseases, let him tell the truth and say that he doesn't know what he cured.

To rely upon a diagnosis based on careful consideration of symptoms and physical signs, and supplemented if necessary by laboratory findings, is as near as anyone can ever come to knowing what ails his patient. It is true that a certain percentage of such diagnoses will be wrong, no matter who makes them. But it is certainly true that a much greater percentage will be right, and will be so plain that we can know they are right. I believe it is better to know we are right part of the time than to be in doubt all the time. There is but one person more to be pitied than the doctor who is always in doubt, and that is the doctor who is always positive without knowing whereof he speaks. Some of the latter's guesses will be right, of course, but the law of averages will finally get him, and he will eventually find that he has plenty of time in which to wonder why his practice isn't what it might be. As a matter of fact, there are many conditions which admit of a positive diagnosis beyond doubt or question, and to be able to recognize these would save us many costly mistakes.

It is sad, but true, that anyone with a wide acquaintance among chiropractors would be obliged to feel serious misgivings as to the

reliability of statistics compiled from the case reports sent in by many of them. Basing their opinion upon one or two symptoms which may be present in any one of a dozen or five dozen different diseases, many chiropractors will boast of having cured with a few adjustments such grave conditions as cancer of the stomach, hydrocephalus, brain tumor, aneurism, aortic regurgitation, and, in fact, anything else you could mention. Whoever expresses doubt or even mild surprise at these remarkable exploits is at once catalogued as a heretic and an unbeliever in the greatness of chiropractic! The great trouble is that chiropractic is entirely too great. It actually does so many comparatively marvelous things that unless our natural and proper enthusiasm is tempered with a good working knowledge of pathology and general diagnosis we are prone to jump to ridiculous conclusions, and claim to cure a lot of things which in fact we never did and never will cure. Such unfounded and unverifiable claims necessarily react to our discredit and detriment. Deception always finally destroys those who practice it, and self-deception is especially pernicious.

When we can have chiropractic statistics based upon a large number of case reports in which all reliable means were used to prove that the diseases under consideration were actually as represented, we shall be infinitely better off in every way. Such data will not only be acceptable to the scientific world at large, but will serve as a chart to guide us through the treacherous seas of our daily practice. Such valuable and highly desirable knowledge can be secured only from the reports of competent and unbiased observers using time-tried and reliable diagnostic methods, among which certain laboratory procedures are of highest importance.

In conclusion, let me say that we, as chiropractors, are privileged to practice the greatest and most scientific health method of the ages. Greatest, because it will remove the primary cause of most human ailments. Most scientific, because it works entirely in harmony with the unchangeable laws of nature. But we must never for one moment forget that it did not make any of these laws; that it cannot repeal any of them; and that it cannot make any new ones. Like everything else in the universe, it is and always will be governed and limited by the existing laws of nature. And it is only through scientific study of the facts of biology to give us thorough knowledge of these unalterable laws; and through persevering study of the facts of pathology and diagnosis to give us understanding of the diseases which confront us, that we may know in any case what our method can do and what it cannot do.

Nature, for reasons best known to herself, has decreed that the span of human life and of all other life shall be limited. WE MUST ALL DIE. She has further decreed that if a man lose an arm, leg, or other organ or part, he must get along as best he can without it. SHE HAS NOT SEEN FIT TO ENDOW THE HUMAN ORGANISM WITH POWER TO GROW NEW PARTS TO REPLACE THOSE DESTROYED. We must therefore face the fact that many disease conditions, if not checked in time, can and often do cause damage totally beyond repair; and that many cases are already absolutely hopeless when they first come to us. So it behooves us to remember that while subluxation may have been the primary cause of a disease, it does not by any means follow that correcting the subluxation will in all cases <u>cure</u> that disease. The outlook depends upon the nature and extent of the damage already done, and the only possible way to gauge this is through knowledge and proper application of the principles of pathology and general diagnosis.

It might be truthfully said that most deaths, not due to old age, are <u>primarily</u> caused by subluxation. Yet I believe that nobody would claim that correcting the spinal condition would restore life to a corpse. We know, in fact, that such a claim or such an attempt would represent the very acme of absurdity. Yet we have chiropractors --

too many chiropractors -- who are daily promising, attempting, and miserably failing to do things every bit as preposterous. Do they want to do this? Would they thus deliberately jeopardize their own reputation and their profession's prestige? Are they so mercenary and short-sighted that they care for nothing but the dollar which happens for the moment to be in view? Common sense forbids an affirmative answer. Rather do I believe that they simply cannot tell the curable from the incurable. It is true, unfortunately, that even under the best conditions every one of us -- chiropractors, physicians, or anything else -- is bound to make many mistakes. But I know whereof I speak when I say that through a good working knowledge of pathology and general diagnosis, the vast majority of all dangerous or incurable conditions <u>can</u> be recognized as such <u>before</u>, instead of <u>after</u>, a disheartening trial and a humiliating failure.

1928 (July 13): handwritten letter from Ernest B. Pugh DC at Logan WV to **Stanley Hayes** DC in Princeton WV (Hayes collection):

Dear Stanley:

Permit me to offer you my heartiest commendation upon th excellency of the first issue of the *West Va. Chiropractic Bulletin*. Your article was timely and splendidly written.

I've heard of and from others relative to it.

This morning's mail brought a letter of congratultions from **Harry Vedder** of the **Lincoln School**. The opposite from **B.J.** Sunday I talked to Morgan, he liked it. Said he had a letter of protest from Lamont but referred him to you. So go to it, more power to your brain and writing hand.

Send me statement concerning cost of publication and distribution. I imagine I have no new names to add to your mailing list.

Best regards, E.B. Pugh

1928 (July): Bulletin of the ACA [5(4)] includes:

-"West Virginia News" by **Stanley Hayes** DC at 853 Mercer St., Princeton WV (p. 27):

The annual convention of the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society was held in the Chancellor Hotel, Parkersburg, May 26th, 1928. Both the attendance and the spirit of the convention were excellent, a feature that has been noticeable at all conventions since the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society succeeded in securing an acceptable law in this state.

The high point of the convention was the presidential address delivered by Dr. T.I. Morgan of Huntington. Dealing at length with our professional problems, national and local, this paper revealed a clear and comprehensive knowledge of our basic professional needs, that was as remarkable as it is unusual. Deploring the apparent inability of so many chiropractors to protect themselves from ruthless exploitation by various interests, and from being controlled by prejudice and propaganda rather than by sound reasoning, Dr. Morgan stressed the fact that unless the thinking members of the profession take immediate steps to unite upon sane, constructive policies, our situation is bound to grow worse instead of better.

He is convinced that our only salvation lies in supporting one strong, democratic national organization committed to a constructive program of rational Chiropractic advancement along lines that the test of time has proved to be the only road to professional security. The keynote of his address was the evident fact that unless the field takes immediate charge of its own affairs and delivers the destinies of the profession out of the hands of private interests, our troubles have only begun.

He correctly attributes our present hard times to the fact that we have allowed ourselves to be led or forced into pursuing policies the unsoundness of which was obvious to any rational mind. The inevitable results of these policies are now shaking the profession to its foundations, and if something drastic is not done at once to retrieve the situation our future is anything but bright.

Dr. Morgan introduced a resolution which the convention adopted, calling upon the existing organizations to facilitate and expedite an amalgamation by all means within their power. The resolution, furthermore, *challenges* these national organizations to prove to the field that they are ready and willing to serve the best interests of the whole profession.

Another noteworthy feature of the convention was the report of Dr. Geo. A Stolze of Wheeling, chairman of the committee empowered by the 1927 convention to investigate the merits and demerits of physiotherapy. The report was unanimously favorable on most of these methods. Your correspondent will try to prepare a synopsis of these reports for the next BULLETIN.

Still another act of the convention, which it is hoped and confidently believed will be a step toward sound Chiropractic advancement, was the adoption of a motion by Dr. E.B. Pugh of Logan, to create a monthly publication to be sent to every chiropractor in the state, whether a member of the Society or not. This, the official organ of the Society, is to be an open forum for discussion of Chiropractic subjects by the chirorpactors of West Virginia.

1928 (Aug 2): letter from FG Moore DC in Missoula MT, sec'y-treasurer of the Montana State Board of Chiropractic Examiners, to Lincoln Chiropractic College (Hayes collection):

Dear Doctors:-

I have just finished reading the August number of the "Lincoln Bulletin" and wish to state that the article on "Prior Arts Rights" by Dr. **Stanley Hayes** of Princeton W.VA is the best exposition on this subject I have read.

We in the field are continually reading about the **Basic Science** bill and what havoc it will cause in the ranks of the Chiropractic profession if allowed to become a law in the several states; and, the only preventative from this calamity is to get into the band wagon and under the banner of "BACK TO THE BACK."

In the **F.H.N.**, "**BJ**" states - "I am for any person who is for Chiropractic - just so long as he is for Chiropractic." And, by implication, he is against anyone or any measure that is progressive and looks <u>Forward</u> instead of <u>Backward</u> as he demands.

In my opinion his lectures along this line have done more damage to our profession, by aiding and abetting our common enemy - the **A.M.A.**, and by leading the general public to distrust the knowledge and ability of the average chiropractor, then all other agencies combined.

Dr. **Hayes** has hit the nail squarely on the head when he states—"It is our LOW EDUCATIONAL STANDARD that has brought it upon us."

Several times I have heard a certain party make a statement to the effect that- He could make a better Chiropractor out of a street car motorman or the average Laboring man, than from the ranks of the High School and College graduates, for the reason that the latter were too hard to convince of the truth and knew too many things that were not so. Such statements would hurt us more than the **Basic Science law**.

I wish to congratulate you in your endeavor to spread the TRUTH in the Bulletin regarding this all-important subject, for I know it will be appreciated by the progressive and un-biased practitioners in our ranks. More power to you. Very truly yours,...

1928 (Aug): Lincoln Bulletin includes:

-"Prior Arts Rights" by **Stanley Hayes** DC, reprinted from the **West Virginia Bulletin** (pp. 1-4); in foreword to article the **Lincoln Bulletin** notes:

....The Lincoln College never has, does not now, nor has it any intention of teaching Physiotherapy, although we do stress Diet, and Diagnosis. We feel that we have our hands full in teaching Chiropractic. As we have repeatedly stated, we feel that the use of adjuncts by the Chiropractor is a personal matter which he should be permitted to decide in his own practice without being subject to ridicule or condemnation....

1928 (Aug 11): Fountain Head News prints reply to Hayes article on "Prior arts Rights," which is reprinted as Chapter 63 (pp. 396-407) in Palmer's 1951 volume, *History Repeats* (Palmer, 1951)

1928-1932: according to a letter to **Edwin H. Kimmel** DC from **Stanley Hayes** DC, 6/8/66 (Hayes collection):

For four years (1928-1932) I edited the *Bulletin of The West Virginia Chiropractors Society*, while we changed that state chiropractically from a complete BJ dictatorship to an enlightened and peaceful professional body -- which it has remained ever since. I was thus compelled to neglect my practice, family, and everything else but the job in hand.

1929 (April): Lincoln Bulletin notes:

-"WEST VIRGINIA":

It is interesting to note that in West Virginia, House Bill No. 18, providing that certain students designated by and financed by rural districts, be admitted to the West Virginia School of Medicine as special students with only a high school diploma. It would be interesting to have organized medicine explain how this would be possible if pre-professional education is of such vital importance.

Information from Dr. T.I. Morgan, of Huntington, says:

"House Bill No. 18 referred to committee in the Senate. It is still there, and the Legislature is a thing of the past."

In West Virginia a splendid effort was made for this session of the Legislature to amend the law regulating the practice of Chiropractic. The import was to change the pre-professional requirements to that of a high school diploma, and to change the requirement of three years of eight months each "in separate calendar years" by striking out the "in separate calendar years."

This campaign was handled by that great leader, Dr. T.I. Morgan, who is a veteran of many legislative sessions. Dr. Morgan writes as follows:

"I regret to inform you we lost our fight to get relief from our present drastic law. We got the bill through the Senate by a vote of 22 to 7. We had a clean majority in the House of at least twenty-five. However, in the House there were five M.D.'s, two of whom were on the steering committee. They succeeded in maneuvering us out of a vote, except a test vote which I managed to secure in moving to take the bill out of the hands of the committee on medicine and sanitation and putting it upon the calendar, but that is where the steering committee kept it from coming to a vote. The M.D.'s admitted that I had them licked unless they were able to keep the bill from the floor of the House."

We feel that it is fitting that we reprint certain excerpts from the *West Virginia State Bulletin*, edited by Dr. **Stanley Hayes**, who comments on Dr. Morgan's activities as follows:

"The law of 1925 gave us our opportunity to prove that we were worthy of public respect and legislative consideration. It completely spiked the biggest guns of our enemies.

"Dr. Morgan foresaw these things. He knew that, with a decent, law-abiding profession, the time would soon come when the Legislature would be glad to see that we got justice. Of course there will always be a formidable amount of propaganda to be overcome in every Legislature, but Dr. Morgan is a genius at overcoming it. His great strength lies in his absolute honesty. He is above trickery and misrepresentation, and there are some men in every Legislature who are big enough to recognize and respect a man of his dimensions. So with the instinctive fellowship that one real man feels for another, they support him in his righteous endeavors. We cannot refrain from saying here that two of the most intelligent and influential men who ever belonged to our State Senate voluntarily told the writer that Dr. Morgan was a man of the very 'highest type,' and their faces lighted up with pleasure when they spoke of him. Too few of us can realize what a man we have in Dr. T.I. Morgan, for he is too modest to blow his own horn. He performs a seemingly impossible task, then goes home and says nothing about it.

"It is a matter of RECORD that the Legislature of 1929 completely proved the correctness of the far-sighted judgment which Dr. Morgan used in 1925. His amendment eliminating the main objectionable features of the present law actually passed the Senate 22 to 7. Its passage by the House was prevented only by the fact that the House did not get to vote on it, due to an unusual combination of circumstances not likely ever to happen again.

"The fact that extraordinary circumstances conspired to keep us from victory this time means little. But the proved fact that the lawmakers were ready and willing to give us the releif we asked for means much. It means that if we stick together, the future of Chiropractic in West Virginia is perfectly safe. DR. MORGAN'S JUDGMENT IS VINDICATED."

1929 (May): *Lincoln Bulletin* reprints article from *West Virginia Bulletin* (edited by **Stanley Hayes**, D.C.) entitled Preventive Chiropractic" authored by **John N. Monroe**, D.C. (p. 4)

1929 (June/July): Lincoln Bulletin notes:

-"W.VA. and N.C. Conventions" (p. 3):

The West Virginia and North Carolina Conventions were held in Clarksburg and Greensboro respectively early in the month of May. Both these Conventions were attended by Dr. H.E. Vedder of the Lincoln College Faculty who delivered, on both occasions, his lecture "Sanity in Chiropractic." Both of these Associations were kind enough to express unusual appreciation for this lecture. In North Carolina two additional lectures were delivered - one dealt with Lung Examination and was purely instructive in character and the other was a public lecture broadcast from the local radio station.

Both West Virginia and North Carolina are to be congratulated on the splendid attendance and on their efficiency in conducting a constructive meeting. Both states are extremely fortunate in having men of unusual ability as officers. The wisdom of these men and the good judgment of the Chiropractors in selecting them was everywhere in evidence.

The **Lincoln College** wishes to call particular attention to the **West Virginia Bulletin**, edited by Dr. **Stanley Hayes**, 853 Mercer St., Princeton, West Virginia. This Bulletin during the forthcoming year will produce six issues. **Arrangements were made at this Convention to permit subscriptions to the** *Bulletin* **by Chiropractors in other parts of the country**. If we may judge the future issues by those which have been presented during the past year

we feel that the instructive material carried and the splendid ideals promulgated here should be in the hands of every Chiropractor in the land. We have no axe to grind in this matter but we are interested in helping the Chiropractors who are now in practice. This *Bulletin* carries **instructive articles on Diagnosis** which if studied and used will be a tremendous aid in the Chiropractor's office.

1929 (July/Aug): **Bulletin of the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society** (Stanley Hayes DC, Editor) [2(1)] includes:

- -"Editorial: a word of warning" by Stanley Hayes DC (pp. 13-4)
- -"A vicious and cowardly attack" by Stanley Hayes DC (pp. 14-7)
- -"The Bulletin and its function" by **Stanley Hayes** DC (pp. 18-9)

1930 (Aug 17): handwritten letter from A.H. Kessler DC of Birmingham, Alabama to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Hayes:

Just a line to tell you how much I enjoyed your *Bulletin* of July on "What is Wrong with Chiropractic." It is absolutely the truth and clarifies the whole situation. By accepting it as the truth, which it is, it also is easy to solve the problem "What is Wrong with Chiropractic." I have realized the situation very much as you do, but am not able to present before the Chiropractors the information in such a clear and concise way.

I know your *Bulletin* has made many think and it is doing a great work for Chiropractic. I am having everyone (chiros) read it.

Yours for success.

P.S. Enclosed find \$1.00 for my subscription

1930 (Aug 18): letter from Harry E. Vedder, DC, president of the Lincoln Chiropractic College at 518 North Delaware St., Indianapolis, to Stanley Hayes (Hayes collection):

Dear Stanley:

Your special issue of Volume 2, No. 1 is the best you have produced. I think so much of it that I have taken several hours to read it to the Senior Class and they think so much of it that several of them have asked if they could get copies. Wish you would tell me if you have possibly fifteen or twenty extra copies available and if so what they will cost. Then I will take it up with these people who are interested and advise you. This, of course, assuming that you have

them on hand, I realize the work entailed to rerun just a few copies and I wouldn't want you to do that.

With every good and kind wish. Very truly yours,...

1930 (Sept 30): letter from **S.J. Burich**, DC, secretary of the **Lincoln Chiropractic College** at 518 North Delaware St., Indianapolis, to **Stanley Hayes** (Hayes collection):

Dear Doctor:

In the absence of Dr. Vedder I am taking the liberty of replying to yours of the 25th inst. I too want to offer my appreciation for the wonderful work that you have done in Chiropractic and I know that Dr. Vedder appreciated your permission to use the material he spoke about in his lecture "Sanity in Chiropractic." I have heard a great deal of comment relative to this talk though I have not had the opportunity of personallyhearing him deliver it.

Dr. Hayes, we are very proud of the success that the Lincoln College is making and it is due to fellows like you that our going on has been made possible. Your timely comments are always apprecited and let me say further that your criticisms are solicited. We are striving to conduct an Institution of such a character that it will merit the approbation and support of men like you the field over.

Very sincerely yours,...

1931 (Jan 22): letter from **Harry E. Vedder** DC, president of **Lincoln Chiropractic College** at 518 North Delaware St., Indianapolis, to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection): My dear friend:

I want you to read carefully the next issue of the Bulletin which will be out in the next few days now and then I want you to comment to me. I value your criticism very highly. I refer particularly to the article entitled "What is Chiropractic." Practically all the balance of your letter has to do with the problem of getting some of your material before the field as a whole. I have given that considerable thought myself. You will recall that I did run an article on "Prior Arts Rights" which was very well received. We, of course, have to avoid taking rapid personalities or speaking out quite as clearly as you do regarding B.J. Palmer because a criticism would attach to us for such a policy and would not attach to you. I just don't know how it would work out Stanley, and I don't want to agree to print an article from you without having seen it but I am wondering if you wouldn't write an article that we could carry in the Bulletin. We reach over eight thousand Chiropractors and the end which you have in mind is the same end which we have in mind. I have just this comment to make. Your writing is quite voluminous. We couldn't possibly carry an article as long as your article on "What is the Matter With Chiropractic." yet if you can condense some of your ideas we could run them from time to time during the year. I just feel that the Profession needs the influence of your writing. It isn't for us you will be writing it and it isn't for you that we will be publishing it. It is for the general good of the movement as a whole. As I said above I hesitate to make this suggestion because I know how I dislike to have my writings blue penciled or modified by anyone. On the other hand I know you can appreciate that we would necessarily have to have the privilege of editing (of course with your approval) any material submitted.

I might say Stanley that it is my conviction that we have been a little too diplomatic. I believe that we have not spoken out quite definitely in our publication. I realize that to do so will lose us some support but when the final dross remains I am convinced that a more militant attitude will, therefore put us in a position of greater prestige in the profession.

With every good and kind wish. Very truly yours,...

1931 (Feb 17): letter to **Harry E. Vedder** DC, president of **Lincoln Chiropractic College** at 518 North Delaware St., Indianapolis, from **Stanley Hayes** DC; Hayes replies to Vedder's letter of 1/22/31, agrees to write shorter version of earlier manuscript (Hayes collection)

1931 (Feb 25): letter from **Leo J. Steinbach** DC of the Universal Chiropractic College (**UCC**) in Pittsburgh to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Stanley:

One of our graduates from DeLand, Fla. dropped in today and is one of those fellows who should be reading the *West Virginia Bulletin*. I know he will appreciate it, so I gave your paper the good boost that it deserves and he very promptly asked me to send in his subscription with a request for any back numbers you may have. I am enclosing \$2.00 to cover. Will you kindly mail to Dr. W.A. Finley, Box 761, DeLand, Fla.

Your last number was splendid as usual. I was particularly interested in what you had to say about the response of the schools. Of course it was exactly what I had anticipated. Now that you have

8

used both diplomacy and the club, you should know just exactly where they stand. We shall be much interested in your findings on this highly important matter.

One of our very splendid graduates wrote me recently to encourage us to adopt the modalities as a part of our training system. I am enclosing my rather lengthy reply for your perusal principally because it amplifies our position somewhat in this discussion, and thus you see exactly where we stand. Will you kindly return this when you have finished?

With kind regards and good wishes, As ever,....

1931 (Mar): LACC's Chirogram [7(1)] includes:

-"The schools have spoken" by **Stanley Hayes** DC (pp. 18, 21-2), reprinted from the **Bulletin of the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society**

1931 (Mar 6): letter from C. Richard Smith DC, DO, "Licentiate State of Maryland, Member D.C. Chiropractic Society and A.C.A., National Capitol Osteopathic Associatioin" at 931 "G" St., NW, Washington, D.C. to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Doctor Hayes:

Replying to your of the 4th inst., would say that The **Riley College of Chiropractic** and the **Washington School of Chiropractic** were one and the same. Dr. **Riley** left this city some five years ago and the schools have not been in existence since. Dr. Riley's address at present is: Dr. J.S. **Riley**, 359 8th Ave., N., St. Petersburg, Fla., and I would suggest writing him if you care for information as to the present status of the school elsewhere.

Wish to thank you for sending me "The Bulletin" and your kind comment on "The D.C. Chiropractor." I also wish to state that I consider your articles very excellent, especially the one on "What's Wrong with Chiropractic."

If I can be of any further assistance just let me know.

Very truly yours.

1931 (Mar 7): letter from E.J. Smith DC, President of Metropolitan Chiropractic College (at 3400 Euclid Ave, Cleveland OH), to Stanley Hayes DC (Hayes collection): Dear Dr. Hayes:

Received your letter today, and will state that the **Blodgett College** is no longer functioning. It went out of business shortly after the first of the year. He had this school in his own office in a down-town building, and to my knowledge he had about eight Chiropractic students and twelve Massage students. While the school was functioning, his graduates were admitted to the State Board of Ohio. Inasmuch as he was primarily interested in building up a big private practice, he discontinued his school.

Dr. **Blodgett** sold his good-will to a dentist, a Dr. Snevel, who is now conducting, not a Chiropractic college, but a school of massage and Mechano-therapy only. At the present writing he has eight massage students, comprising his entire school, and is doing no Chiropractic teaching whatsoever.

As far as we are able to tell, we are the only school in Chio teaching Chiropractic. The **Akron College of Chiropractic** closed its door some little time ago, and we have made arrangements with the former owner to take over all prospective students that desire information from the old **Akron College**.

We have our new catalog on the press now, and as soon as it is finished, we will mail you a copy, or several copies if you desire. Our school is going along very nicely and we look forward to a very successful year. We are now making arrangements to teach colonic irrigation.

If we can be of service to you in any other way, kindly call on us. Sincerely, THE METROPOLITAN CHIROPRACTIC COLLEGE, INC.

1931 (Mar 7): letter from W.C. Schulze MD, DC, President of National College of Chiropractic, to Stanley Hayes DC:

Dear Dr. Hayes:

You are correct - the Peerless College of Chiropractic has been out of existence for several years. We took over that institution at the time and its students completed their training at the NATIONAL.

With all good wishes, we are

Cordially yours,

THE NATIONAL COLLEGE OF CHIROPRACTIC

Dr. W.C. Schulze

President

1931 (Mar 7): copy of letter (attached to Beatty's letter to **Stanley Hayes** of 3/16/31) from **Homer G. Beatty** DC, President, **NCA Council of School Deans**, to **American School of Chiropractic** (Hayes collection):

American School of Chiropractic

236 East 35th St.

New York, N.Y.

Attention Dean of School

Dear Doctor:

The annual meeting of the **National Chiropractic Association** is at Louisville, Kentucky from July 6th to 10th. At that meeting the **Council of School Deans** will hold its session the first day, July 6th, in order to make its report to the general assembly in time for any necessary action by that body.

Every Chiropractic Educational Institution is a member of the Council of School Deans. The only requirement is that a representative, preferably the Dean or president, attend the Council, but any authorized representative is sufficient.

The purpose of the Council is to consider problems of an educational nature and make recommendations to the general assembly of the **National Chiropractic Association**.

A few of the many problems we might consider are: closer relationships of all chiropractic schools; entrance requirements; subjects taught; instructors; housing, publicity; tuition; equipment; transfer of students from one school to another; school endowments; new student getting programs; field support; number and location of schools; post graduate courses; research work; statistics.

The N.C.A. and every Chiropractor is interested in our school activities and problems because our growth is their growth and our success is their success. They want to help but every one likes to see the fruits of his efforts grow and be of real worth. As we improve our schools they will strengthen their support and benefit will accrue to all.

Come to the Council with suggestions and criticisms. Have your material in tangible form. If possible write me the gist of your suggestions as that our work may be arranged to expedite our business. I should also appreciate any other suggestions in regard to the Council Meeting. We have untold possibilities and opportunities ahead of us. We need each others support and co-operation as well as that of the N.C.A. and the entire profession. This Council can mean a great deal to us. Be sure to be there.

Sincerely yours,

President Council of School Deans

P.S. The next issue of the N.C.A. will carry an article along school lines. It might suggest something further to you.

H.G.B.

1931 (Mar 9): letter from J.A. LaBow of Kansas City MO to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dr. J. Stanley Hayes

Princeton, W.Va.

My Dear Doctor;

Somehow I had not noticed your location until I received this letter. Seems like writing to some one at home since for two years I was on the faculty of the Beaver High School at Bluefield West Virginia. Way back tho in 1917. Used to come over to Princeton with our boys and girls to play basket ball with the bunch who was under Prof. Williams at that time.

I am glad to find some one who agrees with me for I am here right much in the position of the man who knew he was right but could find no one to agree with him.

Regarding the schools you seek information on....

Cleveland Chiropractic College moved to 3724 Troost Ave. Kansas City, Mo. A Palmer school of the most radical

Kansas School of Chiropractic.... Was consolidated with the Western about 1 year before we closed.

Colvin School of Chiropractic of Wichita... A Carver school still located at the address you have.

There was also the Kansas City **University of Chiropractic**. Located in this city which is also closed about 1.5 years ago.

I hope some time in the future to make a trip thru W.Va. and if I do you can count on a visitor. In fact may consider coming to that state to practice some time before long. And when I do I shall bring with me a message of real ilio-sacral diagnosis and reduction which will be given free to the profession. I use it here but the profession is too much **Palmerized** to accept anything on which he **B.J.**) has placed his approval.

I earnestly hope this information will help you and I shall be glad to co-operate at any time I can help.

Respectfully,...

1931 (Mar 10): handwritten letter from Walter F. Kennedy at 22 S. 52nd St., W. Phila., Pa., to **Stanley Hayes** DC at 853 Mercer St., Princeton WV (Hayes collection):

My dear Dr. Hayes:

It is no trouble to inform you concerning **Marchand College**.

This schools was the predecessor of **Doughty Marsh College**. The original name was changed when Dr. A.W. Marchand withdrew his interests in November of 1922, and Drs. John **Doughty** and J.C. **Marsh** carried on.

It was a bit of egoism that was wholly unconscious, that made me omit this information in my first letter. We were so proud of our school that we came to think that most of our brethren in the profession knew our history. Habits - even of thought - are tenacious things - are they not?

Sincerely yours,...

P.S. Again permit me to praise the "Bulletin" and to thank you for it.

1931 (Mar 16): letter from **Homer G. Beatty**, DC, on **Colorado Chiropractic University** stationery (at 1631 Glenarm Place, Denver), to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection): Dear Sir:

Enclosed is a form letter which was sent to all Chiropractic Schools, and is self-explanatory, I think.

In the work of the Council we should like to know how many students there are at present in Chiropractic Schools, and also how many in the past years. Because of your work with the questionnaire sent out I wonder if you would send me that information, or as much of it as you have.

I am intensely interested in seeing the Chiropractic Schools upon a higher standing and a stronger foundation.

I wish to compliment the splendid way in which "The Bulletin" is handling subjects of a Chiropractic nature. The work is a splendid benefit to our profession.

I have tried to get the school statistics from the National Association but they do not have it. I also tried to get the statistics on the number of Chiropractors now practising, as well as in past years. With all the publicity of Chiropractic on the toboggan and decreasing number of practitioners, I think these statistics would be of great value.

With every good wish, I am,

Very truly yours, Dr. H.G. Beatty

1931 (Mar 16): letter from **Henry C. Harring**, DC, MD, on **Missouri Chiropractic College** stationery (at Grand Blvd. & Park Ave.), to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Hayes:

Received your kind letter of March 14, requesting information regarding certain chiropractic schools. The **Cleveland Chiropractic College** is located in Kansas City at the address given in your letter and I cannot understand why they do not reply. The **Colvin Chiropractic College**, I am not familiar with, although I have heard of it but not in recent years. I would suggest that you write to Dr. **Foy** of the Kansas State Board, who no doubt can give you this information.

I have read a number of your articles and enjoyed them very much. I am entirely in accord with your facts on the chiropractic situation of today, as you outlined sometime ago. We need more like you to make the sacrifice you are making, in trying to gather information that is really valuable to the Profession.

Assuring you of our best wishes, I am

Yours very truly,...

1931 (Mar 21): letter to Leo J. Steinbach DC of the Universal Chiropractic College (UCC) in Pittsburgh from Stanley Hayes DC (Hayes collection):

...I liked your letter to Dr. **McMurrain** so well that I took the liberty (and the trouble), which was considerable, since I am no expert typist) to copy it, and in the rush at that time, I just got it in my head that I actually had answered. I have not felt so foolish in a long time as I did when rant onto it just now. I will send Dr. Finley his Bulletins at once, and also a note of apology. I certainly thank you for your usual kind recommendation of the Bulletin, and for the \$2.00 enclosed for Dr. Finley.

Your letter to Dr. **McMurrain** contains so much fine wisdom, so lucidly expounds the great principles of chiropractic, and withal is so wonderful an example of broad-minded tolerance and the true scientific spirit that I just had to copy it. If I had a million dollars I would endow the **Universal College** with nine hundred thousand of it right now, with the express stipulation that you and any other men you chose would have ample income from the endowment to devote all your time to **chiropractic research**, working when you pleased and taking vacations when you pleased.

For purely practial reasons, the day of straight chiropractic is over. Personally, I deeply regret this but at the same time I believe it is best for ultimate results. But the day of almost universal chiropractic is coming as a result of the self-sacrificing labors of men like you and a few others. Such researchers will sometime reveal means of utilizing the real power of spinal adjustment, which

will when 100% efficient work such comparative miracles that all other means except proper biologic care of the body will be discarded like the buggy was dropped for the automobile. But while that efficiency is being developed, the chiropractor is to be a general drugless physician...

1931 (Mar 24): letter to **Homer G. Beatty**, DC from **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dr. H.G. Beatty

1631 Glenarm Place,

Denver, Colo.

Dear Dr. Beatty:

I have your kind letter of the 16th, and am more than pleased to supply, so far as I can, the data your request. I have deferred my answer for a few days in the hope that I might hear from the four schools which have not returned the Bulletin questionnair. But since these have had two letters sent them, followed recently by a registered letter stating that if they did not reply in a few days they would have to be listed as refusing to cooperate, I am convinced that they do not mean to reply.

The Bulletin survey reveals that there are now 27 schools in actual operation in the United States. 23 of these have filled out and returned our questionnaire, but two did not state their enrollment. These are both small schools, however, so it does not matter much. The 21 giving their enrollment show a total of 1497 students.

Of the four schools failing to reply, thre -- the **Cleveland Chiropractic College**, Kansas City, Mo., The **Colvin College**, Wichita, Kan., The **Ratledge College**, Los Angeles, Calif. -- are presumably comparatively smal schools. The other, the Palmer School, would not fill out the questionnaire, but sent their catalog and said their enrollment was "over 300". By the way, if you happen to know anything about the three schools that have not replied, please let me know.

I figure that the total number of students now in our various schools will not miss 2000 much either way. If any of the other four schools reply I will let you have the figures at once. The Council of School Deans should be a fine stabilizing influence in Chiropractic, and I shall be most happy to do anything possible for them.

With many thanks for your kindnesses to the Bulletin, and assuring you that to serve you is always a great pleasure, I am, with every good wish, Sincerely yours,...

1931 (Mar 30): letter from **Homer G. Beatty**, DC, on **Colorado Chiropractic University** stationery (at 1631 Glenarm Place, Denver), to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Collected papers of Stanley Hayes DC):

Dear Dr. Hayes:

I wish to thank you for your letter of March 24th and the splendid information it contained.

The three schools who have not answered you average about forty students enrollment I think, as I have been in touch with each of these schools within the past year.

Since our list of schools totals thirty-seven I shall enclose a copy of such list. It may help each of us to make our lists more complete.

I am awaiting with interest the next issue of the Bulletin. Again thanking you for your co-operation, I am, Sincerely your,...

SCHOOLS

Akron College of Chiropractic, 829 E. Market St., Akron, Ohio American School of Chiropractic, 236 East 35th St., New York, N.Y. Berkeley College of Chiropractic, Shattuck Ave. near University Ave., Berkeley, Calif.

Blodgett Chiropractic College, 565 Rose Bldg., Cleveland, Ohio

Carver College of Chiropractic, 521 W. 9th St., Oklahoma City, Okla.
Cleveland Chiropractic College, 1417 Linwood Blvd., Kansas City,
Mo.

Colorado Chiropractic University, 1631 Glenarm Place, Denver, Colorado

Columbia Institute of Chiropractic, 261 W. 71 St., New York, N.Y. Colvin College of Chiropractic, 237 S. Main St., Wichita, Kansas Doty-Marsh College of Chiropractic, 4201 Walnut St., Philadelphia, Pa

Eastern Chiropractic Institute, 55 W. 42nd St., New York, N.Y. Indianapolis College of Chiropractic, Indianapolis, Ind.

Lincoln Chiropractic College, 518 N. Delaware St., Indianapolis, Ind. Los Angeles College of Chiropractic, 918 W. Venice Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif.

Marchand College of Chiropractic, Philadelphia, Pa.

Mecca College of Chiropractic, 122 Roseville Ave., Newark, N.J.

Metropolitan Chiropractic College, 3400 Euclid Ave., Cleveland, Ohio Missouri Chiropractic College, 706 Grand Ave. N., St. Louis, Mo.

National Eclectic Institute, 110 W. 90th St., New York, N.Y.

National College of Chiropractic, 20 N. Ashland Blvd., Chicago, Ill.

New York School of Chiropractic, 488 E. 149th St., New York, N.Y.

Pacific College of Chiropractic, 125 Grand Ave. N., Portland, Ore.

Palmer School of Chiropractic, Davenport, Iowa

Pasadena College of Chiropractic, 876 N. Fair Oaks Ave., Pasadena, California

Peerless College of Chiropractic, 3159 S. Michigan Ave., Chicago, Ill. Ramsay College of Chiropractic, 70 Willow St., Minneapolis, Minn. Ratledge College of Chiropractic, 232 S. Hill St., Los Angeles, Calif.

Ross College of Chiropractic, 1311 Webster St., Fort Wayne, Ind.
San Francisco College of Chiropractic & Drugless Therapy, 1122
Sutter St., San Francisco, California

Seattle College of Chiropractic, 401 Lowman Bldg., Seattle, Wash. Standard School of Chiropractic, 147 W. 42nd St., New York, N.Y.

Texas Chiropractic College, San Pedro Park, San Antonio, Texas

Toronto College of Chiropractic, Toronto, Canada

Universal College of Chiropractic, 3531 Forbes St., Pittsburg, Pa.

Washington School of Chiropractic, 1116 F. St. Northwest, Washington, D.C.

West Coast College of Chiropractic, 426-29th St., Oakland, California Western Chiropractic College, 2021 Independence Ave., Kansas City, Mo.

Denver Chiropractic Institute, Tramway Bldg., Denver, Colorado

1931 (Apr 28): letter from E.A. Thompson DC of 516 N. Charles St., Baltimore, with seal of the Executive Committee of the Lincoln Chiropractic College, to Stanley Hayes DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Doctor:

Received your kind letter the other day and want you to know I was more than glad to hear from you and glad to learn that my recent letter to you met with your approval. You have my consent to use this letter before your state association or in any way that you feel it will be of help.

From the description of your efforts in getting out this *Bulletin* will say that I believe you have your hands full and it seems to me your state association should take some means of either giving you help or relieving you of some part of the duties. It is mighty noble of you to look at it in the way you do and I am only more than glad that my letter and those of others help to encourage this good work.

I want to especially thank you for the kind words expressed in your letter relative to my writings and I am more than glad that they have been a help to you in shooting straight from the shoulder. I

learned long ago in my earlier experiences with Chiropractors and in teaching Chiropractic subjects it was always best to tell the truth, hit straight, and in the end you were thought more of then if you contented yourself with being merely a yes yes man.

Some day I am going to send you an affadavit that I wrote shortly after coming to Baltimore relative to the ins leading up to the N.C.M. campaign and of the incidents that lead the faculty to endorse it. I have never used this affadavit nor do I intend to unless **old whiskers** should ever get nasty with me. There are parts of it however, that are absolute facts presented and on file that you could refer to whenever you thought that the occasion warranted it.

Again thanking you and trusting this finds everything going nicely, I am with every good and kind wish, Sincerely,...

- 1931 (May/June): **The Bulletin of the West Virginia Chiropractor's Society** [3(6)] includes article by Editor **Stanley Hayes** DC at 853 Mercer St, Princeton WV, who notes:
- -"The future of chiropractic" by **Stanley Hayes** (pp. 1-10)
- -"Cleveland's celebrated remark to his quibbling Congress, 'We are facing a CONDITION, not a theory." (p. 1)
- -reviews pros and cons of mixing
- -notes 1928 survey by the late John N Monroe DC, Chairman of the ACA Research Bureau and published in the ACA Bulletin, which noted that of 302 respondents, 65 indicated they practiced "straight chiropractic exclusively", 237 said they did not, 182 respondents were PSC graduates (p. 2) (Cleveland papers, CCC/KC)
- 1931 (Sept): Journal of the National Chiropractic Association (1[6]; BA Sauer DC, editor) includes
- -"Meet the Vice-Presidents" (inside front cover) includes G.G. Woods, D.C. of Minot ND & Stanley Hayes, D.C. of WV

1932 (Jan): Journal of the International Chiropractic Congress [JICC] (1[2]):

-"News Flashes: West Virginia" (p. 9):

The *Bulletin of the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society*, published by Dr. **Stanley Hayes** of Princeton, has discontinued publication, for the present at least. We regret to see any state paper suspend publication and particularly one that is alive to the issues at hand. May the vacation be a short one only and may the interim be used to recharge the batteries and refill the dynamic pen of Editor **Hayes**.

We find in the last issue the following pertinent comment: "Right now the most important question facing the Profession is whether it shall permit the continuance of this **senseless** civil strife to abolish mixing - **which cannot be done**; or whether it shall devote its strength to holding mixing within rational bounds - **which can be done**. As we value our own welfare and that of the millions of sick people whom **rational Chiropractic** will restore to health and happiness, let us hope that this useless struggle will soon cease. The longer we fight the more we **all** lose. A few more years will see the death of selfish factionalism, and a few more decades will find our Profession universally accepted and respected. The future of Chiropractic is safe."

1932 (Jan 15): letter from Harry E. Vedder DC, president of the Lincoln Chiropractic College at 518 North Delaware St., Indianapolis, to Stanley Hayes (Hayes collection):

Dear Stanley:

Whenever I see a man who can get on his feet and talk apparently without effort or preparation and never seem to run out of material to say, I absolutely marvel at that man. I have had quite a little experience in speaking, but it is always somewhat of an effort to me. I think I write much more easily than I speak and yet when I read yur letters and writings, I marvel at your ability to completely discuss a series of points using simile after simile with such telling effect. I agree with you that if an article or pamphlet such as you suggest you would like to write were to be presented, no school or organization could have a part in it. It would have to come from a self-organized group of Chiropractors who could not possibly have any selfish motive to promote and whose presentation would have to be accepted as a sincere effort to protect their fellow practitioners from misconception and wrong conclusions. I know darn well there are plenty of fellows in the field who feel strongly enough about the whole matter that they would be glad to carry their share of the project but they are widely scattered and probably no one of them knows who the others are.

Keating

I was greatly interested in the latter part of your letter wherein you strongly urge that we go to bat in this war for sanity and decency in our profession. That we propose to do. I am not so sure that the policy of throwing ethics to the winds and employing personalities and mud slinging would be successful for us. Your position as a practitioner and as a defender of the practitioner gives you a wider latituted than our position can allow us. I am wondering that to employ drastic language and personal attacks would not defeat our purpose. I know you don't think so, but that is still is a question in my mind. When I say this I know that strong, biting, sarcastic language in reference to his methods can be used. The question in my mind is whether or not we should not confine ourselves to purely principle.

With every good and kind wish, Very truly yours,...

1932 (Jan 18): letter to **Stanley Hayes** from **Benjamin A. Sauer** DC, Executive Sec'y of the **NCA**, at 528 Butternut St., Syracuse NY, on **NCA** stationery (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Hayes:

Thank you for your letter of January 12th, which I have read with interest, and assure you I appreciate it.

Yes, the old boy is up to his old tricks, but believe me, we've got him on the run when he takes the kind of a chance that he has now taken, and we've got a beautiful slap prepared for the next issue of the Journal, one that I think will just about be the straw that breaks the camel's back, so far as the Chiropractic profession and its opinion of **B.J.** is concerned.

We've got some recent stuff that's a knock-out, and we're going to let him have it. Of course we have plenty more on hand anytime he wants it, and we're not going to let up in our efforts until such time as the Chiropractic profession is safe from the danger it has been facing and is at present facing.

I am firmly convinced that the tough part is over.

Very glad to have your wife's application, which I will submit to the Board for consideration and approval. I assume that she will come under the ruling of man and wife practicing in the same office where both are licensed, which means that membership benefits will be extended to you both merely by payment of dues on your part. No extra charge for the wife.

With kindest personal regards, Sincerely,...

1932 (Jan 19): letter from Harry E. Vedder DC, president of the Lincoln Chiropractic College at 518 North Delaware St., Indianapolis, to Stanley Hayes (Hayes collection):

Dear Stanley,

Just a line to call your attention to a fact which impressed me greatly; it is the fact that John Stoke in his Virginia News Letter, which just reached my desk, has spent three pages in DEFENSE. This is music to my sole. We will give him plenty of things to defend in the future.

With every good and kind wish. Very truly yours,...

1932 (Apr): Journal of the International Chiropractic Congress [JICC] (1[5]) includes:

-"Selfishness - Our Greatest Curse! A Frank Analysis of Our Professional Life" by **Stanley Hayes** DC, Editor of the West Virginia Bulletin; strongly endorses **JICC** (pp. 9, 16)

1932 (June): *National (College) Journal of Chiropractic* [15(2)] includes

-letter to **W.C. Schulze** MD, DC from **Stanley Hayes** DC (p. 10): Dear Doctor Schulze:

some time I have deferred writing to congratulate you upon your excellent article, "The future of chiropractic," in the April number of the *I.C.C. Journal*. I hope you will continue to speak frequently to the profession. There is nothing better calculated to develop a sane viewpoint within our ranks than such sermons of sound sense. Even the dullest intellect cannot help instinctively feeling the contrast between your calm, invincible logic and the unreasonable, radical nonsense they have so long heard from certain sources.

Wishing you every good thing, I am, Sincerely and most respectfully yours,...

1932 (Aug 16): letter to **Stanley Hayes** from **Benjamin A. Sauer** DC, Executive Sec'y of the **NCA**, at 528 Butternut St., Syracuse NY, on **NCA** stationery (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Hayes:

I am very pleased to advise that the Board of Directors of the N.C.A. have appointed you to the office of Vice-President to represent your state for the ensuing year.

Will you kindly advise me as to your acceptance of this appointment, and I trust that we may have your full cooperationi for the best interests of Chiropractic.

Sincerely,...

1932 (Aug 16): letter to **Stanley Hayes** from **Benjamin A. Sauer** DC, Executive Sec'y of the **NCA**, at 528 Butternut St., Syracuse NY, on **NCA** stationery (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Hayes:

It was might good to meet you at Detroit, and I was only sorry that your limited time there and the many matters that kept me busy prevented our getting together for a pleasant visit, for I had hoped for a long time to have an opportunity to sit down and talk with you in regard to the many matters that we have in common interest.

Looks now, however, as though that opportunity has passed, for you probably have heard by now that I am to go out of office October 1st. I tendered my resignation as Executive Secretary to the Board the day after the convention, when the Board voted to move all of the business affairs of the Association into Mr. Holmes' office, taking them away from the Executive Secretary. I had no desire to continue merely as a figure-head and to draw down a salary as such, so therefore immediately did the only honorable thing I knew, and that was to tender my resignation, which the Board accepted, they setting October 1st as the date when same is to be effective.

Of course, I'll miss the many activities and sorry to have to give up the extensive program that I have worked on for many years, but I just couldn't see it that way so feel that I had no alternative.

May your powerful pen continue to carry on for the best interests of the profession.

With kindest personal regards and best wishes, Sincerely,...

1932 (Aug/Sept): Journal of the ICC [1(9)] includes:

-ad notes ICC convention will be held at Hotel Muehlebach in KC during November 13-17, 1932 (p. 2)

-"Great news for the profession! All national leaders to be present at the I.C.C. convention at Kansas City, Mo." (p. 3)

-Editor LM Rogers DC discusses "NCA Convention" (p. 4):

The editor had the privilege of attend the Annual Convention of the National Chiropractic Association which was held at the Book-Cadillac Hotel in Detroit, August 1 to 6, 1932. It was, without question, the most successful convention ever held by the National Association.

There were more than eleven hundred Chiropractors in registered attendance the second day of the convention. How many more arrived later and did not register is problematical.

The Perfect Back Contest held at the same time was a real inspiration to those in attendance and, if the editor recalls correctly, there were 48 entrants in the Michigan contest which was finally won by Miss Grand Rapids. Miss Ohio, winner of the Ohio contest, was also in the competition and the judges, Drs. C.W. Johnson, R.C. Snow, J.N. Firth and H.W. McNichols had a very difficult and trying task in selecting the final winner. Four Laymen – artists and sculptors, and Mr. Robert L. Ripley of "Believe It or Not" fame assisted in the selection.

The Masonic Auditorium was obtained for the huge public meeting and it was filled to over-flowing with enthusiastic spectators who viewed the Perfect Back Contestants, listened to the famous Mr. Ripley with his "fairy stories," heard Mr. Hayward Thompson's enthusiastic endorsement of the Chiropractic principle in explaining how he was cured from total blindness by a Chiropractor after the Government medical doctors and several famous brain surgeons had pronounced him hopelessly and permanently blind, listened to the names of the winners in the High School Essay Contest which was conducted in the State of Michigan and the awards of scholarships in various Chiropractic Colleges as announced by Dr. Sauer, and then the huge crowd adjourned to the Dancing Pavilion where a Plymouth Sedan was given to the holder of the lucky number (a lady from the Boston, by the way), and then continued the dancing until far, far into the night.

The Post Graduate sessions conducted by Drs. Kightlinger, Firth, Beatty, Smith, Ramsey, Bremer, Golden, Harring, Hibbard were a revelation and an education to the many practitioners who attended and much praise was bestowed upon these doctors who gave so generously of their time and knowledge.

We cannot pass this opportunity without a word of praise for Drs. W.L. **Sausser**, W.C. **Schulze**, L.J. **Steinbach**, C.W. Johnson, **Stanley Hayes** and Mr. **Arthur Holmes**, all of whom had wonderful and timely messages for the field.

Then, too, there were those from Iowa of whom we were justly proud Drs. Slocum and Hawkins, and Dr. Rensvold of South Dakota. Our own Dr. Halstein of Iowa was also scheduled on the program but was taken suddenly ill and was unable to attend. He is now getting along nicely, however, and will soon be able to resume his practise.

Dr. Lillard Marshall, President, was at his best and while he was so busy, along with the Board of Directors, that little was seen of him or them, their handiwork was much in evidence. Dr. Sauer was also as busy as a bee along with Dr. Tennant, the General Chairman.

There were twenty-three applicants who took the National Board examination which was conducted by Drs. Slocum, O'Connell and Durham.

One of the most interesting developments, so far as the field is concerned was the resignation of all members of the Board of Directors (10) so that the number and general expense of such a large board might be reduced at this time. The Convention assembled then elected a Board of Directors comprising five members as follows: Dr. Wilbern Lawrence, Chairman; Dr. A.W. Schwietert; Dr. J.H. Durham; Dr. C.M. Guyselman; Dr. O.A. Ohlson.

Dr. Lillard T. Marshall was re-elected President; Dr. B.A. Sauer was re-elected Secretary, but later resigned; Dr. Harry McIlroy was elected Vice-President at Large; Dr. L.E. Fuller was re-elected as Treasurer and Mrs. C.R. Hart as Ass't Treasurer with headquarters at LaCrosse, Wisconsin.

The Convention assembled adopted several important resolutions, among them being the following one of interest to all Chiropractors:

"Chiropractic is the science of locating and removing any interference with the transmission of nerve energy."

An interesting experiment was undertaken when the various analytical and diagnostic instruments were put to a test, side by side, in the hands of experts, in analyzing the same six patients. The instruments used were the Analyte, Neurocalometer, Calbro-Magnowave, Bio-Dynameter, Pathoclast, and Palpation with the hands alone. The results of these tests will probably be published by the N.C.A.

The afternoon session found the Convention witnessing a comparison of the various methods of adjusting and much good was derived therefrom.

Denver, Colorado was the lucky city in the selection of the next meeting place for the National Association in Annual Convention, and were the Colorado delegates delighted? Well, I guess! They proved it!

It is rather difficult to convey an idea of all the splendid activities of the N.C.A. Convention during that first week in August and so if, in the rush of things, we have forgotten to mention anything or anybody in this bird's eyeview report, kindly remember that at best we could only give you the high lights as we saw them then and there.

Sipthorp A, Hayes S (Members, Resolutions Committee). Resolution adopted by the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society in convention at Morgantown, May 5, 1932. *National (College) Journal of Chiropractic* 1932 (Sept); 15(3): 12

1932 (Sept): *National (College) Journal of Chiropractic* [15(3)] includes:

-"RESOLUTION adopted by West Virginia Chiropractors' Society in Convention at Morgantown, May 5, 1932" by A. Sipthorp, D.C. and S. **Hayes**, D.C., Resolutions Committee, West Virginia Chiropractors Society (E.E. Collins, D.C.), Secy.-Treas. (p. 12):

Whereas, it is common knowledge that the Chiropractic profession has been greatly damaged by factional strife amongst various schools and organizations: - Therefore, be it resolved that the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society in Convention assembled at Morgantown, W.Va., May the 5th, 1932, does hereby condemn the personal and defamatory and destructive literature that is being received by the Chiropractic profession from the said various schools and organizations.

Each school and organization should be instrumental in uplifting and building of Chiropractic by the issuance of constructive literature and refrain from attack, inuendos, rebuttals upon each other because of differences in opinion as to certain methods used in the propagation and instruction of Chiropractic, this is to be greatly deplored and results in confusion and hatreds inimical to the ultimate good of the Chiropractic profession and science.

Be it further resolved that a copy of this resolution be sent to all Chiropractic schools and organizations.

1932 (Sept): Journal of the ICC [1(9)] includes:

-Editor LM Rogers DC discusses NCA convention (p. 4):

...We cannot pass this opportunity without a word of praise for Drs. W.L. Sausser, W.C. Schulze, L.J. Steinbach, C.W. Johnson, Stanley Hayes and Mr. Arthur Holmes, all of whom had wonderful and timely messages for the field.

1933 (Nov): The Chiropractic Journal (NCA) [1(11)] publishes:

-Stanley Hayes, D.C. of Princeton WV, secretary-treasurer of the West Virginia Chiropractors Society, authors letter to the editor (p. 24):

Dear Dr. Rogers:

I have read the NRA Chiropractic Code and endorse it most heartily. I would urge every chiropractor to work earnestly for its adoption.

Yours very truly,...

1934 (Jan): *The Chiropractic Journal* (NCA) [3(1)] includes: -Stanley Hayes, D.C. authors "The question is – to think or not to think!" (pp. 11-2, 52)

1934 (May 6): handwritten note from M.A. Kirkpatrick DC of Salem WV to Stanley Hayes DC (in my Logan file): Dear Doctor

I am writing to you to find out something about this Universal Health Basic Technique. I received a letter this last week from Dr. Hugh B. Logan, from Pgh. stating he would be in Huntington to-day. According to what his pupils say it is something very good, and if so I would like to, if possible, to know what it is and get it. We all need bracing up in our work, we who have been out and in the field so long, get to feel rusty and need help.

Now they didn't give the price of the course, and haven't given us enough warning. Are you taking it and are they going to give it at this time in Huntington. Why not come to Clarksburg. I would take it if it is not too much in price, but right now it is the same old story, Bank still closed. As well, our B & Loan Bank will open soon. Will you please ans. soon and give particulars, if you are for it. They should give us a chance up in this part of state; as it is impossible for me to go to Huntington.

Sincerely,...

1934 (June 1): letter from Hector Lamont DC (PSC grad) at Suite 317-320 Wheeling Bank & Trust Bldg., Wheeling WV to Stanley Hayes DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Stanley:

I enjoyed reading your announcement of the Convention and must say it is a masterpiece; and I think you have extended a splendid invitation and that we should certainly have a crowd.

Now comes the bombshell -- I do not know whether or ot yu were expecting it but I do not think you were. Remick called me up after reading the writeup about Dr. **Logan**and felt very much insulted about

it and wanted to know why the slander against Dr. Logan. All my peace overtures to him were of little avail and I told him, or rather insisted, that what you had said about Dr. Logan was without malice aforethough; and that possibly you had not thought how this might be construed by some of Dr. Logan's followers. Dr. Remick said that he felt there was an apology due Dr. Logan as it seemed to him that you had belittled Dr. Logan -- in other words, it seems to me where the shoe pinches is that Dr. Schulze got such a splendid writeup. I am very sorry that this has happened at the last minute because we have had harmony throughout the entire state all year; and no doubt this same mail will carry a letter from Dr. Remick. I told him to write you and get everything off his chest and that I felt assured that ifhe felt you had wronged Dr. Logan that it was certainly not yur intention to do so.

Of course when I tried to explain the matter to Dr. Remick, from my viewpoint, he told me that I was trying to take your part. To be perfectly frank about it, taking clause by clause in the entire paragraph there is really nothing in it that anyone could take honest-to-goodness exception to; but, however, for the sake of harmony, I would suggest that you write Remick a letter and tell him that there certainly was no intention on your part to insult or belittle Dr. **Logan**; but that you did not have very much information about him and that you gave as much as you could in the writeup about him. I think from this, you will get my viewpoint. Dr. Remick really was very much peeved. I told him to think over the matter and not jump at first conclusions.

Have you heard of anyone taking the examination in July? So far I have not; but I received notice this morning from the Health Department to prepare papers for examination to be held in Wheeling starting July 9th.

In case I do not write you again before the convention, I propose leaving here Monday night and expect to be at the Chancellor Hotel about eleven o'clock and will look to see you there at that time.

Glad to know you made such splendid time in getting the mats from Dr. **Schulze** and I sincerely hope the Chiropractors will avail themselves of the opportunity to use them. I do not know of anything further at this time. With kindest regards....

1934 (June 6): letter to Hector Lamont DC from **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Doctor Lamont:

After writing you yesterday, it came suddenly to my mind that I had wholly failed to mention the article in MODERN LIVING, which you sent me recently. That article made me so damned mad when I read it that I felt like I'd love to break that bird's neck. I set the matter aside to cool, and in the meantime the circular letter had to be got out, the getting of the mats was on my mind and a thousand of the other infernal nuisances that always crop up when a fellow is busy came to devil me. So I lost old **Trubenbach** clean out of my consciousness for the time being, and the rush of subsequent events kept him out.

The said **Trubenbach** seems to be on eof those apes whose eogotism outgrew his ability. He must love to see his name in print, for nothing but an overwhelming itch for publicity at any cost could have induced a man to launch forth on a subject he knew so little about. The whole darned mess is so full of loose statements, obvious inaccuracies, and doubtful conclusions that I wouldn't know where to start criticizing it. It contains some truth, of course -- a man could hardly write that many pages without allowing a little truth to creep in. To review the thing and give it its due without incurring suspicion of being biased would be a time-consuming job and require a long article.

I believe the best thing we can do is to read the blooming at the convention and pass a resolution strongly condemning its inaccuracies and stating that the article as a whole proves to any well-informed chiropractor that its author is far from being able to present the facts about Chiropractic so that the layman may have an inkling of the truth. We should call upon the editor to give space to a similar article by a man picked for the job by the NCA, which is our most representative national organization. Personally, I believe that L.J. Steinbach would come nearly to doing a good job than anyone wise in the profession. There would of course be infinite diversity of opinion about that in the convention, so probably we had better send the resolution to the NCA, accompanied by the suggestion from the president and secretary that Dr. Steinbach do the job, unless you can think of a better man for it. Think it over and we will handle the matter at the convention as you think best.

1934 (June 18): unsigned letter (presumably from **Stanley Hayes** DC) to "Dr. Dale L. Kemp" at Latstetter Bldg., Clarksburg WV (Hayes collection):

Dear Doctor:

This office has been informed that you are practicing Chiropractic in West Virginia without having secured a license from the State Board of Chiropractic Examiners.

When the law regulating the practice of Chiropractic in West Virginia was passed in 1925, the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society gave the legislature its solemn pledge that it would spare no pain to see that the law was enforced. The Society has faithfully kept that pledge and has omitted neither trouble nor expense to prevent unauthorized practice of Chiropractic in the state.

Please inform me definitely as to whether you are or are not (1) practicing Chiropractic; or (2) representing yourself to be a practitioner of Chiropractic.

I shall expect you to give me a definite answer within ten days. Yours truly,...

Secretary-Treasurer, West Virginia Chiropractors' Society

1934 (Oct 2): letter to **L.M. Rogers** DC from **Hector Lamont** DC (PSC grad) in Wheeling WV (Hayes collection):

Dear Doctor Rogers:

Following the action of the **NCA** at the convention in Pittsburgh, I am enclosing herewith my check for five dollars for membership, together with my application which I trust you will find in order.

This morning, on looking over the October issue of the *Chiropractic Journal*, I find several things upon which I wish to offer what I would call constructive criticism and I trust you will accept it as such

On Page 4, the first two editorial articles are unquestionably a tirade against the Palmer School and B.J. Palmer. You know, Doctor Rogers, you are trying to unite Chiropractors throughout the country regardless of what school they graduated from and such editorial articles as this certainly is not helping the policy of the NCA. (I, personally, am a graduate of the Palmer School of February, 1914). I certainly do not agree with Doctor Palmer on many things, but I do not feel that the statements in your editorial article are at all elevating to the science of Chiropractic; and you nor anyone else can deny that B.J. Palmer has done as much, if not more for Chiropractic, than any man living today; and I certainly do hope that in your efforts to unite the Chiropractic profession, you will take into consideration the fact that there are as many, if not more, Palmer School graduates in the United States today as there are graduates from all other schools put together; and that in the future you will use at least a little discretion in writing editorial articles.

In reference to the number attending either the **NCA** convention in Denver of Pittsburgh, or those attending at Davenport, naturally there

are conflicting statements, but why bring this up? It does absolutely no good and certainly cannot help but do a great deal of harm as it has a tendency to create still more unrest in the Chiropractic profession.

I had been in hopes that after the Pittsburgh convention which I attended and was very much pleased to meet you personally, that let of this useless talk would be left aside and that you would go in for things really worth while in a big way. Who cares how many attended Davenport, Pittsburgh or Denver?

Then, under the heading "Voice of the Profession" on Page 16, under the sub-heading "A Basic Technician's report," you have what is purported to be a letter written in by one boasting Logan's Basic Technique. Now, I do not have any quarrel with anyone wishing to use Logan's BT or anything else, but it seems to me that -- as you are trying to unite the profession -- why not write up an article giving facts on the so-called Hole-In-One method of adjustment and the use of the Neurocalometer. It seems to me this would be only fair play, and particularly in view of the fact that the NCA is looking for new members and that the Chiropractic Journal is looking for new subscribers, that a few articles on the Hole-In-One, as stated above, or on Spinal Balance as taught at the UCC by Dr. Steinbach, or Dr. **DeJarnette**'s **Sacro-Occipital Technique**, would have a tendency to increase your subscriptions and membership of the NCA: Because, you know as well as I that Chiropractors using these methods are naturally interested in different phases of Chiropractic and are naturally going to subscribe to periodicals publishing articles on the subject or brach of Chiropractic that they are particularly interested in.

I appreciate the fact that in order to make the Journal self-sustaining, it is necessary to procure considerable advertising; but I certainly do not feel that it is necessary to run Kolar's stuff on Page 25 and Logan's stuff on Page 29 as "Special". Why not mark this stuff advertising? It really is and you know it as well as I. No doubt you will recall, I brought this to your attention in Pittsburgh and upon talking to numerous persons at Pittsburgh I found that everyone spoken to was of the same opinion as myself in this regard. I do not know what, if any obligation the NCA is under to these two gentlement but it seems to me that the NCA should be bigger than any one or two people or any clique of people and should be an organization for the good of Chiropractors throughout the country. I assure you that had there been more of a clean-cut open and aboveboard policy during the past few years, many other Chiropractors (myself included) would have become members long before this time.

This letter is rather long and no doubt somewhat rambling but I cannot refrain from expressing my opinion on the above subjects and I trust you will accept it in the same spirit in which it is written.

With kindest regards, I am, Very truly yours,...

1934 (Oct 4): letter from **L.M. Rogers** DC to **Hector Lamont** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Lamont:

This will acknowledge your letter of October 2 enclosing an application for general membership in the **National Chiropractic Association**. Your application will be submitted to the Board of Directors for their approval, and you will be notified within two weeks of their decision in the matter. I am very happy to welcome you into our large friendly family, and I assure you that it was a real pleasure to get your interesting letter.

For the most part I agree heartily with the sentiment expressed. You are right! We are trying to unite the Chiropractic profession. However, we have found that it is impossible to unite them so long as we have someone like **B.J. Palmer** driving the wedge of dissention to prevent National unity.

I am, myself, a graduate of the **PSC**, but I, like thousands of others of his graduates, thoroughly disapprove of his policy of dividing the profession for personal aggrandizement. It may be, as you say, that I should have used a little more discretion in writing about him editorially. However, when one reads the *FHN* month after month, and sees such ridiculous and far-fetched statements, it is difficult to let them pass without correcting the impressions left.

I am, however, almost convinced that it might be the wise thing to ignore his activities completely and go on down the line building for National Chiropractic unity.

So far as the "Voice of the Profession" page is concerned, you will note that that is a page or pages devoted to letters from the field which discuss many things with which we may or may not agree, and is so stated in the tile above the page. It is an "Open Forum," and we publish the gist of many letters received with which we do not agree.

I wish to advise you also that when our present contract with those mentioned runs out, should they desire further advertising, it will be so marked, as the new contract will specifically provide for such.

I want you to know that I value your opinion very highly and I trust you will see my position in the matter. We are, as you probably know, attempting to please not just one group within the profession, but all of the groups, that it is possible to please, as only in this way can we bring about a National Chiropractic unity.

I assure you that I shall be very glad to hear from you at any time. With kindest personal regards and best wishes, I am, Sincerely yours,

Dr. L.M. Rogers

Editor and Publisher

1934 (Nov 15): letter from **Harry R. Bybee** DC in Norfolk VA to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dr. Stanley Hayes,

861 Mercer Street

Princeton, West Virginia

Dear doctor:

There is a meeting of the Tri-State Chiropractic Association in Richmond on the 29th and 30th of this month. **B.J. Palmer** will be there and deliver four lectures.

The Chiropractors opposing him are partly organized and hope this will be his last visit to Virginia. We have prepared several questions which we hope will prove embarrassing to him. It has been asked that I write you for a copy of the challenge which you extended him several years ago. I shall appreciate you sending this to me at once as I will read it in his presence.

I am President of the Virginia Chiropractic Society and we are joining with the Tri-State members who oppose him and expect to cause him much embarrassment. If you find it convenient we shall be very glad to have you attend the meeting as we expect two or three hundred chiropractors present.

Thanking you very kindly in advance for the favor I have asked, I beg to remain,

Yours very truly,...

1934 (Nov 15): letter to **Harry R. Bybee** DC in Norfolk VA from **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Doctor:

Complying with your request of the 15th, I take pleasure in enclosing a copy of THE BULLETIN for July-August, 1931. It contained the material you want, beginning on page 8. Please return this when you are through with it, as it is the [only] copy I have.

I would suggest, if I may, that you read the whole business, that is, everything which follows the heading "EDITORIAL", on page ?.

If you just pick out the quoted "challenge" which he has made previously to me, the article will lose nine-tenths of its effectiveness. Let him have the whole broadside.

This "challenge" of his, I might remark is a most typical example of the contemptible trickery and unspeakable villany which this utterly unprincipled crook has habitually used to bamboozle boneheads. He knew full well that he would "get by" with it, for FHN was mailed out with his "challenge" on it to every chiropractor under the sun whose names he could obtain. Everybody, you, has always got FHN FREE, for it is his private propaganda sheet. We know that THE BULLETIN was merely a state organization publication with necessarily small circulation. So while he knew in advance that he would have to take hold of a trimming in the eyes of the BULLETIN'S readers, he was willing to do that for the sake of bein gable to fool the other 98% of all chiropractors. For once they could have no way of seeing my reply to his challenge, thewould naturally suppose that I had just backed down and made no answer at all, thus leaving BJ triumphant. That is exactly what he was after. Exactly like him, isn't it!...

1934 (Dec 13): letter to "Hurst" on stationery of "Drs. Hayes & Hayes, Chiropractors" (Stanley Hayes DC and Eloise Hayes DC) at 861 Mercer St., Princton WV (Hayes collection): Dear Hurst:

Your mother desires me to outline the nature of her trouble to you, as she says it is hard for her to explain.

Her main difficulty is a kidney condition (not apparently organic as yet), which is at the bottom of most of her symptoms (gas, bladder irritability, cardiac palpitation, etc.).

She is very much better after just a few adjustments, and I see no reason why she should not be entirely well in due time.

Hurriedly but very cordially yours, Stanley Hayes

1934 (Dec 29): letter from W.C. Schulze MD, DC, President of **National College of Chiropractic**, to **Stanley Hayes** DC at 853 Mercer St., Princton WV (Hayes collection):

Dear Doctor Hayes:

The *National College Journal of Chiropractic* has been growing rapidly in popularity. Most of the articles appearing in the Journal are written by members of the N.C.C. faculty. But now we are thinking that it would be a good thing to have some of our friends and graduates write articles for publication in the *Journal* every now and again.

A survey revealed the fact that the articles which appeal the most are technical ones. So I am wondering if you might not want to sit down when you have a little leisure, and write an article for publication in the Journal published by yhour Alma Mater? There is no material compensation for your work because we publish the Journal quarterly at a tremendous loss. But there is the satisfaction of doing something for a worthwhile magazine, of putting your thoughts and experiences on paper and having them published so they can be of benefit to others in your profession.

Perhaps you have something in mind on which you know you would like to write. If not, I might suggest something seasonal; or treatment of some chronic condition in which you have had particular success. I will let the selection of a subject to you. It is much easier to write about something that appeals to us rather than a subject given to us.

The article should be about 1200 words long.

I am wondering if you could write such an article for us and submit it to us within the next ten days? We would appreciate it very much. I hope you had a nice Christmas and that your practice is coming along well. The NATIONAL COLLEGE is forging ahead at a rapid pace and you can be prouder than ever of your Alma Mater today.

With every good wish to your for a HAPPY AND PROSPEROUS NEW YEAR, I am,

Cordially and fraternally yours,

Dr. W.C. Schulze

President,

THE NATIONAL COLLEGE OF CHIROPRACTIC

1935 (Jan 15): **Stanley Hayes** DC replies to W.C. **Schulze** MD, DC's letter of 12/29/34 (Hayes collection):

The National College of Chiropractic

20 N. Ashland Blvd.,

Chicago, Ill.

Gentlemen:

In reply to your letter of December 29th, requesting an article for the Journal, I am sorry to say that I have been too busy to get at it. There are some Chiropractic subjects upon which I might conceivably say something of interest to somebody, but I should not care to attempt anything of that kind unless I had at least a chance to do more than merely "write something for publication". There is too much of that kind of writing already -- not only in our professional journals but in supposedly high class lay publications as well. If the spirit moves me some day to write a little that might help someone, I will send it along.

Sincerely yours,...

1935 (Jan 19): letter from Stanley Hayes to Franklin D. Roosevelt on NCA stationery as representative from WV to the NCA (Hayes collection):

1935 (Mar 14): letter from **Stanley Hayes** to **L.M. Rogers** DC in Webster City IA (Hayes collection):

Dear Doctor Rogers:

The program committee for the next annual convention of the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society, to be held at Wheeling some time in June, have asked me to present to the convention the facts regarding the advantages of affiliation with the **National Chiropractic Association**.

Naturally, I will be glad to do this, as I have waited several years for conditions here to ripen to the point where a resolution to affiliate would stand a fair chance of success without at the same time seriously disrupting our state organization. I could have forced a sufficient vote at any one of several past conventions, but to have done so would have been a strategic blunder. Such a premature move would have created a dangerous split in our Society, closed the avenue of conversion to the opposition, and led to a certain rescinding of the business later -- possibly at the very next convention. Thus the last state would have been worse than the first, and the sore spot created would have prevented constructive action for years to come.

I have chose to work quietly, not to say insidiously, among the stronger element in the state. It may interest you to know that I have never once mentioned or hinted at affiliation to any of them. I knew that if my part was played right, affiliation would suggest itself to them. Apparently it did, for this program committee, who now start the ball rolling, consists of three men who have led the open opposition in previous conventions and elsewhere. Two of these were formerly strong bj-ites. The other, Dr. Remick, of Wheeling, used to be a member of the ACA, but has been a bitter "anti" since the big riot at Cleveland (I believe it was Cleveland) over Benny Sauer etc. some years ago. I am very glad and a little surprised to see that

Remick is back in the fold. He went to the Pgh. convention last year, but only as an ardent worker for Logan and the Basic Technic organization, which then chanced to be his reigning obsession.

Remick thought I was responsible for **Logan**'s failing to organize a class in this state, and for his general fizzle in the various attempts he made to gain a foothold in this region for his purposes of exploitation. Remick had **Logan** on the program of our last convention to squirt the old sales spiel under the guise of a "scientific lecture," one of his lieutenants slated for an X-ray lecture, had some private propaganda in circulation, and I am sure he had Tripplett and some of **Logan**'s other **Basic Tech**. birds lined up to come from nearby states to "visit" our convention and help overwhelm the unwary with boundless praise of said technic. Whether Remick was to get a cut-back for all this clever (?) work on the program committee, I don't know, but I thought so then and still think so. At any rate, the stage was beautifully set.

In the last monthly circular letter to our Society membership and all other chiropractors in the state, I gave a brief synopsis of the various attractions of the convention, the object being to get out a good attendance, which we did. But in mentioning Logan 's part I let fall a phrase which was quite innocent --- so innocent, in fact, that nobody but the Logan crowd noticed it at all --- but which threw the Logan camp into a stampede. I never saw so much squirming about nothing. Remick frothed at the mouth and wrote me a hot letter demanding immediate apology to Logan, and as good as saying that he (Remick) and others would not attend the convention unless such abject and profuse apology was instantly forthcoming. Logan, of course, would never think of coming after such an insult unless all was retracted and Logan 's boot well licked. Anyone with the least bit of naivete left in his hide would have concluded from the letter that the whole northern end of the state was in arms, that the convention would be a dead flop, and the state Society shot all to shreds if I did not at once mend matters.

I merely reminded Remick that the guilty flee when no man pursueth, and that if he was foolish enough to let others know the sinister significance he read into that innocent remark they would undoubtedly suspect that he had a guilty conscience, and might get very suspicious of him and Logan. I informed Remick how long he would have to wait for me to furnish him, Logan, or anybody else with an apology for that, and told him politely where he could go while he waited. I promised him grief without end if he attempted to carry out his insinuation that he would make an issue of his peeve at the convention and sow discord. He did not mention it at the convention, and Logan didn't come. The latter, to save his face, wired that he had urgent business in New York, and he was so sorry he could not be with us. Requiescat in pace!

Logan, in line with his reputed boast that they would make a subsidiary of the NCA or break it up, had Remick spouting venom against the organization. I asked him at Pittsburgh why he did not belong anymore, and he replied that the NCA was "too d----d dirty" for him. Then, to the several who were in the group at the time, he launched forth into a diatribe against said organization in general and about the rough-and-tumble meeting at Cleveland (or wherever it was) in particular. I let him fill the air with anti-NCA propaganda and whisky fumes until he used up about all of his master's voice he could remember just then. At that juncture I told him very plainly that the NCA was too d----d dirty to suit me, too, but that it could never be cleaned by fellow who were soaking their fingers in filth ten times dirtier; and that as long as its membership consisted of weak brothers and sisters who could be seduced by every plausible profiteer and ephemeral adventurer who swooped down upon them there was no hope of making professional conditions any better. I reminded him that the NCA was and always had been the best bet the profession

had; that every organization of human beings, from church boards on down (or should one say up?) the line had its portion of Judases and self-seeking exploiters; and that if a man quit an organization as soon as evidence of skullduggery bobbed up, he would belong to nothing and get nowhere.

I reminded him further that the **NCA** had made a splendid effort to get out the financial which bad management had put it in, and that all along the line improvements of all kinds were being made. And, finally, that most of the best and biggest men we have are in the **NCA**, and if they can't eventually achieve the salvation of our profession through it, then the profession is sunk. I repeat that I am glad to see Remick back, for he is really a good fellow and an earnest worker, I have found his judgment flighty, but it could be worse.

The fact that he is on this program committee, which (without even a hint from me) favors **NCA** affiliation is, I hope and believe, a good sign. At any rate, I believe I can promise you a favorable action at our next convention -- and no backfires later. If you will send me the "dope" I will at least do all I can to secure affiliation.

Pardon the length and the gossip. I am worse than an old woman when I get started.

Sincerely yours,...

1935 (June 3): letter to **Stanley Hayes** DC from Leo J. **Steinbach** DC, Dean of **Universal Chiropractic College** in Pittsburgh (Hayes collection):

My dear Stanley:

I was pleased to get your good letter of May 29 and I want you to know that it was a great pleasure to see you again although I missed the opportunity for our usual personal chat. I just had to leave immediately.

Concerning my personal expenses you may tell Dr. Lamont that I was happy to reciprocate for his own recent appeareance at our Allegheny County Meeting.

Concerning my talk I was pleased to have your approval and I trust that you will agree with me that we need to give some study to the sensory aids of the nerve system. In this connection I am enclosing a statement from a great French Physiologist, Morat, together with some comments. This statement was just briefly prepared to answer a recent inquiry from Fred Torkelson of Connecticut who has long been seeking to know what happens to the nervous system from a subluxation.

You may be sure that I deeply appreciate your receommendation of **U.C.C.** to Mr. J.H. Herring and I want you to know that it will be an unqualified pleasure to deal with the task of preparing him for the practice of Chiropractic in West Virginia. I will give his inquiry my personal attention.

With very kindest regards and best wishes, As ever,...

1935 (Sept 2): letter to **Stanley Hayes** DC from Leo J. **Steinbach** DC, Dean of **Universal Chiropractic College** in Pittsburgh (Hayes collection):

My dear Stanley:

We had a visit from your friend, Mr. Herring, and discovered the possibilities of finding something to do for him while engaged in training. About a month ago, I wrote him to let him know that a patient and friend of mine was interested in the possibility of employing him in insurance work. I did not receive an answer and now I am wondering if he has given up the idea of training for Chiropractic. I would appreciate very much if you could contact him and find out his intentions. He is a fine type of man and would fit well into our profession. People like Mr. Herring do not fail to make good.

This is the 25th anniversary of our college which our Alumni are celebrating with an expansion program. We are creating new quarters and will have excellent training equipment to carry on our work. I am enclosing our Jubilee Year Announcement.

I trust you have had a good summer both in matters of practice and health. We are going to have an educational program next year which will be worth your while to attend - so - just mark it on next years calendar, that sometime during the summer, you are coming to Pittsburgh to visit us and participate in an honest-to-goodness program of Chiropractic education.

With best regards, I am, As ever, L.J. Steinbach

1935 (Sept 2): letter from **Stanley Hayes** DC to Leo J. **Steinbach** DC, Dean of **Universal Chiropractic College** at 121 Meyran Ave., Pittsburgh (Hayes collection):

Dear Doctor Steinbach:

Since receiving your kind letter of the second, I have not had a chance to talk with Mr. Herring, as I have been out of town a few days lately and he was away until school began last week. However, he is teaching in the local high school this year, so I know that he will not begin his Chiropractic work before next summer. He told me some time ago that since there was a place in the school here for him this year he thought he had better teach another year than depend upon securing part time employment while attending Chiropractic school.

I though I would get to see him before I answered your letter, but have not had that opportunity so far -- and I am ashamed to delay any longer in this reply. As soon as I find what his plans are I will write to you again.

Your Jubilee Announcement is fine. It would be impossible for me to tell you how much I feel that the **Universal College** has influenced the profession for good. It has stood firmly for a true, rational, scientific teaching and practice of Chiropractic throughout its entire honorable history. If all or even half of our professional schools had shown the same devotion to unselfish ideals, the cause of Natural Healing would have been advanced a century beyond its present state.

I see that another avaricious ego -- or possibly several of them -- are now to be injected into the mess which has operated to keep the profession demoralized. I mean the outfit which Logan heading to operate another Chiropractic school. Next to B.J., I think this Logan is about the most disgusting buzzard who has ever preyed upon the profession.

Well, I had better close before I get mad and say something unkind about somebody. Hope to be with you next summer. Very best wishes. As ever,...

1935 (Dec 6): handwritten letter from A. Sipthorp DC (PSC grad) in Parkersburg WV to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection): Dear Dr. Hayes

I noticed your name among a list of possessors of Dr. **DeJarnette**'s Plumb apparatus. I was thinking of getting one but thought after seeing your name that I would like to have your impressions on expression of it.

His technique otherwise is good if you have the time to apply to

I hear you have an x-ray outfit, you will need a stereoscopic set next if you do not already have one. I recently bought one and am sure it is going to be a great help. Chiropractic aids are surely developing fast. I suppose you and your family are looking towards Santa Claus visit. I hope he comes loaded with good things. This will make you enjoy a merry x-mas and have a very Happy & Prosperous New Year.

Cherrio.

1935 (Dec 11): letter to A. Sipthorp DC (PSC grad) in Parkersburg WV from **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection): Dear Doctor Sipthorp:

Replying to your letter of the 6th, I want to say first of all that I was happy to hear from you and to know that things are going well with you. Times are still tight here, this being a railroad shop town exclusively, and R.R. business, as you know, poor. Only about half a normal force of men have been working here for the last four or five years, and they get only about half time --- around twelve days a month. In spite of that, however, I can't complain much about practice -- but if economic conditions were reasonably normal I could have more than two or three could do, and get the cash for it all. And that in a town of less than seven thousand would not be so bad.

The **DeJarnette Plumb** out fit is, in my opinion, a fine investment. It adds something to the general appearance of the office, which I think is in itself worth much more than the set costs. And it is the best thing I ever saw to convince folks beyond the shadow of a doubt that thier backs are out of kilter. The friends or relatives can see with their own eyes just what a heck of a shape Bill's back is in. Most people miss the plumb line a mile somewhere. And if one happens to be straight in regard to lateral deviations, his anteroposterios curves are pretty sure to be exaggerated. Failing that, the causative subluxations have probably not been there long enough to cause adaptive curvatures, and all the patient needs is an X-Ray of the segments involved, which will show the impingement. Patients who are considerably warped, however, are in my practice candidates for a full spine picture.

DeJarnette does not use the X-Ray, but his gadget is none the less valuable to us for that. I used to hold a plumb bob and a string up their backs, and it served admirably, but the new outfit is infinitely better from every standpoint.

I did not know you had an X-Ray, but I am mighty glad to know you have. I am sure you find it the best sort of investment. Yes, I am interested in a stereoscopic outfit, and I will try to slip over there some fine spring day and have a chat with you about it. Here the end of the sheet is in sight and I am not half through. But I won't bore you any longer this time. We all send you best of wishes for everything good, Helen sends special greetings to Gladys. As ever,...

1943 (Jan 18): according to a list of inactive licenses (Archives of Arizona BCE), Stanley Hayes, D.C. was issued license #251 on January 18, 1943; license lapsed in 1969



According to James DiPietro, D.C.: "This photo was taken at the Tucson Airport. We were there to welcome J. Janse who was the main speaker at one of our State Conventions. Sorry, I didn't write the data on back of photo - but it must have been in the late '50s. Left to right: Dr. J.J. DiPietro, Mrs. Stanley Hayes, Dr. Stanley Hayes, Dr. Vannerson, Dr. Janse, Dr. Josie Trimbles"



According to James DiPietro, D.C.: "This picture shows Dr. Hayes engaging in a tete-a-tete with Dr. Janse. Dr. Hayes' wife is in front of the flag, next to her is Dr. Hayes and with his "nose" in Dr. Janse's ear. The one sitting alone in the loer left corner is Dr. Stenns. He was one of the true pioneers in Tucson. He was arrested for "practicing medicine" in the early '20's. He adjusted some of his patients from his jail cell. If you are looking for me, my wife and I are sitting just below the picture on the wall."

1953-59: according to a letter to **Edwin H. Kimmel** DC from **Stanley Hayes** DC dated 6/8/66 (Hayes collection):

From 1953 to 1959 in Arizona, editing the *Bulletin of The United Chiropractic Association of Arizona*, which we organized from nothing in 1953 to an overwhelming majority of Arizona chiropractors, and thus got a decent law in the state, **I had to fight BJ harder than ever. In 1953 he had attempted to pass his "back-to-the-back" law in Arizona**. He literally owned the then only chiropractic association here. They sneaked the bill into the Legislature, it passed the House by an almost unanimous vote, had cleared all Senate Committees but Judiciary, which was ready to pass it right on -- before we even knew a thing about it. It took fast work to stop that and then we had to organize another association to fight it to a finish.

1956 (May 15): letter from G. Elwood Glazier DC at 825 9th St., Douglas AZ to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dr. Stanley Hayes, *Editor The Chiropractic Bulletin*3206 E. Grant Road
Tucson, Arizona
Dear Dr. Hayes:

In answer to your call of last evening, let me make it plain that I am not leaving the profession permanently. I am taking leave, temporarily, not because I have lost faith in the princple of Chiropractic, but rather, because I believe that I can be of more real service, not alone to Chiropractic but to the health service field in general - by furthering my education.

Since returning to the teaching profession I have had the opportunity to mingle with men of a high professional and educational calibre in my own school as well as those from the University of Arizona. When I attend the College of Tempe, this relationship will be greatly increased. I guess it is not too surprising to our people, that the major point at issue is not the cost of living or the progress of the United Nations, nor even who will be the next president - rather, it is the physical well being of the members present. Do you see what an opportunity I have? And this fits into the picture, too - I will submit the following as my Master's thesis: "THE ROLE OF PUBLIC EDUCATION IN THE HEALTH SERVICE FIELD".

As to the office which I have in Douglas - I think there is an excellent opportunity here for a good Chiropractor. I have a large two-story brick house with nine rooms and three baths. There is a half basement. Since we have been here, we have added shrubs and flowers to beautify the place. We have added a swimming pool and a new office. The office is equipped with reception, adjusting, therapy

or rest rooms; an office, an x-ray room, a camode, and a developing room. It can be had on a contract-of-sale basis at reasonable monthly payments, and with a small down payment. I am leaving the 1st of June for Tempe and would like to make arrangements for its sale or lease, by that time.

I appreciate your kindness in helping us in this manner, and I am sure you will be amply rewarded.

Very sincerely,...

1956 (Sept): ICA International Review[11(3)] includes:

-"Dr. L.G. Vannerson to Arizona Board" (p. 22):

Phoenix, Ariz. (ACP) – Dr. L.G. Vannerson of Coolidge, has been appointed to the Arizona State Board of Chiropractic Examiners.

He replaces Dr. Gladys M. Wise of Phoenix. Dr. Vannerson will become vice-president of the Board. Other officers are Dr. Vernon P. Pierce of Miami, president, and Dr. L.H. McLellan of Mesa, secretary-treasurer.

- 1957 (Jan 5-8): from the minutes of the NCA Council on Education meeting in Miami Beach at its mid-year meeting (National College Special Collections):
 - (4) The letter by Dr. Stanley Hayes, chairman of the legislative committee of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona to all of the accredited colleges was read detailing the fact that the Association was intending to sponsor legislation that would require two years of college along with a four year chiropractic degree. The college work however could be obtained before, current to and even after the chiropractic course.
 - (5) The Council membership was somewhat divided in opinion in relation to the two-year preprofessional issue. Some felt that the Council should declare itself for it without qualification and within the near future make it a requirement to matriculation. Others insisted that it should be left alone and permitted to evolve on the basis of individual state decision, the contention being that an immediate transition would so severely compromise the economics of the colleges that some of them would not be able to remain in existence. It was conceded that pre-professional college requirements were inevitable, yet the Council proper should not rush into any such stipulations because of the already existing hazards of reduced enrollments. Hence it was concluded to permit the matter to reside where it is and fully sustain the resolution submitted by Dr. Haynes.
- Hayes S. The chiropractic subluxation a new hypothesis for consideration. *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* 1957 (July); 27(7): 9-11, 68-9 (resides in Tucson AZ; listed as Editor, *Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona*)
- Hayes S. The chiropractic subluxation a new hypothesis for consideration. Part two of a series. *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* 1958 (Feb); 28(2): 9, 10, 69-73 (resides in Tucson AZ; listed as Editor, *Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona*)
- Hayes S. The chiropractic subluxation a new hypothesis for consideration. Part three of a series. *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* 1958 (Apr); 28(4): 9-12, 70-3 (resides in Tucson AZ; listed as Editor, *Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona*)
- Hayes S. The chiropractic subluxation a new hypothesis for consideration. Part four of a series. *Journal of the National*

Chiropractic Association 1958 (July); 28(7): 9-11, 70-2 (resides in Tucson AZ; listed as Editor, Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona)

- Hayes S. The chiropractic subluxation a new hypothesis for consideration. Part five of a series. *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* 1959 (May); 29(5): 9-11, 66-70 (resides in Tucson AZ; listed as Editor, *Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona*)
- Hayes S. The chiropractic subluxation a new hypothesis for consideration. Part 6a of a series. *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* 1959 (July); 29(7): 10-3, 70-2 (resides in Tucson AZ; listed as Editor, *Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona*)
- Hayes S. The chiropractic subluxation a new hypothesis for consideration. Part 6b of a series. *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* 1959 (Aug); 29(8): 9-11, 64, 66, 68 (resides in Tucson AZ; listed as Editor, *Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona*)
- Hayes S. The chiropractic subluxation a new hypothesis for consideration. Part seven of a series. *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* 1959 (Oct); 29(10): 9-11, 63-70 (resides in Tucson AZ; listed as Editor, *Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona*)

1959 (Mar): ICA International Review [13(9)] includes:

-"Personal mention" (p. 27) includes:

L.H. McLellan, D.C., Mesa, Ariz., was awarded a plaque in honor of being selected Arizona's "Chiropractor of the Year." He has practiced in Arizona for 26 years. Dr. McLellan spent nine years in California where he was an instructor at Ratledge College.

1959 (June): ICA International Review [13(12)] includes:

-photograph (p. 24):



"Governor Fannin of Arizona signs into law a new measure improving the Chiropractic Practice Act. Standing form left to right, witnessing the signing, are Dr. William Kalas, president of the Arizona Chiropractic Association who also was named as the State's outstanding chiropractor, Dr. R.L. Gear and Dr. G.O. Hamman.

1959 (Nov): JNCA [29(11)] includes:

-"Arizona: Observe Founder's Day" (p. 48); NCA state delegate James J. DiPietro DC notes:

...In conjunction with the Founders Day observance, the Arizona chiropractors selected the Chiropractor of the Year. Since this was a joint affair, one doctor was picked from each of the two chiropractic associations.

Dr. Stanley Hayes, of Tucson, was elected Chiropractor of the Year from the United Chiropractic Association group, and Dr. Bill Kalas, from the Arizona State Chiropractic Association group...

1960 (Jan/Feb): **Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona** [4(3)] includes (Hayes collection):

-"A word of thanks to Arizona chiropractors" by **Stanley Hayes** (pp. 4-5):

When I returned from points east in late October, I was astonished (to put it mildly) to hear that I had received one of the two "Chiropractor of the Year" awards donated by the Vitaminerals Company to the chiropractor chosen by popular vote of all Arizona chiropractors. I really couldn't believe it until Doctor DiPietro actually showed it to me. If Kruschev joined the cch and called of the cold war tomorrow, I could not be more surprised than I was then.

The first and last thought I had given to the matter was when I received my ballot back in West Virginia. I recall voting at once for Dr. Glenn Hamman, and remarking to Grace (my wife) that my vote was probably lost as the award would be sure to go to somebody who deserved it less than any one of several people I could think of offhand that I would like to vote for. And one award (mine) did -- but the <u>last</u> person I would have dreamed of!

I also remarked to her that it was a shame there were not two awards instead of one, for I was just "busting" to vote also for Dr. Kalas. And - glory be - there were two! Without those two gentlemen, we'd have got no law passed last winter. Without the help of several other fine people in both Associations, of course, those two could not have accomplished what they did. But Drs. Hamman and Kalas provided the leadership -- and it was superb.

As I have said so many time in <u>The Bulletin</u>, we have an extraordinarily large group in both State Associations that I would back against any similar sized group ANYWHERE. Arizona is very rich in material to build a great chiropractic profession. If those able and honest ones will assert themselves and keep in control, our professional future in this state is assured.

My only excuse for delaying this word of appreciation so long (beyond decent limits, really) is that the whole situation rendered me temporarily speechless. I couldn't think of anything appropriate to say. And I still can't. I shall probably never find words to express what I feel. But social customs compel me to say something -- so I shall simply say "thank you!" Use your own imagination for the rest -- and go as far as you like.

Hayes S. A basic research project for every doctor of chiropractic. Journal of the National Chiropractic Association 1961 (May); 31(5): 33, 58, 60 1961 (Oct): Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona [6(1)] includes (Hayes collection; see Appendices):

-"TO TELL THE TRUTH" -- A MATTER-OF-FACT COLUMN" by Stanley Hayes DC (pp. 12-7)

1962 (Apr 24): letter to Stanley Hayes DC from Emmett J. Murphy DC at 5737 13th St NW, Washington 11 DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Hayes:

This will acknowledge receipt of your kind letter addressed to me under date of April 20th.

It may interest you to know that **Dewey Anderson** and I have read all of your very well written articles which have appeared in the *Arizona Bulletin*.

Your evaluation of my ad "The Truth About Chiropractic" is appreciated. Several other ads are in the making. I will see that you get advance copies.

With sentiments of high esteem. Sincerely yours,...

1962 (Apr 24): letter from **Stanley Hayes** DC to **Emmett J. Murphy** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Doctor Murphy:

Thank you very much for the copy of "The Truth About Chiropractic" ad from the <u>Evening Star</u>. I think it is fine, and if properly used in the right places can do much to help defeat the **AMA**'s opening assault in its campaign to kill chiropractic.

That is exactly the sort of thing which Dr. Bryson and I tried to suggest in the April issue of the UCAA <u>Bulletin</u> under "The **AMA**'s Master Plan to Kill Chiropractic Competition" series that began in the <u>Bulletin</u> last November. We are both happy to see that somebody was already awake to the danger we face. Our disadvantage, of course, is that we have to raise the money to pay for our publicity, whereas **AMA** can get unlimited amounts of it free by disguising it as news.

I presume you receive the *Bulletin* but that it has probably been lost in the mass of other material that you must receive and you may not have read any of it.

Thank you again for sending us this fine ad. We shall do our best to get it used in Arizona to the fullest possible extent. Sincerely yours,...

1963 (Jan): first issue of the "Bulletin," presumably the *Bulletin of Rational Chiropractic*, is issued, according to letter from **Stanley Hayes** DC to **Clarence W. Weiant**, DC, PhD (in my Weiant file)

1963 (Jan 19): letter to **Stanley Hayes** DC from **J. Lewis Fenner** DC, PhC in Brooklyn; attached is a copy of the **Bulletin of the Research Bureau, American Chiropractic Association** 1924; 1[2] (Hayes collection):

Dear Doctor:

...Congratulations on reaching 72! I can remember so well when I was 'only' 72! I will round out 84 years next week, but I have five years yet to go to match my grandfather (one of my aunts reached 93) so I can afford to be chipper and brag about it a little - or can I?

I quote from my letter of 17th to Dr. **Cooley** on receipt of the January magazine: 'I find particular interest in the broad principles that are developed by **Thure C. Peterson**, **Stanley Hayes**, Bill Brown and others'. And I well remember your activities in

chiropractic circles and your writings on it in earlier years. You are always a staunch advocate.

I am sorry not to be able to answer your question about Methods and Apparatus and perhaps the best way to tell you why is to recite some of the tiresome history that preceded the time you mention. You will perhaps remember the agitation I carried on in the UCC and National, Ross Journals when I was Secretary-Treasurer of The New York State Chiropractic Society against the abuses perpetrated on the profession by the UCA, then monopolizing the nation organizationally. I did not seek any such thing, but the upshot of it was my own motion to organize a body 'free from any school domination' which became the ACA with me the first secretary.

You will perhaps remember that it became necessary for Dr. John P. Phillips to resign and find himself another job when the ACA sponsors failed to finance their creation, with the result that I was made the chief executive, our lease were cancelled, the office was dumped into my Brooklyn office, whence I managed, as best I could, the bawling infant for two more years.

You may remember that about that time one of the recent graduates of the **National** school [Frank R. Margetts LLB, DC] was making quite a noise orating at homecomings and since **Phillips** had been a graduate of the UCC, and so was I, it seemed to me that this **National** man might redress the balance and I, myself, secured his nomination and election to the office of president, he accepting it on the express stipulatin that it cost him no money and did not interfere with a practice he was setting up in Denver!

The convention at the end of his first year bared the fact that he [Margetts]did not know I had been made officially the executive officer and that the feelings that I had felt for him were due to the fact that some of his orders as president had not been carried out according to his wishes. The misunderstanding was his, not mine, but he had already picked out another as his candidate for secretary thought he did not get him elected. However, he had made up his mind and shortly after he called an executive meeting at which he demanded my ouster, threatening to resign himself if I di not get out.

You are entitled to believe that the ACA would have died there and then if he had got out instead of me, but by that time he had given up his office and was giving his full time to the ACA under a guarantee by the UCC and the National school and a majority of the directors were in favor of his retention and I resigned. I never had anything to do with the ACA after that and I was far from the scene of action when the ACA was amalgamated with the UCA.

I do not suppose a list of what I consider to be the important events of our professional history will have any special validity, but I think second only to the foundation of the practice by **D.D. Palmer**, the organization of the **ACA** is equal to any other. We insisted on making it "Straight" and most of the founders would be shamed by the scope the present successor of it has taken.

It did not unify the profession - was not intended to - but it was an important and necessary step in that direction - and the perfection of it awaits our attention - and with what urgency!

If you care to submit a list of questions as suggested in your letter, I shall be glad to answer what I can, but I have no inside knowledge of the **ACA -NCA** after 1924.

If I were not a better chiropractor than I am a typist, I don't think I would still "be in the business" - but that isn't saying much. Please excuse the appearance of this letter - and accept my congratulations and good wishes - and my sincere thanks for your letter. Very truly yours,...

1963 (Feb 21): letter from Emmett J. Murphy DC, Director of Industrial Relations, at 5737 13th St. NW, Washington DC, to Stanley Hayes DC (Hayes collection; in my Murphy folder): Dear Dr. Hayes:

Thank you for sending me a copy of your letter addressed to Dr. M.L. **Hollod** of this city.

You can be sure, Dr. Hayes, that I am deeply grateful for your liberal appraisal of my humble efforts in behalf of the profession.

With sentiments of esteem.

Sincerely yours,...

-attached to Murphy's letter of 2/21/63 is the following:

CHIROPRACTIC CARE FOR GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES Washington, D.C. July 18 (Special) ... Dr. Emmett J. Murphy,

Director of Industrial Relations, **NCA**, Washington, D.C. today announced that members of the American Federation of Government Employees and their dependents will now be able to receive chiropractic care under the benefits of a new health insurance plan.

In a letter addressed to Dr. Murphy, Mr. James L. Hatcher, Assistant Administrator, AFGE Group Insurance Department, said "We are happy to advise that since our last conference, information received from our new underwriter, The Travelers Insurance Company, indicates that Chiropractic care will be payable."

The AFGE has a membership of over 200,000 and is the largest organized group of federal employees. The new insurance policy will go into effect after November 1, 1963 in all states where chiropractic is licensed, according to the report of the AFGE insurance representative.

Commenting on this development Dr. Murphy said "This is another long step forward in the NCA battle to get all Government employees covered with similar health insurance. Chiropractic is gaining recognition throughout Government circles as well as in industry and we expect that our campaign for more complete coverage will move steadily forward."

1963 (Mar 9): letter to Stanley Hayes from J. Lewis Fenner DC of Brooklyn (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Hayes,

You did even better than you knew. You sent me TWO copies of the February *Bulletin*; so perhaps you'd better double-check.

Of course I shall be glad to do anything I can to set the record straight about the early history in which I participated, though I'm anything but an historian. My records are scattered and much of what appeared in then current journals is not available to me. If you can get a file of the U.C.C. Bulletins, National School "Progressives" and Ross School Journals for 1919-20-21-22 you will read something of the struggles to get the ACA organized. I was one of the Directors of the Ill-fated Federation of Chiropractors which blossomed and folded in those years and wrote for these journals.

Yes, I graduated from the UCC in February, 1912, helped to organize the New York Chiropractic Association in that year. Was the founder and first editor of 'The Messenger of the New York State Chiropractic Society, Inc.', successor to the NYCA, served as its Secretary-treasurer for half a dozen years or more and became the chief factotem and master of ceremonies in the organization of The ACA on September 21-22, 1922, and ran the thing substantially alone for two years.

Now, I think I have answered your questions and before going any further, I think I should await your further suggestions. I am enthusiastic about your activity and I think it may be crucial in these life or death times. Glad, indeed, you like <u>my</u> 'Basis'.

Very truly yours,...

1963 (Mar 11): letter to Raymond L. Nimmo at Box 416 Granbury TX from Stanley Hayes DC of Tucson AZ; Hayes notes his articles in JNCA re: "The chiropractic subluxation - a new hypothesis"

1963 (Mar 21): letter with attached "Course of Instruction" from Raymond L. Nimmo at Box 416 Granbury TX to Stanley Hayes DC of Tucson AZ

1963 (Apr 8): Letter from Stanley Hayes, D.C. to Edwin H. Kimmel, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file):

Dr. Edwin H. Kimmel

409 Edward Avenue

Woodmere, Long Island, New York

Dear Doctor Kimmel:

Thank you very, very much for your kind and encouraging letter of March 25. The "well done!" of men of your mentality is the real reward for effort to improve our profession. Let me say that I owe you a number of similar compliments for the fine articles you have contributed to the NCA <u>Journal</u>. When a writer appears in the <u>Journal</u>, I sample him, and then either hope to hear from him again – or forget him entirely. When the <u>Journal</u> arrives, I look at the table of contents, hoping to see a name that means something worth reading – a rather rare experience. I have read or tried to read the <u>Journal</u> from the first issue – and completely agree with the editor of a state publication (one of the very few that is worth a damn, or even half a damn) when he said the <u>Journal</u> contained a bushel of chaff to every grain of wheat. The reason for that sad state of affairs is quite plain to anyone who understands the <u>Journal</u>'s invariable editorial policy and the why thereof.

As I have repeatedly stated, the <u>Journal</u> is merely a mouthpiece for the NCA Hierarchy, which in turn is a tool of the real political power that has literally dictated NCA policy from the beginning. As soon as I can spare the space, I am going to discuss that subject in enough detail to reveal some facts that most NCA members have overlooked.

Getting back to your own articles, I have fully intended to compliment you on every one of them. But procrastination in writing is one of my worst weaknesses. Also, when trying to express my appreciation for good work, I like to be in the right mood and have enough time to express myself as well as I can. Somehow, the mood and the time seem seldom to coincide. Moreover, since 1953 – when BJ and his local cohorts tried to pass a back-to-the-back law in Arizona, I have been extremely busy. We had to organize a new sate association to combat the devilment – and that called for a new publication to carry the truth to all Arizona chiropractors. It was my hard luck to have that job on my hands. The old state organization was merely an ICA tool, was well entrenched and strongly supported by ICA and its group of schools. That outfit gave us the works.

It took us six years to break the back of BJ's back-to-the-back business and force the passage of a fairly decent chiropractic law – amending the old law, which was passed in 1921.

I know why the <u>Journal</u> turned your article down – but I would like to know the <u>excuse</u> Rogers gave you for doing it. I assure you that I will be glad to publish the article. It contains much meat. For reasons of space, I may have to run it in about three parts, but I think it can be split so as not to disturb its continuity. Please let me know if that is agreeable to you.

There are some matters which for important reasons I want to present just as soon as possible. Otherwise, I would be glad to run

the entire article in one issue. So I shall try to divide it into three parts and will send you a copy for your approval before publication.

The April <u>Journal</u> shows that one Edwin H. Kimmel of Brooklyn is the new NCA Delegate, replacing Dr. Elmer A. Berner. I naturally assumed that it meant you – and rejoiced greatly. But the NCA Directory lists two men of that name, one in Brooklyn, and one in Woodmere. Are there two Edwin H. Kimmels in New York?

I am elated to learn that you have your sights set on Arizona as a place to practice. You have the right idea – and the right place for you is right here in Tucson. I don't know of anyone just now whom you might team up with but I will inquire.

Thank you again and again for your kind words for the Bulletin. Sincerely yours,...

SH/gb

1963 (Apr 10): handwritten letter to **Stanley Hayes** DC from Edwin H. **Kimmel** DC (in my CINY/Kimmel file)

1963 (Apr 11): letter to **Stanley Hayes** DC from **Dave Palmer** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Stanley:

Through a mutual friend I have received your latest issue of THE BULLETIN which I have read with interest. Since you are so thoroughly dedicated to the perpetuation of our chiropractic profession I thought I'd take a moment or two and express a few of our plans and the progress that we have been accomplishing here at the Palmer College these past two years. I know you are vitally interested in the "alma mater" of our profession and what we are doing in a constructive way to help solve some of the problems that have been common in our profes these last years and which I'm sure we both agree should and MUST be eliminated in the days to come.

I am vitally interested, along with you, in working toward raising the standards of all our colleges so that the "image" that is presented of chiropractic to the lay public and the legislators AND our profession is acceptable and approved.

We have done our level best the past two years to do exactly what we feel is expected of the "Fountainhead" school. I am the first to admit and many of us here will agree that we have had shortcomings in the past, especially before my administration. Many of these should have been corrected and gradually changed over a period of a number of years but, since they weren't, our administrative staff and faculty have done a yeoman's job in this during the past two years since my administration has taken over the direction of our policies. May I state frankly that the **PCC** is evolving...not retrogressing. I think what we are doing today in teaching our chiropractic philosophy, science and art to over one thousand students would make my **grandfather**, "D.D." so contentedly happy and proud that we would all rejoice and join with him in his pleasure and deep...so deep

I know that if you could spend a few days here in our classrooms you would be, I think, equally impressed with our fine chiropractic policy of full spine adjusting. We are, of course, emphasizing our tradition of cervical adjusting but also now teaching equally our full spine adjusting techniques. We are including gradually working into our technique the occiput-atlanto work of Dr. D.B. Mears of St. Albans, Vermont, and Dr. Gonstead's sacro-iliac distortions and misalignments. This rounds out our students with what we feel they should have in order to be thoroughly successful as chiropractors.

We are doing everything within our power to up-grade academic, cultural and scholastic standards so as to graduate the finest chiropractors in the history of our profession. We are teaching only the most carefully evaluated cervical and full spine adjusting

techniques and this allows our graduates to choose the type of professional service for which they feel best suited. Yet, Dr. Stanley, let me emphasize that with all this by tradition of the **finest faculty** in the entire chiropractic scholastic world we are constantly giving our students the good old Palmer Chiropractic philosophy.

Thus, you see that our purpose here with the finest chiropractic faculty, staff and campus is to graduate the best and most successful chiropractors we can. I suggest that we work together as far as we can to that point that we can have a vigorous and helpful profession that will continue to grow and will see our chiropractic philosophy and science perpetuated int he years to come.

I am sending, in case you haven't had the opportunity of seeing one, our new PCC College Bulletin. This will give you a good idea of what we have accomplished in these past two years. I am rather proud of a number of letters I have received from Deans and officials of various liberal arts colleges and universities around the country expressing a great deal of pleasure and approval of this bulletin as being as fine as any they have ever seen. I hope you will approve of it and feel the same.

May I humbly suggest that some day when your schedule might permit you would give us the plasure of visiting us here at the Palmer College. Perhaps, you might find it possible to attend our Homecoming this year. This is always a very happy and busy time on our campus and, with the program we have arranged this year, I am sure you would find it most interesting.

Cordially,... DD Palmer

1963 (Apr 29): letter to Raymond L. Nimmo at Box 416 Granbury TX from Stanley Hayes DC of Tucson AZ

1963 (May 5): letter from **Stanley Hayes** DC to **Frank W. Elliott** DC (Hayes papers; in my Elliott folder):

...I am sorry that you have not publicized your views on what chiropractic really is and ought to be. I have a strong hunch that you could furnish a blueprint for a chiropractic policy that would put us on the map and keep us there -- if it is not already too late. The only kind of chiropractic that can hope to survive and prosper under the highly competitive conditions of today lies something near midway between what I have chosen to call cultopractic and medopractic -- and I believe that nearly 90% of all those in practice today would be glad to settle for that.

NCA is at one extreme, **ICA** at the other. And I think that the very few individuals who are calling the plays for each team would rather see the profession in hell than give up their power and their job.

I am deligted that you approve the truth I am trying to give both sides. In the May *Bulletin*, which should be mailed out in the next few days, I explain why I let Moulton have a little of what's coming to him. And that is only a beginning on what he is going to get. I hope you give **J.Q.** his full portion before you finish with him.

Your long and prominent association with The **PSC** should give you much influence with **PSC** graduates, especially the older ones from whom **J.Q.** probably expects to draw his main support. If you could draft such a buleprint of proper practice as I suggested above and get it into the hands of a lot of key men you may be the key that would unlock the door to a unified profession. Your statements, backed by such prestige, would I think, influence not only **ICA** thinking but also effect the position of the **NCA** Bosses. For while they feel that they now have the upper hand they are still afraid of what **ICA** might do to them.

Dave Palmer, with 1200 students in the **PCC**, is a big thorn in the side of **NCA** and its **medopractic** schools. That is a factor that could weigh heavily in making **NCA** go somewhat against the wishes

of the **medopractic** clique that now controls it. With that factor to trade on, we might come closer to the ideal chiropractic profession than we could otherwise.

I would highly value your impressions on the general situation as it stands now -- and on any other matters of chiropractic interest. I should especially like to know how you interpret the recent **NCA** maneuver on the "American Chiropractic Associaton Plan." At first glance it looks to me like an all-out move to eliminate ICA.

Please let me thank you again for your fine and encouraging comments on the Bulletin. Like you, I am a sort of "Old Timer" myself. I am 72 and I figure that if I am ever going to do anything to try to help chiropractic it is time to be getting at it. I have no personal axe to grind. If chiropractic died tomorrow I know of nobody who has less to lose than I do. But I'll be damned if I can sit silent and see chiropractic crucified on the cross of ignorance, bigotry and greed.

Sincerely, yours....

P.S. I shall not use your name in connection with anything you may say to me unless by your special written permission.

1963 (May 6): letter from J. Lewis Fenner DC, PhC to Stanley Hayes DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Hayes,

Your good letter of 1st Inst was received this morning and the copies of *The Bulletin*, in due course, all very welcome. Of course your are excused for your imaginary delinquencies. I am sure tht with all the editorial work (say nothing of all the writing) you are doing in addition to your practice, any such delays are wholly inevitable.

You are welcome to publish my EVER IN NEED if you wish. I am not sure whether the copy I sent you was of the final revision or not. I had some difficulty in getting the punctuation to suit me, so I am enclosing an 'authentic' revision!

I have written an 'Autobiography' of some 90,000 words, with no takers for publication so far. If you think you can find a 'solution' I will gladly ship you a copy. But in the meanwhile I shall try to knock out a 'thumb-nail' sketch more suitable, in my opinion, to your needs, along the lines mentioned in your letter.

I mention the 'Autobiography' because I finally extracted a chapter as being too 'professional' to interest the lay reader, not included in the final revisions, copy of which I am sending you under separate cover. **Dealing chiefly with early ACA**, it may be pretty much what you are asking for - with some editing. Let me know what you think of it.

I take very great interest in the *Bulletins*. I like the way you call the lie and lay it down, precept upon precept so that it may not be denied nor controverted. There is much need for plain speaking in our profession before any great sweetness and light can prevail. I am waiting with patience for your enlargement of the "ACA Plan" in the May number. Seems it presages a strain in the ICA. A beginning, perhaps!

I may be able to dig up some ancient copies of the UCC and other publications carrying my articles if I can set myself to work in the cellar. If so, will furnish them for your consideration. Please call on me for anything I can do. We MUST have reorganization. Before that, the OLD BARN must come down. I look to you to clear the way!

Last month saw a dirty law passed in New York. Two Hundred years from now the chiropractors, if any, MAY look back upon it as a good THING!

With the best of wishes, Very truly,...

1963 (May 8): letter from **Stanley Hayes** DC to Edwin H. **Kimmel** DC (in my CINY/Kimmel file)

1963 (May 8): handwritten letter to Stanley Hayes DC from Frank W. Elliott DC at 611 Lafayette St., Denver (Hayes papers; in my Elliott folder):

Dear Doctor Hayes:

Thanks for your kind letter of the 5th inst. It did my old soul good to know that some one long experienced in our chiropractic affairs appreciates ones opinions.

First, as to the surprise move, I fear attack, or ICA makes me more sure than ever that you are right in your conclusions as to the few leaders of each, not being willing to lay down their power - or office - I don't think they will get the 1500 ap's. If they do N.C.A. will dominate 95% - as in the statement.

Stanley, I took a course at Denver University called General Semantics - I learned to detest those who always say that "this is so and there is no other way to do the job" That is what **N.C.A.** says - **I.C.A.** no ?? will not meet anymore with **N.C.A.** Board - 'Cause we know some of our board would agree to amalgamate! -Do you and I and thousands of others have to watch a disgusting spectacle which is a disgrace to DD Palmer et al.

If there were a real desire to solve the problem it should be based on a mutual desire to unify second both sides should submit it to arbitration - Let each side select three of their own and a neutral Public Relations Company act with them to resolve the real matters which should be in a national association. There are matters in both associations which have little value and are irritaing to many chiropractors of either or both groups.

In fact I would state as urpose of the assn - to promote the welfare of chiropractic - to diseminate facts of interest and benefit to the general welfare of the public and to safeguard, and protect the chiropretic principle as enunciated by **D.D. Palmer** and those teachers who followed him in our schools.

The **N.C.A.** thro its counsel, **Morris & Hartwell** established in the courts of our land. They defined chiropractic. The statutes of various states has elaborated and broadened the original concept somewhat, as it will in the future - when we will have had another 50 years to work out the details and prove the exact reaction to our adjustment. It is imperative that we do not miss our chance to unite before it is too late - Too many of our ideas are being used and copied by the medics now.

Keep up the good work, Stanley, I have been sending your paper to **Dave Palmer** and he sent me a copy of his to you - I'd like to see a real amalgamation - but I'd accept the one proposed as better than none - and hope that funerals etc would ere long bring about a real association.

Excuse the penmanship - I do not have a steno anymore so Ilabor this way - If you wish to use any of my ideas you are at liberty to do so - I have told both sides how I feel and Dave knows too. FWE

1963 (May 13): handwritten letter to **Stanley Hayes** DC from Edwin H. **Kimmel** DC (in my CINY/Kimmel file)

1963 (June 12): letter from **Stanley Hayes** DC to Edwin H. Kimmel DC (in my CINY/Kimmel file)

1963 (June 12): letter to **Dave Palmer** from **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Doctor Dave:

My head hangs in shame when I observe that I am nearly two months late in replying to your very fine and tremendously appreciated letter of April 11. There is really no legitimate excuse for such delay, but if I could te many things have conspired to cause it, it might mitigate the offense at least a little. But I shall simply throw myself on the mercy of the court -- and spare you the details.

But I really believe that my big obstacle has been the fact that I so badly wanted to accomplish an objective that I knew was far too big for me. When I receive a letter from a really big man I hesitate to answer it until I feel in the mood to reply, and have the time to cover the subject in hand as well as I think I am capable of doing it. So far I have not been ablt to get the mood and time to coincide for answering your esteemed letter. And I doubt that I ever shall.

To me, the future of chiropractic is a matter of inestimable importance to the welfare of all humanity. As I have repeatedly asserted in published statements, if I were obliged to choose between spinal adjustment and all other means of healing -- I would take chiropractic and let all the rest go. Yet I think nobody more fully realizes the value of many other resources in healing than I do.

Since 1905 I have hoped -- and fought as hard as I could -- for what I call **rational chiropractic**. Your illustrious Grandfather, the really great man who founded our profession, started us on a rational basis. But death soon took him -- and then came the deluge of irrational policies and practices that have been the downfall of the Discoverer's chiropractic. The extremes of such irrational activities I have called **cultopractic** and **medopractic**. The senseless struggle between the two has all but killed chiropractic, with the help of **ICA-NCA** policies.

Meanwhile, **organized medicine has found the way to steal spinal adjustment** and kill the chiropractic profession -- all at one stroke. But if it is not already too late, we CAN save this profession and make it the greatest healing force in history.

In the June *Bulletin* I tried to show the utter futility of attempting to continue our profession on the basis of either cultopractic or medopractic. During the last ten years I have published in the *Arizona Bulletin* and then in the *Bulletin of Rational Chiropractic* enough indisputable documentary evidence to convince anybody that without basic changes in our professional policies we are doomed as a profession in the very near future. I enclose a coupld of clippings from the *Bulletin* files that I believe will express to you what all the really intelligent chiropras I have consulted are convinced wthat we must do to survive and prosper. These men are products of many schools, both cultopracticly and medopracticly inclined. But the hard, cold facts of experience in practice has brought them to see the light -- just as they did to me.

The die-hards in both extreme groups are destined to die, all right. But the trouble is that the rest of us are doomed to die right along with them. Unless we quickly destroy both of these little fanatical groups and turn at once to rational chiropractic this profession itself will be destroyed within another generation. There will then be no such profession and no schools. In fact, there will be nothing left of chiropractic but a fast-fading memory which will itself hardly survive this century.

The proposed new "ACA" is the result of heavy pressure from "the field." NCA was at last driven to do something. ICA is also behind the eight-ball. The ACA movement is an act of desperation. It could result in forming an association that might yet save the profession. Or it could mean merely an intensification of the cut-throat struggle between cultopractic and medopractic -- in which two opposed groups of self-seeking politicians would continue the same boss-ridden rule that has always plagued the profession. In that case we are doomed and our end is in sight. Personally, I am doubtful of

the outcome. I see the fine Italian hand of **L.M. Rogers** moving behind the curtain. Frankly, I think he is trying to kill **ICA** and turn the whole thing over to the medopractors. I devoutly hope that I am wrong in that. Such a move would exactly duplicate the history of osteopathy (even if it succeeded); and before long there would beno chiropractic profession left just as right now osteopathy is a s good as dead. But of course it would never get that far because the **AMA** has learned how to squelch any such attempt.

Cultopractic could last no longer, if as long. Within the next few years, at most, every detail of chiropractic technique that is taught at PCC or anywhere else will be handed right on to the medics' captive physical therapists. And they will apply it to the patients under medical prescription only. Goodby chiropractic -- profession and all. They are doing it right now.

I inferred from your letter that the issue of the *Bulletin* which you said you had received through a mutual friend was the only issue you had read. A check of the Bulltin mailing list indicated that all issues of the *Bulletin* had been mailed to you. Presumably they all got sidetracked somewhere. Hence, I will have a complete *Bulletin* file mailed to you, marked "persona." Please let me know if they reach you.

What follows is undoubtedly presumptuous. But like everything I have ever said or wrtten about chiropractic it is motivated entirely by an ardent desire to see chiropractic fulfill the dream of the very great man who founded this profession. First of all, I owe not only my life to chiropractic but also everything else that I have been permitted to enjoy during that lifetime. Having recently passed my 72nd birthday, old Father Time is hot on my trail and gaining on me at every jump. He is bound to get me very soon -- if Mr. K doesn't beat him to it. In either case, what happens to chiropractic from now on will not effect me at all -- personally. Hence, whatever I say below is entirely impersonal. I have no axe to grind. It may be right or it may be wrong -- but it is entirely sincere.

When you succeeded to control of PCC, my interest was intense. I thought of many things you might or might not do. I had high hopes that you would effect a revolution in chiropractic affairs. So far, you have more than justified those hopes. Many times I have been tempted to express to you my pleasure in what you were doing. But I thought it might be a bit presumptuous. What I now write may seem even more so -- but you are the sole judge of that. In any case, I know I shall feel better to get it off my chest. First, I am convinced that you are the most important man -- with more power for the good of this profession -- than we have ever had in the entire fifty years since the Discoverer died. I believe that you are in position to dominate the profession as long as you live -- provided you play your cards right. In short, I believe that you can SAVE the profession -and that you are the ONLY man who can save it. You have a terrific potential. In fact, you have nearly all the trumps in this game. Properly played, they will turn the trick.

You have the biggest school and by far the most students. You are teaching the most effective kind of chiropractic that is taught today. The name of your school has a world wide prestige of incalculable value. As far as I know, you have a well-earned reputation for intelligence, honest, and dependability. Aslo a reputation for "shooting square" with "the field." You have all these priceless assets, and in addition you have the valuable Palmer business interests.

On the "debit" side you have a group (much smaller than you probably think) of hard-shelled **cultopractors** who see chiropractic as a religion with **BJ** as its prophet. You have also a certain number of similarly irreconcilable of people on the **ICA** Board of Control who were hand-picked by **BJ**. Those two factors may seem to be insuperable obstacles to converting these "radicals" to the idea of

rational chiropractic. But I can assure you that they are not. The TRUTH properly explained to the ICA group will soon remove all effective opposition. Efficiently and diplomatically handled, it will not be at all difficult to convert all but a negligible percentage to rational chiropractic. And the same is true for the medopractors. As I have said many times before, there are really very few of the ultraradical element on either side. There are simply not enough of them to cause us any trouble. Neither element could form an organization of any strength. And if we could blend the present ICA and NCA into an ACA unalterably committed to rational chiropractic the extreme groups on either side would fall right into line. In fact, they would have to whether it suited them at first or not. We already have ample state laws and Supreme Court decisions to force the medopractors into line. There would be neither reason nor desire to force the cultopractors to abandon their tenets and methods of practice -- so they could hurt nothing. And with all the schools teaching rational chiropractic another generation would see the last of cultopractic.

All we need to do is to form one national organization bound to **rational chiropractic** by proper corporate provisions and by-laws backed by an overwhelming majority that we already have in the profession who are committed to **rational chiropractic** and against **medopractic**. In short, we already have at this moment everything we need, including the legal provisions and court decisions to kill **medopractic** dead in its tracks. And under the provisions stated above, **cultopractic** will quickly die a natural death.

In my time I have known a lot of chiropractors from a lot of schools. They in turn know lots of thers and I learned from them the sentiments of the latter. On the whole, there is not a shadow of doubt in my mind that at least 75% of all chiropractors at this moment would be overjoyed to support such an association as described above. And that goes right "across the board" -- ICA, NCA, and nonmembers of either. And nearly all the rest would soon join in. Most people follow the crowd.

The most important thing is to keep the crowd headed in the right direction. And the only way to do that is by propaganda. Making people see the truth is basically simple. It is mostly merely a matter of reminding them of things they already know. With a few of them you must not only point out the facts thay can see for themselves but you must also expose the fallacies that false propaganda has led them to believe.

Benjamin Franklin said, "When men differ, both sides ought equally to have the advantage of being heard by the public. For when truth and error have fair play the former is always an overmatch for the latter." Napoleon Bonaparte said, "An invasion of armies can be resisted. But an invasion of ideas cannot be resisted."

I realize that there are many comparatively minor difficulties to be overcome. For example, a matter of schools. Private ownership of chiropractic schools is one. Private control for personal profit is in bad repute on general principles. Another objective which NCA is using for all it is worth, is that government will not give financial aid to privately owned schools. That point bothers me more than any other. I know that you have the physical plant, the faculty, the know-how, and the desire to teach the most effective kind of chiropractic. But I also know that you can't operate in the red and that it is unrealistic to expect you to compete with other schools that are subsidized by government. I know also ths quality of chiropractic education must be directed by the right kind of people. So if the PCC did not have your hand on the helm it would lack something which I feel that it badly needs. If controlled by a Board of Trustees that you in turn could not sufficiently influence, I feel sure it would be unfortunate for chiropractic education. Isn't there some way to take care of that?

Of course we have long had too many schools. At least half of those accredited and subsidized by **NCA** should have been liquidated years ago. I am not familiar enough with the **ICA** schools to comment on them -- but I suspect their situation is somewhat similar. All supernumerary schools should be eliminated by purchase, absorption, or merger.

You may be confronted by other difficulties of which I am not aware. But I am convinced that you have plenty of trumps to make you the determining factor in the future of chiropractic. I believe that any reasonalbe terms you lay down to the profession in general, the new **ACA** will practically have to accept. More power to you and may the wisdom and spirit of your illustrious Grandfather always guide you.

Now I owe you two apologies: First, for this long-delayed answer to your fine letter; and another one for the length of the darned thing. I suppose I could write a month on this subject and still not say very much. When I start talking about chiropractic I never know when to stop.

A good many years ago someone, lamenting the sad state of the human race, complained that Christianity had failed. George Bernard Shaw replied, "Christianity has not failed at all. Christianity has never been tried!" That is the way I feel about chiropractic -- has not been tried. And it never will be as long as the medopractic clique controls it.

I appreciate your kind invitation to attend the PCC Homecoming this year. I am sorry I shall not be able to attend this summer but I do hope to do so next year if Father Time or Mr. K. doesn't get me before then.

I nearly forgot to thank you for your new College Bulletin. You certainly have every right to be proud of it.

Please let me express my appreciation for the fine start you have made toward the best in chiropractic education and the fervent wish that you may be the chiropractic "man of the hour" inour present emergency.

Sincerely yours,...

P.S. All that I have said in this letter is entirely confidential to you alone. And I assure you that I shall never reveal without your express written permission anything you may choose to tell me confidentially.

1963 (June 28): letter from **Dave Palmer** to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection; Dave Palmer folder):

Dear Stan:

Thank you for your kind letter of the 12th. I have read it over once and I shall read it again, a little more slowly because I appreciate the time and effort which you have put into it to counsel and guide me in my thinking.

This is about all for now but I do hope that sometime there will be an opportunity for us to be together, and have the opportunity to sit down and disucss our mutual problems, and exchange viewpoints.

I was particularly impressed with some of your articles in the May issue of your publication where you have discussed the Medopractor. I am enclosing a copy of a letter I have written to a certain prominent chiropractor that might have some interest to you and I am deleting the name of the individual because of your P.S. in which you said you will "never reveal without express written permission anything you may choose to tell me confidentially." This letter is in this vane and is not to be quoted or published.

Cordially,...

1963 (June 28): confidential letter from **Dave Palmer** to unnamed individual, forwarded to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection; Dave Palmer folder):

(This letter is a personal communication and is not to be quoted in part or in full.) DDP

Pardon the delay in replying to your fine letter of June 14. I have been East and while I was away of course correspondence accumulates on my desk and it is always quite a problem to "catch up" since there are so many things that keep interfering with my doing so

You are very kind to inform me of your opinions regarding the difficulty being experienced by our profession over the addition of a new organization - the **ACA** - to the present **NCA** and **ICA**. I wish to emphasize that I appreciate most sincerely your writing and expressing so frankly your thoughts and opinion s regarding this current problem.

You may rest assured that I am giving this matter very careful attention and evaluation because of its many aspects. The President's Cabinet and the Executive Council of the PCC are reviewing the whole situation and, through the kindness and loyalty of our many fine friends and graduates, are being kept up to date on current developments.

Remember that we are full cognizant of the responsibility that Palmer College has in perpetuating my grandfather's Philosophy, Science and Art, and which was developed with such dedication by my father and mother and now by "Yours Truly" as president of the **Palmer College of Chiropractic**.

We are very positive and aggressive in our decision to see that chiropractic is perpetuated and it is our desire that all chiropractic organizations have this same motivation. **Palmer College** does not wish to be embroiled in any alliance that would lead to political partisanship.

Certainly as time goes on there seems to be more and more letters coming to me expressing an opinion that with the large percentage of perhaps 90% of NCA members in the new ACA the old NCA policies of physio therapy and all inclusive scope of practice will be continued in the new organization. Certainly the officers of the NCA must have been happy with any new members that can be enrolled for it must mean a considerable increanincome in their new programs, principals and business. I can understand that the NCA has everything to gain and nothing to lose.

It's rather a disappointment to quite a few that the six ICA officers did not remain within the ICA to work toward bringing about a change in the philosophy or thinking of the ICA. This would have happened in one, two, three or four years and truly then made amalgamation a reality and unity also a fact. However, with these men leaving the ICA under such conditions and for their published reasons the membership of the ICA and the officers are righteously indignant and angered and, it has been said, more "firmed up" than ever so that as far as "unity" is concerned there still will not be such in our ranks. I can see where there will still continue to be two groups, two national organizations which in turn will certainly mean that as far as I can understand "unity" is now more distant than ever. I wonder if the NCA, Bill, throught the ACA, will continue publishing the same kind of journal with the same kind of ads. Have you thougt about this? I don't know.

There are quite a few things that I don't know and I think many people are going to wait and see whether the NCA with the large percentage of NCA members who will control the elections of officers and national house of delegates will be able or even want to follow the expressions as outline in their master plan. Many have written me

expressing concern and here again I don't know but what a lot of this certainly was beating the drums for membership and only time will tell whether the NCA people can possibly change their policies on physio therapy, modalities and adjuncts which has so long been their understanding of "freedom to practice" in chiropractic.

This is a big problem and I know that you and I sympathize with each other on the question of whether this will, in turn, be a solution for our professional ills or not. Palmer School of Chiropractic was here, Bill, before there was even a thought of a national organization or even one formed. The PSC was here before the UCA... the CHB... the NCA... the ICA and now the ACA. I am sure that with Palmer College teaching students the pure stream of Palmer Philosophy, Science and Art, and graduating the best chiropractors we can possibly graduate that it will blong after the present organization sperhaps have gone or amalgamated into some other form or name. Our first and primary responsibility here at PCC is to see that chiropractic is perpetuated and we can only do this if we have the complete support of our profession. We have graduates in all these They are our friends. political organizations. Our primary responsibility is one of being an academic institution, we are the Mother School and as such WE MUST see that we carry the torch into the future so that chiropractic will remain as a separate and distinct healing school earning the respect of our profession and the

Cordially,...

1963 (June 28): letter from Sid E. Williams DC to Stanley Hayes DC at 3206 East Grant Rd, Tucson AZ (Hayes collection): Dear Dr. Hayes:

Thank you for sending us THE BULLETIN OF RATIONAL CHIROPRACTIC. I am sure there must be a need for money for distribution and <u>for</u> your efforts. Please let us know.

Appreciate your views. Would like to discuss with you your views on CHIROPRACTIC as written in your June 63 issue.

Appreciate your service to the profession and may I wish you continued success in all you endeavor.

1963 (July 23): letter to **Stanley Hayes** DC on NCA stationery from Edwin H. **Kimmel** DC, NCA delegate from NYS (in my CINY/Kimmel file)

1963 (July/Aug): **Digest of Chiropractic Economics** [6(1)] includes:

-photograph and caption (p. 4):



Officers of the newly formed Arizona Chiropractic Association – from left standing, Dr. Hugh Harman, Secretary; Dr. Leon Michaud,

2nd Vice President; Dr. Charles Merriott, President; Dr. Clifford Titus, 1st Vice President; Dr. James Schilstra, Recording Secretary. Sitting, from left, all members of the board of directors, Dr. Lewis McLellan, Dr. Charles Bright, Dr. James DiPietro, Dr. Wayne Wilkinson, Dr. Rodger Fuller and Dr. D.L. Dugger.

1963 (Aug 2): letter from Stanley Hayes DC to Edwin H. Kimmel DC (in my CINY/Kimmel file)

1963 (Sept 23): Leonard K. Griffin DC writes to Henry A. Barge DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Doctor Barge:

Thank you for your letter of September 9, 1963 addressed to The Unity Committee regarding the Facts Vs. Fallacies and your suggestions.

You may be assured that I personally am in complete agreement with you and your idea that the ACA By-laws should provide provisions for the membership to receive a copy of the auditor's annual report and the disbursements of the organization's funds.

It is our hope and prayer that the new organization will be every thing you want it to be as expressed in your letter and worthy of our science and art. We need your help, membership, and continued suggestions to make it what it should be.

We meet starting October third to formulate the proposed Charter and By-laws and you have my personal pledge to use my efforts to see that the provision you mention is provided. If you will send me your application by return mail by October first or to me care of the Savery Hotel in Des Moines, Iowa by the third, I will see that you are included in the charter membership, and if the provision for annual audited statements to the members is not provided in the By-laws, I will see that your application is returned.

I have read the last six issues of Rational Chiropractic by Dr. Stanley Hayes of Tucson and think they are terrific. Dr. Hayes, in my opinion, has been a big help and influence in this movement. His writings have been more effective and influential than some officials might like to admit.

We hope all of your associates and friends, if they haven't already, send in their applications NOW and qualify for charter membership. Sincerely yours,...

1963 (Sept): *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* [33(9)] includes:

-"A Timely Statement" by Frank W. Elliott DC (p. 74)

1963 (Sept 23): letter from **Henry A. Barge** DC **to C. Sterling** Cooley DC (Cooley collection):

C. Sterling Cooley, D.C.

316 S. Guthrie Avenue

Tulsa 3, Oklahlma

Dear Doctor Cooley:

Please accept my belated congratulations on your article in the Bulletin of the National Chiropractic entitle "A.C.A. may be Utopia" in the June 1963 issue.

Your assumption that the six "top men" of each organization know the inside workings and were fully informed about, or had a hand in making the conditions, so unpopular that they themselves could no longer tolerate the conditions their associations had created or helped to create, must still be revealed by the six top men of the N.C.A.

We know the revelations of the six top men of the I.C.A. when their Committee issued and mailed to I.C.A. members their 24 fallacies vs. facts. Have you read them? If not ask Doctor **Stanly Hayes** to send you a copy, as I sent him my copy today, or ask any I.C.A. member for one.

I am sending you a copy of my answer to the Unity Committee of the six "top men" of A.C.A., and a copy of my letter to Doctor **Stanly Hayes** which are self explanatory providing you have read 24 Fallacies vs. Facts which all I.C.A. members received from the Unity Committee of the A.C.A.

I contend we of the I.C.A. who will drop the I.C.A. and become charter members of A.C.A. should also be evaluated of the Fallacies and Facts of N.C.A. of which we believe many exist before we can form Rules and By-laws for the A.C.A.

According to Doctor Hayes publication of the last seven issues of Rational Chiropractic there seem to be just as many Fallacies in the N.C.A. as in the I.C.A. We must have C.P.A. audited reports in the future to alert the members of A.C.A. and not have a repetition of what has been going on in the past and present Chiropractic Organizations of I.C.A. and N.C.A. Both these organizations and their officers should be ashamed of themselves.

What do you think of the policy of having the A.C.A. publish annual financial statements to keep their membership informed?

I know here are reasons for not publishing annual statements, but after reading Fallacies vs. Facts I am convinced unless it is done by A.C.A. we will drift into the same old rut of U.C.A., C.H.B. the old A.C.A., N.C.A. and I.C.A.

Chiropractically yours, Henry A. Barge, D.C. BARGE CHIROPRACTIC CLINIC

cc: Dr. Stanley Hayes, 3206 East Grant Road, Tucson 12, Arizona P.S. Just before mailing my letter to you today I received a reply from my letter to the Unity Committee. Find a copy of same enclosed.

c1963 (undated): handwritten letter to Stanley Hayes from Frank
W. Elliott DC at 61 Lafayette St. Denver CO 80218 (Hayes collection; in my Elliott folder):

Dear Doctor:

Just finished reading your Sept issue. Congratulations on a good job well done. I think we agree on the need for a real organization and know the faults of the present and past efforts to attain a representative organization - you hit one point. I too am concerned about - will there be a modus operandi to correct any mistakes and errors - also method of election so that a bonehead can be stopped before we have to have him all the way thro the line - Even Lodge can stop an incompetent - even if in line - why not A.C.A.? I hope you have a larger circulation for the Bulletin. so the info gets out - Did you note my article in N.C.A. current issue? I sent it to both ICA & NCA - ICA did not print it -

Cordially, Frank W. Elliott

1963 (Oct 14): letter from Frank W. Elliott DC at 611 Lafayette St, Denver to Stanley Hayes DC (Hayes collection; in my Elliott folder):

Dear Doctor Hayes:

I think you will be glad to hear that **Dave** has listened to some of his real friends and decided to cast his lot with the **ACA**.

At Homecoming he definitely took a stand for Palmer Philosophy etc but I know he means his grand dads kind too! Also he has asked for an accreditation committee for the school and will as soon as possible get it on a non profit basis.

I have been advising him along this line for some time and just before the ACA committee saw him last Thursday I sent him a final plea to act now - as a leader to unity or take a second place and be relegated to a position of little influence in the future. I sent him your 7 conditions and others of mine (including the exclusion of $LM\emptyset$) and when he said he was doubtful of the misuse of his position with the committee while on the phone a week ago, I suggested that he insist on a joing communica after the meeting. That struck him as a find idea. After the all day conference he wrote me and said that much good had been accomplished.

My information as to the conference came by way of **L.E. Wunsch II** who was at Desmoines where the **NCA** negotiated the deal to merge with **ACA**. **Dave**'s action will cut the ground from under **JQ** [**Thaxton**] and his mossback buddies.

I report this info to you in confidence. I feel that the field boys will again have confidence in **PCC** and keep the old place still the number one college. I am working on him to use more field men in his teaching to the students. It has been terrible how little the grads know when they get into the field.

I have read your last *Bulletin* and as of that date you had your fingers crossed much as some of the rest of us have. But with **Logan** and **Palmer** on the wagon I feel the medico crowd will be held in check and it will go over. I hope so.

With every good wish, I am as ever,...

1963 (Nov 12): letter to Raymond L. Nimmo at Box 416 Granbury TX from Stanley Hayes DC of Tucson AZ

1963 (Nov): ICA International Review [18(5)] includes:

- -John Q. Thaxton, D.C., ICA president, authors "Executive report: what the quacks are doing to your profession" (pp. 6-7); argues mixers will bring humiliation to profession at FDA conference on quackery
- -L.H. McLellan, D.C., ICA rep for Arizona, authors "An association by any other name" (p. 8):

I have just finished reading Dr. Stanley Hayes' last issue of the BULLETIN OF RATIONAL CHIROPRACTIC and feel that ICA members will be interested in some of his thoughts.

In the past, Dr. Hayes has often criticized NCA for its dictatorial leadership. IN this last issue he said, "... 'management' apparently devoted all its efforts to ENTRENCHING itself so strongly that it could keep right on doing as it pleased, regardless of complaints from the membership. The inevitable RESULTS of such high-handed usurpation of the membership's human rights are now showing up so plainly that they can no longer be denied – and our profession is face to face with catastrophe. 'Management's present maneuver (formation of ACA) looks to the BULLETIN like an emergency attempt to 'get out from under' while the getting is still possible."

With the thought in mind that ACA would be no more than a newly named NCA, Dr. Hayes said that the ACA master plan is not a "bona fide plan to save chiropractic and the profession" but a plan the NCA "BOSSES" have used "as an attractive BAIT to lure the desperate and trusting 'field men' into another political TRAP." He said, "If we let them get by with their trick the only difference between the present NCA and the new ACA is that the 'BOSSES' will have 1500 MORE members to EXPLOIT!... And the 'Bosses' will STILL have their heels securely on the 'field men's' necks. Yes, it looks like the public and profession have been sold down the river – AGAIN!"

Dr. Hayes evidently could not envision NCA giving up the reins of leadership. He asks, "HOW MAN of these TRUSTED officers of the NCA will get on the gravy train of the ACA?"

Well Dr. Hayes may have been premature... or perhaps he knew the inevitable... shortly after the October 4th, Des Moines, Iowa, meeting I knew the answer to Dr. Hayes' question: They're all on! Evidently ACA is no more than a newly-named NCA, dictated o by the same "Bosses."

Interim Officials and Board members of the "new" ACA are the same ones who served as the "old" NCA Officers and Board. No one else was given a position. Appointed President-Elect (the man who traditionally holds the reins in the upcoming year 1964-65) was Dr. Elmer A. Berner, former NCA President-Elect.

The ICA defectors, along with a new anchor man, Dr. R.T. Leiter, past NCA president, were placed on a committee to "function" with the interim board.

AS ICA Representative Assemblyman from Arizona, I commend ICA officials and members for not being led astray into the NCA. Isn't it strange how the profession was almost turned topsy-turvy for the sake of a one-word change in an organization's name?

Unity will come to the chiropractic profession. But it must not be founded on pressure politics or emotional propaganda. Unity must come through the cooperative endeavors of associations based on mature, intelligent action. The ICA Action Policy originated and unanimously adopted by the ICA Representative Assembly and Board of Control, offers this opportunity. Now that the big rush for unity has turned out to be no more than we predicted – a membership campaign for NCA – I urge every ICA member to get behind unity on fundamental principles as outlined by ICA.

Contrary to a special bulletin issued by ACA, International Chiropractors Association has not merged with IBTRI and NCA to form the ACA. ICA was in no way involved in this merger action.

1963 (Dec 26): letter to **Stanley Hayes** DC on NCA stationery from Edwin H. **Kimmel** DC, NCA delegate from NYS (in my CINY/Kimmel file):

Dear Dr. Hayes:

Don't think that just because I haven't written to you recently that I have forgotten about you. Far from it. Knowing that you are busy, I planned to put all my thoughts, opinion and questions into one letter. It is important, however, that I request that you keep the contents of this letter as "privileged communication" and confidential.

You are aware of the fact that new elections are going to be held in January, for the new State Delegates, and frankly, I don't know whether or not I am regarded with favor any more. You see, I've been very active delegate and in my own way have been sticking a few flies in the ointment every now and then, and I don't know if they like the "icky" feeling. All I can say is that if I am elected again, I'm going to carry my messages to each of the delegates via a healthy correspondence to point up some very glaring inconsistencies.

For example – the Council of Delegates and the House of Delegates have been relegated to mere "nothings." Whereas at one time previously the Delegates power was a check and balance – or so it seemed to me, now all decisions rest with the Executive Committee. What do we need delegates for?

Another glaring undemocratic inconsistency is the fact that the ACA is functioning under a group of Bylaws that haven't even been approved by the delegates or the "charter membership." As I see it these Bylaws have been forced upon us without even the courtesy of our approval.

This entire reorganization effects me personally in three different ways, which I would like to explain to you for advice. Perhaps you may have some suggestions concerning how I can influence the other delegates to liberalize one of the proposed Bylaws. First let me explain.

I don't know whether you were aware of it or not, but at our convention in Chicago, and during the months that followed, I had been working on a research proposal. It has been submitted to Dr. Henry Higley and will be considered seriously as a project for FACT to support. The research on instrument has been my "baby" for more than thirteen years now, but I may have to abandon the whole project if I want to keep my eligibility as delegate.

According to the newly proposed Bylaws, to be eligible for delegate, a member cannot be associated with a school "in any capacity." The research was to be conducted at the Chiropractic Institute of New York, where I have been a faculty member for over fourteen years.

Even if I submit my resignation to the school, I still can't do my research there, because of the association with the school. So, I'm disqualified from my research, and disqualified as a member of the faculty, if I desire to become a delegate.

To make matters even more complex when at the convention in Chicago, was elected Vice President of the Orthopedics Council. I have recently been appointed to the new Technique committee in Orthopedic capacity. If I become a delegate, this deprives me of the opportunity to take part in the graduate level orthopedic seminars that will be sponsored by the school, even though I have the knowledge, ability and talent to teach some of these courses – because once again, I will be affiliated with a school in some capacity.

There seems to be a general feeling among the executives that a "school man" should not become a delegate, even if his teaching is part time, limited as mine was, for the past few years to only 3 to 4 hours per week. This **defranchisement** [sic] does not exist in any other profession. In fact there is a general feeling of pride, when a delegate to the AMA or ADA or AOA or APA is associated with one of the universities. Faculty members and administrators of colleges and universities are welcome, and have all the privileges of any other member. In fact, you may find more often than not, that the officials and board members of such organizations are usually connected with some university or college.

Why is there such objection to a man who is willing to, and is able to serve on two fronts at the same time? Certainly the profession can use the services of an individual who seeks no personal gain, but who would like to see the profession assume its rightful status, both politically and educationally.

Now, if I am wrong in feeling this way, that is about being associated with the school, and being a delegate at the same time, I wish you would tell me frankly, because there are the areas that I'm going to go to bat for, plus the fact that we never had a chance to approve the Bylaws before they were put into effect.

Do you hear from any other delegates? Of course, now with the new elections coming up, I guess some of them will change. If I am elected again I wish you'd let me know who I can contact and speak to. IN other words, who are my friends?

One more thing – are you familiar with this new book "Bonesetting, Cultism and Chiropractic" by Samuel Homola of Panama City, Florida? It is a vicious, biased expose of chiropractic, documented yet opinionated. You have the distinction of being quoted by the author. If this book had ever reached the New York State Legislature prior to the passage of our law, the bill would never have been passed. It's available from the Critique Books, 609 N. Cove Blvd., Panama City, Florida for \$8.00. I'm sure it is going to be used against us by many of our adversaries, so I thought you'd like to obtain one, review it and comment upon it in a future issue of the Bulletin.

That's t for now, Have a very Happy New Year – and let me hear from you regarding my quandary.

Sincerely,...

EHK:jr

1964 (Jan 2): letter from **Maurice Hollod** DC at 1448 Minnesota Ave. SE, Washington 20, D.C. to **Stanley Hayes** in Tucson AZ (Hayes papers):

Dear Stanley:

Brace yourself for some startling news on this, the New Year. There seems to be an internal revolt of a very sizeable number of NCA delegates against the Rogers & Co. dynasty! I have just emerged from a three hour confab with Emmett and the information obtained is dizzying! Evidently, this thing has been brewing in an unorganized manner for some time and the only thing lacking was a good pretext. That has now been supplied. If you recall, immediately after the announcement of the formation of the ACA, a pamphlet of the "Charter Provisions and Bylaws" were mailed to the NCA membership. On page 27, Article XII under Current councils (B) there is listed "section on physiotherapy." Well, soon afterward, another pamphlet identical to the first was issued, but on the same page after (B) listing, the statement reads, "Section on rehabilitation." This discrepancy was picked up by some acute observer in Los Angeles and hell broke loose! It was decided that this change was a sop to the ICA defectors, and that it would leave the door open for an eventual take-over by ICA through several defectors who are already scheduled for important posts in the hierarchy. Whatever the merit of this contention, it is certain that perhaps a major number of NCA delegates are priming themselves for a complete house-cleaning at the coming convention.

Emmett is insistent that I take the delegateship next month of the District of Columbia and spearhead the attack at convention time. He promises me a considerable number of delegates in advance of the convention and is absolutely certain that we can topple the hierarchy. I told him that I would want to contact you first, and now perhaps the Bulletin can really be of tremendous importance in priming all the delegates for the coup-de-gras. As of the moment all this must be kept in strictest confidence!! There are many things to think over before any precipitous step is taken. First of all, I don't want to be in the position of pulling someone else's chestnuts out of the fire. I want to make sure that a respectable Constitution and Bylaws will be accepted by the convention membership. (That's where you come in. Make sure you polish up all that material that you worked up and have it in readiness.) And there are so many other problems to think through and have ready for the convention agenda. Put your mind to these things and give me your thoughts on them. I told Emmett that I am keeping in touch with you, that he could absolutely rely on your confidence and need have no qualms of any premature expose'. He is all for it. Incidently, I told him of your letters to Asa Brown and Tolley and their refusal to communicate with you. Emmett told me it was unfortunate, but that they are completely with us. He feels that the reluctance to write you was due to a feeling of uncertainty on their part. They were afraid to show their hand for fear that His Royal Highness would find out prematurely. We can absolutely depend on them. They will be with us at convention time.

Emmett is going to give me a list of all the delegates who are with us, as well as many of the important figures in the NCA who can wield tremendous influence (presumably on the delegates) in the next few days. He tells me that there is already considerable communication among many delegates. He is also forwarding to me many letters from the field (unsigned for the same reason that Brown

and Tolley didn't communicate with you,) but all authentic, nevertheless.

Here is an interesting piece of information: **Rogers** is willing to step down from his pedestal on condition that he take over the Executive Secretarship of the **NCA** Insurance outfit. To the tune of some \$20,000 per year! **Emmett** is convinced that His Nibs has no intention whatever to relinquish his post in ACA. In fact, he wants to get a tighter hold of the organization than he already has. It appears that as of now, **Rogers** is totally unaware of the fight that is shaping up at convention time.

Well, it does seem that wonders never cease! Just as we were resigned to the feeling that this struggle would be a long, drawn-out matter, events beyond the vision of anyone suddenly bright it to a head! I suppose that accidentalism does play a vital role in history after all.

Emmett wants to leave matters in abeyance until convention time, he is so certain of a quick victory, but I told him that very careful plans must be laid a good deal in advance of the convention. I do want to write to many of the delegates and feel things out for myself. This, perhaps I will be in a position to do in a few days.

Well, Stanley, enough for the present. My poor head is reeling still from the turn of events. I must still do a hell of a lot of thinking. And there is lots more information I must acquire to fill in lots of gaps. Let me hear from you quickly and give me the benefit of your experience and knowledge.

Fraternally and lots of good wishes for everything during the New Year.

Maurice

1964 (Jan 5): letter to Edwin H. **Kimmel**, D.C. from **Stanley Hayes** DC (in my CINY/Kimmel file)

1964 (Jan 8): letter from **Maurice L. Hollod** DC to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Stanley:

Emmett is leaving tomorrow morning for a meeting of the Executive staff to be held in Palm Springs, Calif. next week. when he returns, I shall be given all the information relative to whatever plans the Dynasty has with respect to "locking up" the next convention. From the information available at present, he won't have a dog's chance

Next week, blanks will be sent out to the membership to vote for a new delegate. I wonder if you could possibly pull any strings to get the delegateship, if only for the duration of the convention. We could use you so much and for such a cause!!

The information I have at present is this: There are three parts which comprise the ACA. First, is the ACA itself. Second, the National Chiro. Insurance Co. and thirdly, the Nat. Chiro. Printing Plant. I don't know if the three of these things can be dealt with at the convention. I think Rogers is deliberately trying to keep the three Institutions separate. He will, of course settle for the Exec. Secretaryship of the Ins. Co as I mentioned in my last letter to you. I am unalterably opposed to this. I want him out completely even if ACA has to pension him out! Perhaps Emmett can get this thing straightened out on his return from Calif. We shall see.

Meanwhile, as I also mentioned in my last letter, have your material on the Constitution and By-Laws ready for printing in the *Bulletin*. Interestingly enough, Emmett mentioned something about the inequities in the present document and the need for revision. This is the first time the document was mentioned in our conversations. Hold it in abeyance! There is one thing Emmett said, that is of the utmost importance for success. That is PERFECT timing! We want

to have everything prepared and in readyness to spring at the proper time. If it is done prematurely, it will not only lose its effect and give the opposition time to counter-attack. It will have the effect of having the membership forget what it's all about by convention time. And so secrecy is of the utmost importance just now.

If, for some reason you can't get it, can you see whether the one who does get it gives us his total support. We need all we can get! It'll be a rough fight even if we do win! I'm sure of that.

This is sent off before I get your response to my last letter, so if I don't answer whatever points you raise, you will know why.

So long for now.

1964 (Jan 18): letter on NCA stationery from NCA delegate from NYS Edwin H. **Kimmel**, D.C. to **Stanley Hayes** DC (in my CINY/Kimmel file):

Dear Dr. Hayes:

What a wonderful feeling – to have done something, and then received your letter confirming my action. I have already submitted my resignation from the school, as of January 1, 1964. Carbons were sent tot he "proper authorities" so that "they" know the action I have taken. At present, there is no question as to my eligibility for delegate.

Because New York is a nonaffiliated state, I wonder how the elections are going to be held. Whether they will choose their candidates, or whether they will allow a general election remains to be seen. However, as I see it now, I can't anticipate any great opposition for the position of delegate, if the elections are legitimate.

Have you seen the resolutions adopted by the Idaho Assoc. of Chiro. Physicians? If you haven't received a copy of their release I would suggest that you write for one. They have unanimously resolved to change many of the provisions I the bylaws of the New ACA; just the clauses that seem to offend most honest and liberal thinking chiropractors, especially Article VII Sections 2, 3 and 4.

Getting back to New York, now that we have over 500 members, the state is going to be divided into "upstate" and "downstate." I've already submitted a tentative proposal for our dividing line. This means that my campaigning for re-election will be confined to the "downstate" area only. I don't know of any opposition from any source, but I guess the best thing is to send out some type of letter to the members soliciting their votes. Another way to do it would be to have a few of my friends sign a letter, requesting my re-election. This in fact may be even better than personal solicitation.

Dr. Cruse Howe is the "upstate charce??? [handwritten]

If I am elected, I then plan to write to all the delegates, new or otherwise, in an attempt to sound them out on how they feel about having the wool pulled over their eyes. In that way I will be able to determine how much support I may be able to count on in Denver.

The recent issue of your Bulletin was excellent. The letter re: instruments by Dr. Barge, was excellent. I like the 4 points that he made on page 9, especially point #2.

You can certainly count me in among the members of the American Committee for Chiropractic. Good luck with this project.

We are having many difficulties here in New York, with some of the strange clauses in our law. Many chiropractors are in such a state of panic, now that they have responsibilities to the state and can be held accountable for their actions that they are banning together in an effort to injunct parts of the law as "unconstitutional." Not that they don't have a few points in their favor, but it's making things difficult here also

Will keep you posted. Am enclosing a copy of the New York law, with the so called "questionable" clauses indicated.

Again, good luck with the ACC.

Very truly yours,...

EHK:jr

Fnc

1964 (Jan 20): handwritten letter from Frank W. Elliott DC at 611 Lafayette St, Denver CO 80218 to Stanley Hayes DC (Hayes collection; in my Elliott folder):

Dear Stanley:

Just finished Vol. 1 No. 11 and as usual I must say I agree with your conclusions.

- 1- I want to be on your list again. 3 bucks enclosed.
- 2- I realize how helpless the individual is in regard to changing the "modus operandi" of the machine - and I agree it should be "curbed"

Was talking to our state "sect-mgr" who was N.C.A. State Repand he like myself believes the Big Chief of N.C.A. should be shelved for good. He called my attention to one thing in (New ByLaws ACA) has been changed - the state delegates had a council and meeting in advance of NCA Convention - that has been changed! Of course this made Gearhart mad and while he will be a candidate for ACA office he will try his best to eliminate the cancer in the body politic!

You have pointed out the real problem - the utter difficulty to institute any reforms -

As to serving on a **Committee** - would be glad to do what I can. How many subs do you have in Colo?

Thanks again - Frank W. Elliott

1964 (Jan 22): letter from Stanley Hayes, D.C. to Edwin H. Kimmel, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file):

Dear Dr. Kimmel:

Seldom have I received a letter that gave me as much genuine pleasure as yours of the 18th. I shall not try to answer it now, for I am swamped in unanswered correspondence. But I just had to tell you how happy I was about your decision.

While I am at it, I want to get you in touch with Dr. Maurice L. Hollod, 1448 Minnesota Ave., S.E., Washington 20, D.C. He will be ACA Delegate from District of Columbia. He was for years NCA Delegate until his stomach refused to take it any longer. If ever you met a real warhorse for rational chiropractic and against Bossism, he is it! He is one who will work with you 100% - and he has everything it takes. He has done the Bulletin a world of good. Just you and he working together can do more good in the House of Delegates than two or three dozen of the average variety. I wrote to him tonight and gave him your name, your address, and your high caliber rating. I hope neither of you waits for the other one to write first. The quicker you get acquainted the better.

Congratulations to you and every good wish.

As ever,...

SH/gb

1964 (Jan 28): letter with attached notes on "The Receptor-Tonus Method" from "Raymond L. Nimmo, D.C., Developer" at Box 416 Granbury TX from Stanley Hayes DC of Tucson AZ

1964 (Jan 28): letter from **Maurice L. Hollod** DC to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Stanley:

Emmett returned from Palm Springs yesterday and we talked about the session held there by the "directing genuises". Evidently, there wasn't too much done, since according to Emmett, most of the

time was allotted to the "school men" and the problems of the schools. The rising discontent of the field against the manipulations of the hierarchy was evidently felt, because one of the problems taken up was the question of "physiotherapy. That was the sop thrown to the ICA defectors by Rogers, but it was reconsidered. I am told that Rogers was THE ONLY ONE at the meeting who spoke up for the decision to have physiotherapy replace rehabilitation in the Charter and Bylaws, so now I suppose a new copy of this document will be mailed to the membership soon. Obviously, Rogers saw the handwriting on the wall.

There is something darkly strange going on. Rogers indicated that he was willing and wanted very much to resign from the Exec. Secretaryship of ACA, but there seems to be NO ONE TO REPLACE HIM!! According to Emmett, the job requires a high degree of executive ability and since Rogers always opposed any suggestion to train a younger man for his post, there is no one on the horizon to take the job. I told Emmett that this contention made absolutely no sense to me. There HAD to be some one either in the profession or outside it capable of holding down that job. But there is one thing we MUST keep in mind: when we decide at the convention to vote Rogers out of his office, we shall have to be in a position to instantly recommend someone else to take his place. Rogers is evidently banking on the likelihood that we shall NOT be able to produce anyone and thereby hold on to the job by default. We must immediately give this matter much thought and come up with a capable and suitable name. Another matter I agree with Murphy on is that as long as the National Headquarters remains in the hick town of Webster City, Iowa, it will be difficult to get anyone to hold down Thirty years ago it was Rogers' plan to keep the that iob. headquarters in Webster City so that he could keep a strangle hold on the organization and he pulled enough support to win out. I agree that that must now be changed. The important centers of National headquarters today for most organizations are: Chicago, New York and Washington, D.C. Whatever the decision it must be taken out of Webster City.

If we want a complete housecleaning at the convention, we shall have to come up with instant replacements for everyone we vote out of office. Now among the Executive staff there will be about 9 votes. Among the Exec. delegates, there is rotating policy. Four come up for election this year and five still hold on to their jobs. I mention this for two reasons:

- There will roughly be about 75 delegates eligible to vote and it means we shall have to count more noses than I thought at first. (WE MUST HAVE A MAJORITY OF DELEGATES to win our objective!
- Rogers will still have two more irons in the fire even if he should lose the ACA post. <u>Control of ACA Journal and the Insurance</u> <u>company</u>.

On the basis of the rotating policy, he will have a majority to give him both or either of those posts. It would indeed be ideal to get him out of **ACA**, but I want him out of everything else, as well. The bastard has already milked our organization dry and it's time we enabled him to take a goo, long walk away from it.

Stanley, there are about 4 months to convention time. I think we should give <u>all</u> our time to woking out the mechanics of organizational replacements. And rounding up a majority (if possible) of delegates. We shall have to lay aside our idealism for the time being for the prosaic but ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY job of woking out the mechanics of victory. I think that as of now it is within our grasp if only we apply ourselves completely to that job and for the time, rule out all other matters to the margin of secondary importance. There is so very, very much work to be done, so much to learn and not too

much time to acquire the knowledge for success. We have two tasks to do simultaneously: round up as many delegates as we can, and draw up an impressive list of replacements for the bastards we must get rid of to get a truly democratic organization, one that the membership can control at all times.

Enough for a while. As always,

P.S. I've sent off a letter to Dr. **Kimmel** and will probably hear from him soon.

1964 (Jan): ACA Journal of Chiropractic [1(1)] includes:

-Montfort C. Mitchell, D.C. authors "Character assassination or loyal assistance – which?" (p. 62):

We must be loyal to the basic concepts, the basic tenets of whatever concerns us, whether it be politics, education, religion or chiropractic. We too often criticize and blame the leaders of our organizations for our own failures.

The person who assassinated John F. Kennedy thought that he was killing the President of the United States. He did not. He succeeded in killing John F. Kennedy only. We still have President of the United States.

If we fail to contribute to our organizations, we are not loyal to it. I have read some recent writings by an Arizona man who criticizes the American Chiropractic Association. I happen to know that this man was specifically invited to Chicago in June of last year (1963) to allow him to contribute something to the formation of the new organization. He was well known for his diatribes against all chiropractic organizations. He constantly reminded people of his vast knowledge and abilities to ascertain what should or should not be done. It was felt that he should therefore be able to assist in helping to formulae a better new organization.

He accepted the invitation to be present - but failed to either show up at the meeting or notify anyone that he was unable to be present. His excuse, some weeks later, was that he couldn't remember the name of the hotel and therefore couldn't notify anyone that he would be unable to be present – yet he claims to recall verbatim conversations that occurred more than thirty years ago.

This man is highly intelligent and possesses a particular talent for writing. The chiropractic profession is indeed unfortunate that this man chose to lend his talents in a loyal manner. We should stand beside our leaders, assist them – not assassinate them. – Editorial by Dr. M.C. Mitchell in *Arizona Chiropractic Journal*.

1964 (Feb 7): letter from **Maurice L. Hollod** DC to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Stanley:

The ball is rolling! As the enclosed clipping indicates. It was sent to me---by---of all people, **Emmett!** I can't figure this thing out. Maybe he's playing both sides of the fence, I just don't know.

Anyway, things are sure moving-- and fast. Fraternally, Maurice

EDITORIAL

Many inquiries regarding the New American Chiropractic Association have been projected. Much information and propaganda has flowed from the ACA National Office, but all of it has been in generalities. There has not been enough specific information. Most of the questions asked by the members were about the By-laws of the new organization. If you examine them carefully you will find that all the power of the New ACA rests in the executive body. In fact, as they now stand, there is practically no need for a meeting of the House of Delegates. Their only duty would be a rubber stamp approval on all resolutions and rules drawn up by the board of Governors and the Action Committee. Yet repeatedly Webster City is saying they want everything to be democratic. How democratic is

it when they abolish the right of the State Delegates to meet in Council. This sounds all too familiar - like the ICA Board of Control.

There must be Unity. There must be one organization to represent our profession. The new organization must abide by the wishes of the majority. Let us not have a minority infiltrate our new organization, impose their wishes, and eliminate the good all of us in the NCA have believed in and fought so hard to preserve. My advice to all of the Maryland members is to study every detail, ask questions of the National Office and voice your opinions to them. Don't wait until the horse is stolen before you lock the door.

When a problem comes up, we just form a new committee -which becomes another problem.

> 3 - Jan. -Feb. 1964 Journal - Maryland Chiro Assn

1964 (Feb 11): letter to **Frank W. Elliott** DC at 611 Lafayette St, Denver CO 80218 from **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection; in my Elliott folder):

Dear Dr. Elliott:

When I am lucky enough to get a pat on the shoulder and the comment, "Well done," from a man of your distinction, it makes me very happy indeed.

I also want to thank you for adding your distinguished name to the Bulletin Subscription list. While, of course, after only twelve months we can't compete in circulation with the Journal, after its 30 years of propaganda, the *Bulletin* makes up in subscriber quality what it lacks in quantity. It comes close to being a list of "Who's Who" in chiropractic.

Yes, the individual chiropractor counts for about as much withthe Bosses as if he didn't exist at all. If ever a group of professional people have been completely bound and gaged, roped and branded, hogtied and hamstrung, the NCA-ACA members have been. If all history can produce anothe rsuch cold-blooded, calculating, ruthless, utterly unprincipled, totally selfish, and politically rotten dictator as this helpless profession has been saddled with, I should like to hear him described. I have found nothing in history whihe in my opinion can compare with him. Over and over, more and more, I hear the emphatic exclamation, "get rid of!!!"

I can't tell you how many fine chiropractors I have heard say that they would have nothing to do with **ACA** as long as HE had anything to do with it. It is my own carefully considered judgment that the very best thing that could happen to chiropractic right now would be for him to have the common ordinary everyday decency to resign and get just as far away from **ACA** as possible.

I would bet ten to one this minute that if the **ACA** membership knew even one-half of the truth about the skulduggery that that fellow has pulled on us and could take a vote on it tomorrow they would get rid of him instantly. No hope of reform is possible as long as his hand is anywhere in reach of the controls.

I can't think of anybody who could be a more valuable man for the American Committee for Chiropractic than yourself. We expect to have assembled some tentative ideas as to the formation of the ACC and mail them to all who have accepted our suggestion to form such committee. We hope to get this done very soon. Then from the consensus of the group we can form a set of rules to start out on. Those can be changed by majority wishes as the need arises. But let's get going at the earliest possible moment and take it from there.

I am so swamped with *Bulletin* work and unavoidable correspondence that I, too, often have to defer writing to the very people I would rather write to. But they are the kind who can understand, so i hope you will forgive me if I am late.

Most respectfully yours,...

1964 (Feb 14): letter from **Maurice L. Hollod** DC to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Stanley:

Now that the balotting for delegates is taking place, I guess you'll be hearing thick and fast from me.

Enclosed is some confidential and private material that **Emmett** sent me and of which I am making some copies. IMAGINE WHAT THOSE BASTARDS ARE CONCOCTING!! This is worth the price of a new leadership to me!! I'm sure going to read it to the delegates in private session at the convention. (If I get the delegateship. I think I have it pretty well sewed up.)

This guy Quigley is not even listed in the Directory as an **NCA** member! And they're already taking over! There seems to be some truth in the statment I wrote to you a month ago, namely that some **NCA**'ers think that **Rogers** is making it possible for the **ICA** to take over. At any rate, they're already trying!

It might be an idea not to publish these letters in the *Bulletin* and give away our hand. Let's hold it till convention time and spring it on the delegates suddenly. You can make allusions to things going on in your articles.

As I mentioned in my last letter to you, physiotherapy is going to break the **ACA** wide open. It's the one big issue we have to lay all the emphasis on.

According to the letter that accompanied the enclosed card to select a delegate, there will be elections for 6 (six) new ACA Board of Governors. Six out of nine. Three will remain. Since according to the present bylaws, the Board of Governors elects the EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (Rogers' job) we will have to elect at least 5 of the six, or Rogers will win again. OR we can introduce into the bylaws, that the Executive Director shall be elected by the delegates directly at the convention. That would be the better deal, since we can point out that the Exec. Director is the most important post in the ACA and the farther removed from direct delegate control he is, the greater the chance for political shenanigans. We shall have to lay strategy carefully and this is by all means the vital part of the whole deal. I only mention this now so that we can all mull it over.

You have no idea at the unrest and disgust taking place among members all over the country. Now is the time to cash in on this. I'm sure **Rogers** has bitten off more than he can chew.

Emmett told me something very important: Rogers may, if he sees which way the wind is blowing, offer his resignation from the Exec. Directorship of the ACA AND take an easy retirement as Exec. Director of the Insurance Co. Emmett told me that the Board of Directors already voted him that job!!!! They are the only ones who have control of that deal, that's how completely he has the outfit in the palm of his hands! Well, we can't bite off too much. If we can effect a change in the Official family and the bylaws, we will be ablt to wait another year and retire him from the Insurance job as well!!

Our main job right now is to find out who the new delegates are and proceed to count noses. If **Kimmel** is successful, I plan to drop into N.Y. for a week-end and have a confab with him. I'm keeping my fingers crossed! I got off some letters to Higgens, Poulson, Spear and a few others. Will probably hear from them soon.

Well, enough for the time being. Good luck on your end and let's hear soon. As ever,

P.S. I still can't figure out Emmett. He now seems to be coming over all the way. I'm just keeping my fingers crossed!

1964 (Mar 6): letter from Clarence W. **Weiant** DC, PhD to Stanley **Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Hayes:

Let me congratulate you on the outstanding job you have accomplished with the first year of your Bulletin. As a matter of fact, I am convinced that you do not even half realize how good a job you have done, which is my main reason for writing to you now. If you did, you would not be ready quite so soon to launch this new Committee, for there are plenty of signs indicating that the seeds you have planted are about to bear fruit which will make such a committee quite superfluous.

Please consider the letter STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL. You may know some of the things I have to tell you, but certainly not all. It is important that you do.

In the first place, from all I can gather, the politicans now in the driver's seat of the ACA, are by no means so securely planted there as you have indicated. The By-Laws are in for a complete reformulation from scratch, at the June meeting, and there is at present a tremendous ground-swell among state delegates to see that the old imbalance of power between the House of Delegates and the executive branch is rectified. The movement is spearheaded on the West Coast by Haynes, and on the Eastern Seaboard by the Chiropractic Institute of New York, through Kimmel (whom we hope to see re-elected) and any number of our friends in various states. There is a good chance that the state delegates will no longer be just tools of the Executive Board.

Much of my information comes from Dr. **Thure C. Peterson**, President of the Institute, with whom I naturally have very close relations. My admiration for this man grows greater every day. He has sound judgment, great administrative ability, considerable power of persuasion (in a quiet way), generally knows the "right" people to deal with in connection with a given problem, has a keen ear for just the right information, and is thoroughly honest. Moreover, he understands profoundly the nature of chiropractic and (in my opinion) its needs. With some help from me, he is doing a tremendous job to educate both **Dewey Anderson** and Mr. **Bunker** in the fundamental differences between medicine and chiropractic in preventing these gentlemen from going off half-cocked in procedures and programs which would imitate medical tactics. These men have valuable abilities, but they need chiropractic orientation.

Dr. Peterson wil put up a tremendous battle for a truly democratic ACA (as outlined by you). He will seek, furthermore, to have FACE taken out of the domain of the politicians and given its own independent board of trustees. This will take the schools out of the politics. He will also advocate transfer of the ACA offices out of Webster City to Chicago or some other large city and the appointment of an outside management specialist to direct all business operations of the association.

Any consideration of the over-all picture must take into account the high degree of dependence of the schools upon a functioning national organization. Sometimes grudgingly, but in one form or another, the NCA has given appreciable financial assistance to the schools for a number of years. During the past two years it has taken the form of matching funds, appropriate dfor capital improvements, faculty-salary increments, research projects, and the financing of further academic training for promising young faculty members working for advanced degrees. The association has also, of course, maintained an accrediting program which has kep the schools on their toes constantly in maintaining high educational standards. Any great upset in this special relationship would certainly be a major catastrophe for the profession. Thus it behooves the schools to work to their utmost for reform from within, rather than withdraw and shout their recriminations all over the field.

Now a word about the way our New York State Board is functioning and about the chiropractic educational prospects in the

East. The chiropractic members of the Board (4 in number) have expressed the feeling that the very composition of the Board (which includes one M.D., one osteopath, and one Ph.D. educator) has made it possible to establish far better rapport with the State Dept. of Education than would have been possible, had the Board been made up solely of chiropractors. The M.D. and the educator are both very cooperative, and the osteopath even more so. He constantly says, "Stick to your principles. Don't let happen to chiropractic what has happened to osteopathy."

The first examinations will be held April 7, 8, and 9. All questions wil be multiple choice, the papers graded by IBM machine. Though a general state of panic exists among the candidates, which I understand has always been the case where a law has just been passed, we are hopeful that the State Education Dept. will take a lesson from the experience of New Jersey and Pennsylvania and be lenient on the old-timers. After all they will not be with us too long, and there is no sense in creating an enforcement problem, the very thing that a law was passed to eliminate. Next year there will be aggressive attempts to rectify the inequities of this law, particularly with reference to X-ray.

As for the schools, the Atlantic States, very deservedly, is rapidly withering away and will not need be considered in planning for the future. The ACA is quite insistent upon an amalgamation of C.I.N.Y. and Columbia Institute, so that there will only be one strong school on the Eastern seaboard. This is now the subject of delicate negotiations made difficult by the untrustworthy, slippery nature of Columbia's head Dr. Napolitano. Dewey Anderson acts as the intermediary between the two schools. If there is to be an amalgamation, Dr. Peterson will insist upon retaining all members of the CINY staff and will tolerate no lowering of our present standards. He enjoys the confidence of the State Dept. of Education and will certainly do nothing to jeopardize it.

In fact, the Dept is collaborating with him in the preparation of a provisional state charter which would, among other things, authorize the Institute to confer degrees. (Up to now this has been done under an out-of-state charter, by mail only.) To achieve permanent approval we are going to have to have probably a million-dollar building and considerable more in the way of financial reserves than we now possess. If a merger can be effected, we will of course be in a far stronger position to ask assistance from foundations, as well as the field. All state delegates from Maine to Florida would be rounded up to consider what is involved and, we would hope, to act as a committee to manage a fund drive.

That is enough to make you realize how much is at stake in salvaging the right kind of ACA, if that is at all possible. So please, please, lay off, not in making valid criticisms and pressing for reform, but in striking what might be a body blow before even the gestation period is over.

Praise and encourage those who intend to shape the ACA along effective and democratic lines. Then wait and see!

Intensely yours,...

1964 (Mar 21): letter to Edwin H. Kimmel, D.C. from Stanley Hayes, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file)

1964 (Mar 26): letter on NCA stationery from Edwin H. Kimmel, D.C. to Stanley Hayes, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file): Dear Dr. Hayes:

Just a brief not to advise you that I was re-elected State Delegate from New York. I was officially notified today!!

Is there anything new – that has to be thrashed out? What did you want my opinion on?

I wrote to Dr. Hollod informing him of my re-election, also suggested that we try to get together prior to the convention. I know that there are quite a few delegates along the eastern seaboard who are "up in arms" about the new organization. I suggested that we meet at some mutually convenient location and thrash things out before hand so that we can expedite matters in Denver.

Any suggestions? Very truly yours, EHK:jr

1964 (Mar 31): letter from Stanley Hayes, D.C. to Edwin H. Kimmel, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file):

Dear Dr. Kimmel:

CONGRATULATIONS! Not only to you but especially to the chiropractic profession. A House of Delegates composed of men f your caliber could, in a reasonable time, change the whole complexion of chiropractic.

I am very happy to report that Dr. C.R. Titus, of Tucson, won over the Rogers stooge who was politicked into that job four years ago. In Cliff Titus, I can assure you a very able man thoroughly familiar with all the tricks of Bossism. With you and Maurice Hollod and him in the House the profession will have its first real chance in thirty years. I am sure you will find at least a few others who are both sane and honest – despite the fact that the House members have been carefully handpicked by "Management" during that whole period. Not all of them are likely to be stooges and rubber stamps although every effort has been made to cull out any whom "Management" could not control, body and soul.

I have been relying very largely on Maurice Hollod for important information(since he has access to sources of important information) combined with that of wide wake people from many other areas. I feel that I have an approximate picture of what is going on. But in this critical period of fast political maneuvering one must be cautious.

In this welter of plots and counterplots, currents and crosscurrents, many apparent contradictions crop up. For example, I have been hearing lately from practically all sources that there is a strong ground swell rising fast against "Management" that threatens to topple that dictatorship from its former practically impregnable rule to a position of impotence. Let us hope that is correct.

But there is one matter that bothers me. It looks to me like the revolt is sparked by one factor only – the fear of losing the complete freedom of the ultra-radical "mixers" to go as far over the line into medical and surgical practices (as plainly defined by the courts) as they chose to do. Perhaps you can give me some light on the problem.

In all my Bulletin writing I have tried to make it clear that the Bulletin stands squarely for RATIONAL chiropractic – which I define s the well-known chiropractic of the Discoverer (not BJ's bughouse, bushwah, back-to-the-back brand – which I call cultopracic) plus all drugless and non-surgical methods that the courts have ruled that any licensed healers may use, but that none may monopolize. To me, that is RATIONAL chiropractic. Under that, a chiropractor may practice anything that his legislature authorized him to practice, plus anything that the courts have ruled to be the "common domain" of all licensed healers.

But the Bulletin has strongly opposed the tendency of some chiropractors to cross the well-marked legal boundaries stated above and attempt procedures that are clearly the domain of medical and surgical practice unless their state law specifically authorized such procedures and the courts have not forbidden them.

Under RATIONAL chiropractic, one may, of course, restrict himself to spinal adjustment only – or one bone of it only or he may "shoot the works" if he sees fit. In either case, he is practicing chiropractic, and should not be criticized by his colleagues. As for cultopractic, it is almost dead now and will soon be forgotten.

Now NCA "Management" has never objected to chiropractors practicing anything they can get by with, even though it is obviously medicine or surgery as defined by the courts.

That being the case, I am puzzled to know why there should be such a sudden outburst or resentment by the so-called "mixers" either lawful or unlawful against "Management."

But to me that appears to be their only animus. So far as I have heard, they are not objecting to its dictatorial and tyrannical rule – including suppression of free speech and press, taxation without representation, and the constant efforts to reduce the "field men" to a state of absolute slavery which "Management" has (for all practical purposes) already accomplished. It is now trying to railroad through a set of Bylaws which would deprive us of our last shadow of a human right.

Yet the only objection to "Management" that I have heard any rumpus about appears to be the fear that "Management" in some way is about to reverse the trend of its entire past career and turn chiropractic into cultopractic. To me, that is a puzzle. How can it be explained?

In a recent letter to Dr. Hollod I asked him the same thing. Perhaps between you can throw some light on the matter. I hope the April Journal will let us know who will be in the next House of Delegates then we can plan to better purposes.

Again I want to express my joy at your election.

As ever,...

SH/gb

1964 (Mar 31): letter from Stanley Hayes DC to **Clarence W. Weiant**, DC, PhD (in my Weiant file); notes first issue of "Bulletin" was January 1963:

...First, I am delighted to hear, of course, that the thirty year "Reign of Error" shows some signs of ending, or at least of weakening. I hope it is not too late...

"STRICTLY Your fine lot of information marked CONFIDENTIAL" was a source of great satisfaction to me -particularly in that it lets me know that a lot of fine brains are actively engaged in the fight to rescue us from the rabble. Chiropractic could and should be second to no healing profession in public respect and would not be, were it conducted with reasonable planning and performance. If the brans can control the political termites (quickly) chiropractic can still survive and prosper. Our only real problem is the self-serving politicans. If at Denver we can force the following three essential items through the House of Delegates, that act will amount to a Magna Carta for chiropractic: (1) a free press; (2) an honest and foolproof method of electing our state delegates; (3) a RATIONAL scope of practice, such as the Bulletin has constantly advocated...

A rational scope of practice will attract at least 80% (and probably more) of the profession to the **ACA** banner -- and both cultopractic and medopractic will soon disappear. A free press will mean an enlightened profession, because it will let everybody hear the truth, including the state delegates who make our laws. When they can be elected freely and honestly there will soon be no more deadheads, stooges, and rubber stamps for would-be dictators to prey on.

The primary purpose of the American Committee for Chiropractic is to serve as a watchdog over the rights of practicing chiropractors. That is something we have always lacked. Most of us always depended on the other fellow -- "George" -- to do it. It is

notorious that "Everybody's business is nobody's business." Net result: Nothing is done...No wonder the politicans took over. It was very easy. By the time things got so bad that a few of the sleeping sluggards finally woke up it was already too late.

The idea behind **ACC** is to have in every state a small group who can and will do sensible things for the good of chiropractic -- which they have the gumption to realize is their own good. With a free press of their own -- an open forum wherein they can talk to one another in plain language -- they can keep informed on what is really going on in chiropractic. And they can keep the profession in their own states likewise informed. Under such conditions it will take a lot of slicker politicians than we have ever seen before to "get by" with anything rotten. **ACC** will never be a third organization, but will guard the proper rights of the practicing chiropractors against usurpation by any sort of political clique...

I can't imagine anyone's being more anxious to salvage the right kind of ACA than I am. Not for anything would I miss doing whatever I thought would speed that conditon -- or do anything that might retard it...

From the first Bulletin issue -- January, 1963 -- and especially after the ACA Plan was announced, I have had a flood of comments from all points of the compass. Practically all of these have been encouraging, and seem to indicate a vast ground swell of dissatisfaction with present professional conditions -- blaming both ICA and NCA.

Most of them fully agreed with the Bulletin's position against Bossism but rather plaintively indicated a sort of hopeless attitude of "what can we do about it?" But rather lately, there has been a surge of optimistic feeling that the ACA Hierarchy is likely to lose its throne. The reason? The Rogers dynasty is accused of leaning too much toward "straight" chiropractic -- and is coming from the radical "mixers" -- with whom he has always gone all the way. NCA "Management" has never objected to chiropractors practicing anything they could get by with, even though it is obviously medicine and sergery as defined by the courts. That being the case, I am puzzled to know why there should be such a sudden outburst of resentment aginast "Management" by the so-called "mixers," either the lawful or the unlawful kind.

If all this apparent anti-Rogers sentiment stems entirely (as it seems to) from the physical therapy forces, who are probably a large majority in the NCA part of ACA, it may not mean a great deal toward unseating the old NCA Hierarchy -- which could easily regain its following merely by yielding to the physical therapy forces.

Of course, that would undoubtedly cause ACA to lose many of the "new" members who came out of ICA. But according to reports there were only about three hundred of them to start with. That may be far from correct. But in any case, we know there are several times that many in the present ACA total who would be lost if the P.T. forces' demands were not met. So if it comes to a showdown we know which way Rogers would jump. Thus I figure that his crown, to be in real danger, would have to be threatened by much more than the current physical therapy uprising. Yet, so far as I can see, the rumpus is entirely over physical therapy. So far as I have heard, the P.T. crowd finds no other fault in **Rogers**. I have heard no objection to his dictatorial and tyrannical rule -- suppression of free speech and press, taxation without representation, or the constant efforts to reduce the "field men" to a state of absolute slavery, which for all practical purposes, is already accomplished. Even the fact that he is now trying to railroad a set of bylaws over us that would deprive us of our last shadow of a human right, does not appear to be exciting much comment.

I repeat that the only thing I have heard any rumpus about seems to be the fear that he is about to reverse the trend of his entire past career, and turn chiropractic into cultopractic. And if that is all that stands between him and continued power, all it will take to keep him in the saddle is surrender to the P.T. crowd. With his power at stake I can't see him doiong anything else. Therefore, unless there are factors as yet imperceptible to me, I can't help fearing that the present surge of optimism in our rational ranks may have a shaky foundation. I devoutly hope that such is not the case...

I implore you not to bother about any diplomacy in writing to me. I love plain talk, and thrive on it. So pour it on. There is no man in chiropracti whom I could ever respect above you -- and I know that not even I could want a respectable chiropractic profession more than you do...

1964 (Apr 2): letter from Stanley Hayes DC at Union WV to Clarence W. Weiant, DC, PhD:

Dear Dr. Weiant,

You will probably drop dean when you see another one of my windstorms following on the heels of my last one to you -- but I overlooked mention of some of the very important points in your letter: Your idea of getting our ACA National Headquarters out of Webster City, I believe is very important. Aslo the employment of an outside management specialist to direct all ACA business operations. Likewise, get FACE out of this damned political mess.

And here is something that I believe is a MUST. Rumors have reached me that the NCA political clique says Rogers wants to resign but that they have not been able to find a man to take his place. In short, they mean to keep him as the "indispensable man." Replying t that alibi, I would suggest the Abominable Snow Man -- or Alley Oop. I hear also that R. himself wants to be the big shot in NCIC . That, I suspect, may be the prettiest financial plum in sight.

We must have a full slate of acceptable and available men ready to replace every mother's son of the gravy train gang that has far too long ridden this profession -- almost to death. If we don't have the men ready, some of those leeches will win by default. We should have an entirely new set of Officers and a new Board of Governors. In other words, with a new deal all around, coupled with an acceptable scope of practice and a positive pledge of honesty and efficiency in our new government, I would risk my last nickel that we can soon command "field" support that will top anyting in chiropractic history. With that, we can have real unity and give **AMA** a fight that will stay in medical history from now on.

Sincerely yours,...

1964 (May 18): letter from Joseph Janse DC, president of National College of Chiropractic, to Stanley Hayes DC at 3206 E. Grant Rd., Tucson AZ (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Hayes:

Just a word to say thaink you for being so gracious, thoughtful and considerate. For me it is a strengthening and an encouragement whenever I see you. Submit my affectionate appreciation to your precious lady.

On my way home, I read the three Bulletins. They are strong, vigorous and commanding. Certainly they will provoke, they will alert and they will demand response. My respect, admiration and gratitude shall always attend you.

Most sincerely yours,...

1964 (May): ACA Journal of Chiropractic [1(5)] includes:

-Maurice L. Hollod, D.C. of Washington, D.C., ACA state delegate, authors "On what basis do we unite a house?" (p. 33); suggests 85% of DCs are "mixers"; includes:

...I must call upon my fellow delegates to re-assess the present Charter Provisions and Bylaws, and at the proper time, in convention assembled, write back into the Bylaws the essential principles that can still serve as a means of uniting the field if there is a will for that to be.

1995 (Aug 10): letter to J. Keating from Elmer A. Berner DC at 611 Eggert Rd, Buffalo NY 14215-1223 (Hayes collection):

...I did not know Dr. Hayes, and I don't remember meeting him. I do remember reading some of his articles that he published. I was not aware of the fact that he played a "behind-the-scenes role" in the tumultuous 1964 ACA convention in Denver. As Vice President I was seated at the front table when Dr. Clyde Martin of California, officially opened the convention. Immediately the House of Delegates voted to go into a "Committee of the Whole" and elected Dr. Earl L. Lentz, Jr. of Woolrich, Pennsylvania, as Chairman. He presided over all of the activities for the next several days as the "new by-laws" were developed sentence by sentence. Dr. Harold Kieffer, presently of Albuquerque, New Mexico, and now a member of the Executive Board of Governors of the ACA, was in the House of Delegates at the time and was one of the active persons in a "behind-the-scenes" role. My suggestion would be that you contact either Dr. Lentz (whom I presume is still living) or Dr. Kieffer for information about Dr. Hayes.

1964 (June 28-July 3): according to Rehm et al. (1994):

The new **ACA** held its first convention, June 28 to July 3, 1964, in Denver. Dr. **Murphy** was upbeat about the new **ACA** as delivered his annual report to the house of delegates on the convention's first day.

After dwelling at length on the status of legislation in Washington and problems faced by the new association, he concludes with these sentiments:

I pledge you, with all my faith and strength, to continue to devote every gift in my possession to the furtherance of our profession; and I ask from you your understanding, your help, and your advice in the discharge of my duties (Murphy 1964).

Later that day, a longtime **NCA** delegate and friend greeted an obviously distressed **Murphy** as he left a meeting of the **ACA** board of governors and was moved to ask, "Emmett, is something wrong?"

"They fired me! I can't believe it! They've let me go," he told his friend (Confidential 1979).

The **ACA** announced Murphy's departure as "retirement." But regardless of the **ACA** spin on the events, it would be revealed that "[Emmett] could never reconcile the discouragement and pain he felt at his release" (Confidential 1979).

Dr. Murphy died on April 13, 1965 after a difficult battle with cancer.

1964 (Aug 26): letter from Stanley Hayes DC at Union WV to Clarence W. Weiant, DC, PhD at 809 Terrace Place, Peekskill NY 10566 (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Weiant,

Please let me thank you for recommending the <u>Bulletin</u> to Dr. A.E. Cianciulli of Bayonne, New Jersey. I will try to see that he gets it. I would appreciate knowing how he feels about it after he reads Volume II. I want to thank you also for the fine encouragement you have been kind enough to give me in the hard job of publishing the <u>Bulletin</u>. It lightened the load very much. I could willingly work my head off to do what people of your mentality feel is good for your profession.

While our percentage of such highly endowed ones is regretably low, they mean more to me than millions of mediocrities.

While I keenly realize how guarded men in your position must be in what they say, I would be greatly interested in knowing your impressions of the recent Convention. I feel that our Delegates made a most encouraging start toward the goal we must reach in order to survive and succeed as a profession. The way they handled our dictatorship reminds me of the storming of the Bastile. But of course the Bourbons are still very much alive, and will fight to the last ditch to regain their power. We can never be safe till the last lad in that outfit has been replaced by an intelligent and honest man. Even then, if we don't stay right on our toes, other shysters and sharpers will sneak in on us. "Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty." We still have much mopping up to do -- but in my opinion we have a wonderful start.

By the way, when you wrote to me many months ago about "letting up a bit on the Bosses," I did not quite understand what you meant -- and when I wrote to ask you for more details you did not reply. It occurred to me that you might not have got that letter. I thought of writing to find out for sure. But then the pre-Convention period was getting short, and I had so much on my hands that I just let the matter ride.

I did what I thought would be most likely to alert the more intelligent Delegates to the caliber of the Bosses they were dealing with, and the futility of trusting them in anything whatever. And the way those Delegates organized and carried out thier job was a delight for me to behold. For the first time in his long career "the old Fox" was caught with his pants down.

Have you seen a copy of the "Incurable Physician" (the autobiography of Dr. Walter C. Alvarez)? It is a classic. HIs chapter on "Decerebrate Medicine" is worth many times the price of the book.

That reminds me that years ago, while editing the Arizona <u>Bulletin</u> I wrote a short review of your fine book on "**Medicine and Chiropractic**" -- and told all Arizona chiropractors that if they would buy a copy and didn't think it was worth several times its price just to send it to me and I would send them the price at once. Nobody asked for a refund -- and I was curious to know how many copies were bought in Arizona. But I was very busy, and neglected to write to you and find out. When I got home I will send you the page from the Bulletin containing the matter.

If it is not too much trouble to have your records checked at this late date, my curiosity can be satisfied.

Well, this screed sounds more like a gossip sheet than a letter -- and if you have not already pitched it into the wastebasked before this, I will now apologize for the darned thing.

As ever,...

1964 (Aug 29): letter from Clarence W. **Weiant** DC, PhD at 809 Terrace Place, Peekskill NY to Stanley **Hayes** DC: Dear Dr. Hayes:

I shall make a point this time of answering your letter on the very day it was received. Your unanswered letter did reach me, but I got so bogged down with an assortment of other urgent matters that I never got around to replying, and this I regret, for I always regard any letter from you, not as an intrusion of some kind, but as a special dispensation for which I can be most thankful.

Problems, both personal and professional, have been plentiful for months. For one thing, in June, we had to go through the same sort of experience which you went through last year, the death of my wife's mother. She had been for 17 months a patient in the infirmary of Field Home, a very nice home for aged women about seven miles from where we live. She would have been 86, had she lived until October.

Her legs would not support her; she had rheumatoid arthritis and eventually diabetes mellitus. She had lived with us during most of our married life. She had no other close relatives except a sister who lives in Phoenix. Consequently her whole emotional life was oriented toward us and our children, and while she went without protest to the home, the trauma of being removed from our household was very hard on her. Developing cataracts soon deprived her of the pleasure of reading and television. She withdrew into a world of fantasy, and though often badly disoriented, always knew us and always begged to go home.

All of this, of course, was a terrific emotional strain on my wife, who has her own physical handicap. In 1944 a potentially malignant thyroid cyst had to be removed, which left her with but half a thyroid gland. Even with the help of thyroid emplets she functions always at a low energy level. She must rest for an hour or so every afternoon to keep going. Yet there was hardly a day that she did not make the trip to the home to visit her mother. Frequently I would get the dinner. It seemed as though our lives revolved constantly around this situation. Meanwhile the expense involved ran close to \$325 a month, and no one could foresee when it would all end. When the end finally did come (with pneumonia), even though it was certainly a blessing for all concerned, we were greatly saddened just the same. She had been a wonderful person and always very good to us. No man could hope to have a better mother-in-law.

Your question with regard to "letting up on the bosses" has become rather irrelevant now, since the people referred to are no longer in the saddle. My though was that railing against people usually has the opposite effect from changing them toward more acceptable patterns of behavior. (And this, by the way, is one of the things I have against Goldwater vis-avis the communist nations.)

Be that as it may, the fact is that we now have a far rosier national picture. At least the symbols of the old order have been disposed of and the way is open for bold and constructive action. Nevertheless there are certain new developments which for us in the East are a matter of the utmost concern. If they continue in their present direction, the effect will be positively catastrophic and will have serious nation-wide repercussions.

Let me take you behind the scenes far enough to get my meaning. In advance of the ACA convention the ACA was alerted to the fact that Dr. Mosher, the educator-member of our State Board, would attend. Now Dr. Mosher, former head of the department of biology at the Albany State Teachers College, is, as executive secretary of the Board, a high-ranking official of the State Department of Education. He draws a salary of \$18,000 a year. He certainly should have had VIP treatment in Denver. But what happened? No arrangements to receive him had been made. He was completely ignored by ACA officials. Drs. Peterson and Bittner of our school had to take him under their wing and guide him to the sessions which they felt it appropriate for him to attend.

When he visited **Spears Hospital** the top brass were absent, all tied up with convention groups. Fortunately one of our graduates who is now on the staff gave him a fine tour of the hospital, and it impressed him very favorably. He commented that he had never seen such a clean hospital anywhere.

He was of course greatly interested in the meetings of the **Council** on **Education**. He said to Dr. **Bittner**, "We have got to have in New York the best chiropractic school in the world." But what is the **ACA** doing to promote this? We have been politely informed that we are to get no help from **FACE** for the year 1964-65 until substantial progress has been made toward amalgamation of the schools. Last year we received \$14,000 (though the \$7000 for the spring term did not come through until a couple of weeks ago!). This money was

earmarked for faculty salary increments. Without it we are in imminent danger of losing two valued faculty members who have just earned academic degrees under previous grants from **FACE**.

I did not go to Denver, but it has been reported to me that, in one of the school council sessions, Cecil Martin and Dewey Anderson made some such statement as this: "Under the kind of law they have in New York, chiropractic education in that state is finished, and so there is no sense in pouring any money into that state for schools." This view won out in the deliberations.

Such a defeatist attitude is incomprehensivle. There are no facts to support it. The **New York State Department of Education** is one of the strongest and most influential in the country. It prides itself on its high standards. By law it is responsible for the quality of professional education and for licensure, and this carries over into the chiropractic situation.

The Board of Examiners has established excellent rapport with the **Department of Education** as a whole, right up to the Commissioner himself, who has had Dr. **Krasner**, President of the State Chiropractic Association, as a weekend guest at his home. Relations between the chiropractic and non-chiropractic members of the Board are very cordial. Both the medical man and the osteopath have been extremely helpful. **The osteopath says over and over again**, "You people must stick to your principles. Don't let yourselves get sold out to the medics as we were." The M.D. member has visited our school and expressed amazement at what is taught in a chiropractic school and how it is taught. Both he and Dr. Mosher are fast learning what chiropractic is all about, and they are extremely interested in seeing the profession progress.

Until a few weeks ago things were at a standstill, because a small dissident group were testing the constitutionality of the chiropractic law in the courts. This group lost out successively in the Supreme Court, the Appelate Division, and the Court of Appeals. Still undaunted they carried the matter to the U.S. Supreme Court, which refused to consider it. That left the Dept. of Education free to grade the papers and begin issuing licenses, after which they will go ahead with plans for the future. They are frank to admit that they made a big mistake with the first examinations in the basic sciences, when they hired a professional examination service to prepare the questions. Nobody at all saw the questions until they were opened up for use. This was because they were so sensitive on security measures. The Secretary of the Board of Medical Examiners passed the questions on to friends of his a couple of years ago, and the Regents are still smarting form the ensuing scandal. They made sure that nothing like that would happen again.

But their procedure in this instance produced tests that were grossly unfair. Though the **Department of Education** does not say so publicly, they have admitted privately to being greatly embarrassed, and I am sure they will bend over backwards to find methods of grading the papers that will yield passable grades. In the future they intend to obtain specimen exams from all the basic science boards in the country and use these as a model for the exams they will give. I can say positively that everybody in the **Dept. of Education** from the Commissioner on down who is in any way involved with us wants to create both the atmosphere and the mechanisms for the maximum development of the profession in the state. In our peculiar New York State set-up legal recognition under the Education Dept. is tantamount to their seal of approval, and such a situation makes it incumbent upon them to give the best possible public image of what they have approved.

I am sure that if this picture could be conveyed to the **ACA** Board of Directors, they would not be so ready to write off New York. To get the message across is not easy. There are many subtleties to be

observed. We cannot embarrass people in the **Dept. of Education** by making open statements that they as individuals are our friends. We cannot openly be very specific about the reasons why school amalgamation cannot quickly be achieved. (More on that in a moment.) What can and should be distressed is that for the first time in chiropractic history chiropractic has been incorporated into the publication education system of a state, and if we play our role smartly, there is no telling how far we may get. You can contribute handsomely to this objective by exerting the right pressure in the right places.

I might add parenthetically that, on a smaller scale, we have the same problem within the profession in the State of New York as exists on the national front. The full significance of our local situation has not seeped into the heads of the rank and file, and they tend to be pessimistic, apathetic, or hostile, though I think it is safe to say that at least a majority feel that we are definitely better off than before.

Now I get back to the question of amalgamation. In principle nobody could object. Undoubtedly **ACA** officials consider such a step exceedingly desirable and look forward to its realization as a big feather in the **ACA** cap. But actually the whole business is premature. No school as yet has a charter. No school has been officially inspected by the Education Dept. We have received no bill of particulars setting forth what we must do to obtain even a provisional charter.

As far as the **Chiropractic Institute of New York** is concerned, we feel that, on the basis of our facilities, the quality of our faculty, and the type of management followed - not to speak of the help we have been able to provide the Education Dept. in setting up the machinery for examination and licensure - we are in a far stronger position toward obtaining a charter than any other school, and we are most anxious to avoid any premature amalgamation which might result in dilution of our standards.

I think I told you in a previous letter that we had discovered an ACA scheme to push through amalgamation, making Napolitano president of the new institution. He heads the Columbia Institute. Not one member of our faculty could be persuaded to work under this man. Dr. Frank Dean, the founder of Columbia, was a man of high calibre, intellectually and morally. I would say that under him the school was certainly one of the best of the non-NCA-accredited schools. Since his death the school has deteriorated academically, though the physical plant has been improved. The ACA lost no time putting it on the approved list. (By the way, I understand that the Logan College representative was seated in the Council on Education before his school had even been inspected.) What price UNITY!

Napolitano, for a considerable period, was Dean of the Atlantic States Institute, under the presidency of Martin Phillips, a man who was fired from C.I.N.Y. soon after it got going. He is a man who displays phony M.D., D.O., and D.Sc. diplomas. He has on various occasions secured honorary Doctor of Science degrees for his friends from a "university" in Atlanta which consists only of a small office that cloisters an ex-clergyman of some sort who makes his living by dispensing such documents. (I am speaking of Phillips.) Phillips' limited popularity in the field is maintained largely through his demonstrations of a wide variety of technics of dubious value and scientific basis. He and his cohorts appear to have some sort of hookup with local Brooklyn politicans who have managed to keep him free from the law. Napolitano certainly was aware of how the Atlantic States was run. They have taken in students who flunked out of C.I.N.Y. without any such formality as demanding transcripts. One of them, the daughter of a chiropractor, had to leave us after being caught cribbing in exams three times. She joined the faculty after graduation from Atlantic States!

Whether Napolitano left Atlantic States because he got fed up with such practices, or whether he just saw a chance to advance himself, I don't know, but after Dr. Dean's death he worked his way into the good graces of Dean's widow, Dr. Welch, and soon became Columbia Institute's king-man. Right up until the passage of our law, he opposed the NCA and fought with the ICA to defeat our bill. For this he has been rewarded with approval by ACA. That bit of irony certainly goes against the grain of the majority of the chiropractors in the state. His faculty is so changeable that the names do not appear in the catalog of the school. A separate list is sent out from time to time. Some of the members are recent graduates of his with no experience either in chiropractic or in teaching, who read their lectures, interspersing them with dirty jokes. He has tried to lure away from us some of our facutly members with the enticement of salaries we can not afford, but they have remained loyal to us. His school "library" would be more appropriately designated as a small collection of books. By virtue of a big practice and various business interests he does have money to throw around.

Now you can understand why we are not exactly ready to rush into amalgamation.

If I go on much longer, I will probably ruin your day. I am eager to hear your reaction. On Wednesday we are taking off for Cape Cod for a ten day vacation. If you find time to drop me a line, it would make me very happy. Send it to Harbor Breeze Village, Lower County Road, Harwich Port, Mass.

Sincerely,...

P.S. I am sure you will handle this information with discretion.

1964 (Sept/Oct): **Digest of Chiropractic Economics** notes: -"COLUMBIA and ATLANTIC STATES COLLEGES MERGE" (p. o).

A new milestone has been achieved in New York State. After several months of consultation between the officials of the **Columbia Institute of Chiropractic** of New York City and the **Atlantic States Chiropractic Institute** of Brooklyn, an agreement to consolidate both institutions was signed on September 21, 1964.

Under the terms of the merger, the surviving institution shall be the **Columbia Institute of Chiropractic**. All Atlantic States students have been advised to report to classes at the **Columbia Institute of Chiropractic** at the start of the Fall semester on Monday, September 28, 1964. It is expected that the total enrollment at **Columbia** will exceed 400 students. Dr. **Ernest G. Napolitano** shall be president of the merged institutions; Dr. **Martin I. Phillips**, Dean Emeritus of the Department of Chiropractic Technique; Dr. N. Robert Limber, Vice President Emeritus.

It is essential that although the **Columbia Institute of Chiropractic** survives under the consolidation agreement, the effect of the merger is to bring about a true blending of the two institutions so as to retain and stimulate the support of the Alumni of both institutions.

Keeping abreast of the ever-expanding student body, the Board of Trustees of **Columbia** approved an extensive expansion program of their physical plant. A new Technique classroom equipped with modern adjusting tables, an additional Chemistry laboratory and two new lecture halls will be completed in time for the start of the Fall '64 semester.

In addition, the Trustees have authorized the purchase of a fourstory building directly across the street from **Columbia**'s existing facilities. This new unit will house a modern out-patient Clinic on the first level. The entire second story will be used as a library containing more than 10,000 volumes. The third and fourth floors will be utilized for additional classrooms to accommodate future enrollments.

The curriculum offered at **Columbia**, includes a bedrock foundation in the Chiropractic subjects with continued emphasis upon the Philosophy, Science and Art of Chiropractic. **Columbia**'s various Faculty Committees are constantly alert to the importance of integrating Chiropractic in all phases of the curriculum. The Chiropractic and Basic Science subjects are offered by a highly mature qualified Faculty staff.

Dr. Napolitano, President of Columbia, expressed deep appreciation to Dr. Charles Krasner, President of the Chiropractic Association of New York, for his participation at the various conferences that led to a final consolidation agreement. He further indicated his wholehearted support of the American Chiropractic Association's resolution to establish an Eastern Seaboard Regional College. Dr. Napolitano emphasized that the Board of Trustees of Columbia will cooperate in every respect with any reasonable program that will lead to the establishment of one outstanding educational center in New York State.

1964 (Sept 8): letter from **Stanley Hayes** DC to **Clarence W. Weiant** DC, PhD:

Dear Dr. Weiant:

Thank you very much for your recent kind letter. I found it very informative. It gives me insight into important matters that I could not otherwise have learned about. You may be sure that all you told me -- or will ever tell me -- I will hold completely confidential. No information received from you will ever be mentioned, unless by your own written permission.

I am sorry I did not get your letter in time for an answer to reach you at your Cape Cod vacation address. We just got back from a West Virginia vacation two days ago. I am certainly sorry to hear of your distressing experience in the last illness and death of your wife's mother. We are in position to sympathize with you, having suffered a similar grief only a year ago.

For the present I am going to cut this letter short because I am trying to get the September Bulletin out as soon as I can. And I want more time to think over the unhappy school situation in the light of the facts you have stated. As I see it, chiropractic is in a critical state. Unless we can get completely rid of the **Rogers** dynasty at the earliest possible moment and replace that gang of political pirates with people who are endowed with a reasonable portion of honesty and intelligence, the future looks dark to me.

The ACA House of Delegates delivered at Denver a near-miraculous performance. They accomplished far more than I dared to hope for -- but the decisive battle is yet to come. I shall discuss that in the next Bulletin. But one thing I shall not mention in the Bulletin, but want you to know so you can be thinking the matter over, is that the Bulletin's objective from now till the next Convention will be to promote a complete housecleaning at our next annual Convention. I shall rely very largely on your counsel and advice on the planning of this vital undertaking. As an extremely important part of such a plan, I think it would be highly important for you and Dr. Peterson to quietly organize all the good and reliable school men -- who, I am sure you can be welded into a small influential group for the general improvement and benefit of the profession. I think such a group could so strongly influence the thinking of the cream of our State Delegate crop as to have a decisive influence on our immediate future.

All chiropractic history points to the conclusion that we <u>can</u> frustrate the **AMA** in its clever plan to steal our profession. But the asinine stupidity of our big Bosses in both National Associations has let the **AMA** get a big start on us -- and so we have no time to spare.

I know that we do have now a large and formidable nucleus of honest and intelligent State Delegates. But of course there are hangovers of rubber stamps from the Rogers regime. And the Webster City gang will stop at nothing to augment their supporters at the elections next year. So our work is cut out for us.

The *Bulletin* will do its best to encourage the election of the right kind of Delegates -- but it is handicapped by its small circulation. If it had even half the circulation of the Journal the job of influencing election of the right Delegates would be easy.

As soon as I can spare the time I want to get the American Committee For Chiropractic organized into an effective group to battle for the election of acceptable Delegates. A comparatively few right men in every state can exert a powerful influence on the ACA membership in their states.

Well, I said I was going to cut this letter short. But didn't I play hell doing it? When I get started writing to a man of your stature I never known when to stop. I think what I have written is a good deal of a mess, but it will have to go as it is -- with my apologies for having written so much.

Sincerely and most respectfully yours,...

1964 (Nov 17): letter from Stanley Hayes, D.C. to Edwin H. Kimmel, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file):

Dear Dr. Kimmel:

Ever since the Convention I have wanted to write to you to express my admiration of the fine work that you and the other intellectual Delegates did at Denver. That performance thrilled me through and through. When I write to a man of your ability I like to have time enough to discuss some important things and get his reaction to them. But I have been so busy that I decided to write you a note anyway to thank you for your great work. And I want to compliment you highly on your fine article in the November Journal. Keep it up!

I know you are keenly aware that the next ACA Convention will be a crucial point in the future of chiropractic. The walls of Bossism's Bastille were breached at Denver. But the stronghold itself has not been leveled to the ground where it belongs. The Bourbons will move heaven and earth and all the hell they can handle to prevent further losses of their precious power and to recoup their Denver losses as far as they can. If we don't go in there with the proper plans and a working majority of Delegates that we can absolutely depend upon, much of the foundation laid at Denver will be lost and the first thing we know we'll be right back where we have been for over thirty years – and with the medics on top of us besides.

You probably got a fair chance at Denver to appraise at least a great many of the Delegates as to what they will probably do in a showdown between Bossism and a decent rule in ACA. I enclose a questionnaire which I hope you can find time to fill out according to the code explained on page 1. Nobody else's eyes but mine will ever see this report, so please state your frank opinion. It would help if you would also mark with an X the names of the Delegates to whom you advise me to send a copy of this questionnaire – that is, the ones whose opinion you value. I am hoping in that way to get a fair idea as to what we may expect the Delegates to do in the next Convention.

I would also highly value your opinion of the new members on the Board of Governors. Which ones will Rogers, Schierholz, and Cecil Martin be able to control, in your opinion?

Hastily but most appreciatively and sincerely yours,... SH/gb

Enc.

1964 (Nov 21): letter on ACA stationery from NYS delegate to ACA Edwin H. **Kimmel**, D.C. to Stanley Hayes, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file):

Dear Dr. Hayes:

Thank you for your very complimentary letter. You should feel very gratified, knowing that you're not alone. I guess I've received about two dozen replies, all of which were complimentary. So you see, there are some "field men" who are aware, or at least are waiting for someone to awaken them.

Dr. Hayes, you've given me quite a task with your questionnaire. I understand the necessity for it, but I'm afraid that I really couldn't give a complete accounting for every delegate. I will try to do the best I can though.

Please keep the contents confidential.

It would be interesting to know how many other delegates replied, and what their opinions were – whether these opinions can be correlated.

In January, we will have our mid-year Board meeting. Now that I represent FACE, I will be there for the first two days. I'm very anxious to find out just what role each will assume. I will let you know.

As in the past you can rely upon me to present the facts as I see them.

The New York situation is still vicious, and we're still in quite a quandary as to what is going to happen to chiropractic here.

By the way, do you have any constructive suggestions regarding the ACA or FACE?

Oh yes, Dr. Leiter resigned from the Board, and the former Georgia delegate O.B. Inman, Jr. is the new Board member.

All the best.

Sincerely,...

EHK:jr

-ACA letterhead indicates officers and board members:

Elmer Berner, D.C., President

A.A. Adams, D.C., President-Elect

Clyde Martyn, D.C., Past President

A.M. Schierholz, D.C., Chairman

Cecil L. Martin, D.C.

R.T. Leiter, D.C.

Devere E. Biser, D.C.

Garth Atwood, D.C.

C. Lee Hightower, D.C.

Earl L. Lentz, Jr., D.C.

L.M. Rogers, D.C. Executive Director

Harold F. Achenbach, Secretary-Treasurer

Hilary W. Pruitt, D.C., Professional Relations

Dewey Anderson, Ph.D., Education Director

O.D. Adams, Ph.D., Education Consultant

H.G. Higley, D.C., M.S., Research Director

Earl A. Rich, D.C., Projects Chairman

1964 (Dec 7): letter from Henry **Barge** DC and Fred **Barge** DC to **Leonard W. Rutherford**, DC, president of **ICA** (both letters in Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Rutherford,

The greatest menace to the down-grading of the Chiropractic profession's public esteem and image "since its discovery" has been and still is today, "the Chiropractors use of worthless and fraudulent, unscientific instruments on the unsuspecting public."

Members of **I.C.A.**, "**NCA-UCA**" and non-members are equally guilty of using these quackery devices.

What to do about it is the greatest dilemma in Chiropractic. We were in hopes that the A.C.A. in Denver would take some positive action on instrumentation, but as far as we can ascertain only a committee was appointed.

The question is: Should we depend on the **F.D.A.** to continue to condemn instruments used by Chiropractors and thereby continue to receive further adverse publicity? This seems to be the only solution to safe-guard the public because the State Chiropractic Associations and State Boards are helpless when our National I.C.A. and 'NCA-UCA" legally protect Chiropractors regardless of what instrumentation they use in their practice.

We believe the Chiropractic profession should take action to regulate and clean its own house and not depend entirely on the F.D.A. They should cooperate for the public welfare.

We want to commend the I.C.A. and Dr. Leonard Rutherford for taking the initiative to appoint a committee to set up rules trying to regulate Chiropractic instrumentation, but as we see it the rules proposed are and will only be voluntary. They will not solve our problem.

In the 1963 November Review of I.C.A., page #6, Dr. John Q. Thaxton's article captioned, "What the quacks are doing to your profession," his only stated solution was "that every decent Chiropractor join I.C.A." Noble words.

When Dr. Thaxton wrote the above mentioned article we in Wisconsin had 167 listed members of I.C.A. 54 of which were then using quackery devices according to the then last I.C.A. directory with abbreviated listings. How many N.C.A. members who were also using these devices could not be ascertained, but we believe the percentage was about the same. Even the Wisconsin I.C.A. State representative was not only listed as using one, but selling them, and the W.C.A. gave their unqualified endorsement of the T.R.I. device after it was confiscated by the F.D.A.

We ask Dr. Thaxton, "would joining the I.C.A. be a solution to our quackery device problem in Wisconsin?"

Now comes Dr. L. Rutherford's announced creation of an evaluation department, (which after all is only voluntary). Again, noble words.

We ask I.C.A. and Dr. Rutherford to take a look at "Kiplinger's service for families called Changing Times, September issue of 1964 pages 24 to 29. , "and they will see the adverse publicity we chiropractors, especially of Wisconsin, are receiving about the I.C.A. State representative of Wisconsin who not only used this contraption, but sold it to about 70 Chiropractors in Wisconsin and throughout the nation.

Now take a look at the Wisconsin Chiropractic Association State Journal of July-August, 1964 issue, page #2. Here you will find a list of 26 I.C.A. members who are officers of the W.C.A. The majority of these are or have been users of the above contraption. We also have three State Board members all I.C.A. members, two of these are also listed as using this device. The Board of Examiners in Chiropractic takes no official action to stop its use.

When we Chiropractors of Wisconsin go to our Legislature for Chiropractic Legislation, the Medics bring these quackery devices which are used by Chiropractors and display them on our Legislative floors. Imagine our chagrin!! This has happened during the past three Legislative sessions.

Our Legislators tell us it is useless to ask for any future passage of Chiropractic Legislation until we clean up our profession from the use of these quackery gadgets by our Wisconsin Chiropractors.

The 64 dollar question is: "how many of the above number of Wisconsin Chiropractors are still using these quackery devices?" Will

a voluntary program such as proposed by I.C.A. be effective to stop the use of unscientific instruments??? Our answer is negative.

Asking Chiropractors and manufacturers for voluntary obedience by either I.C.A. or A.C.A. is no solution, but mandatory action cooperatively taken by both will be effective.

In Washington, D.C. both the Democratic and Republican organizations cooperate and adhere to a bi-partisan foreign policy for the good of their parties as well as the U.S.A.

How about a bi-partisan policy of the I.C.A. and A.C.A. to eliminate quackery gadgets for the good of Chiropractic and the public?

In 1963, we answered Dr. Thaxton's Review article and recommended four steps that could be taken to stop quackery instrumentation within our profession.

Copes were sent to N.C.A., committee of A.C.A., and to the *Bulletin of Rational Chiropractic*.

Only the Stanley Hayes *Bulletin of Rational Chiropractic* printed our recommendations and letter. Why not I.C.A., N.C.A. or A.C.A.?

We now again answer I.C.A.'s Dr. Rutherford's announced quackery control proposal. We recommend that the following changes be made in the proposed voluntary rules as recommended by the I.C.A. Investigation Department as announced on November 14, 1964, by Dr. L. Rutherford.

Changes and insert to be made as follow: In paragraph #7 line 30, after the word not, insert "pertain to the Chiropractic premise or," after paragraph #7, line 34, a new paragraph as follows: "Chiropractors using instruments or devices not officially approved by I.C.A.'s Department of Investigation shall be barred from I.C.A. membership."

Question:

Why beg the promoter or manufacturer of instruments to come to I.C.A. voluntarily for approval? Simply stop their instrument use by barring Chiropractic practitioners who use them, from membership in I.C.A. if they fail to get official approval.

It seems I.C.A. and N.C.A.-A.C.A. both have been afraid to adopt stringent by-laws and rules to stop the use of quackery devices because they fear their members may defect to the other organization.

We can understand why I.C.A. will hesitate to adopt the above change and resolution, unless and until A.C.A. will, take similar action.

The A.C.A. boasts it is <u>by</u>, <u>of</u>, <u>and for</u> the profession and the I.C.A. claims it is <u>progressive</u>. Now that the I.C.A. has adopted a voluntary program let us all demand that there will be a bi-partisan mandatory program that will definitely put a stop to the use of quackery instrumentation in both the I.C.A. and A.C.A.

Or, must we continue to rely on the F.D.A. to regulate us and thereby constantly receive the ensuing adverse publicity in the press over radio and television?

It is high time that the Chiropractors of the I.C.A. and A.C.A. both stop to vie for an increase in their membership "with an issue such as quackery instrumentation" for thier organizations financial aggrandizement, when their failure to take action "to stop the use of quackery devices within the profession destroys the Chiropractors prestige and the public's image of the profession.

Find enclosed a letter by Dr. E.J. Wollschlaeger to Dr. Cleveland, which you will find informative on this same subject. Dr. Wollschlaeger is a past president of the W.C.a. and was a member for many years of our Wisconsin State Board of Chiropractic Examiners.

Chiropractically yours,...

cc: Dr. W.H. Adolph, 8305 Liberty Road, Baltimore MD Dr. Carl Cleveland Jr., 3724 Troos, Kansas City MO Dr. A.M. Schierholz, Ames IA Dr. Stanley Hayes, 3206 East Grant Road, Tucson AZ

1964 (Dec 7): letter from Herbert M. Himes D.C., at 2 Milepost Place, Leaside, Ontario, to "Dear Doctor"; letter is attached to letter to Stanley Hayes DC dated 1/10/64 (Hayes collection): Dear Doctor:

I have been a staunch Palmer alumnus for over thirty years, and still want to see my Alma Mater move on to better things in Chiropractic. I have also been a member of **ICA** for twenty-one years, and was a **CHB** member before that. I feel I have the right to make the following statements. This has been put off for some time, but cannot be delayed any longer.

For the last three years, the **Palmer College** possessed the opportunity and the means to advance the profession in regard to Chiropractic instrumentation. Developments in recent years have shown the **NCM** and **NCGH** methodology to be not only of considerably less analytical and diagnostic significance than heretofore realized, but possibly totally obsolete. **Palmer College** has netted an immense income from the **NCM** program, and to go to the new work would have meant at least, a temporary loss of that income. **Palmer College** has therefore been faced with a real conflict of interests, and has apparently chosen to accept the large financial returns accruing from the **NCM** program rather than apprise the profession of an advanced concept. This can only lead to a retardation of professional development, and eventually reflect to the discredit of Palmer. As an Alumnus I must speak against this.

Next, within the last five years, both ICA and the former NCA made inquiries of the Medical Devices Division of the FDA to obtain the files on Chiropractic instrumentation. The FDA agreed to expose their files provided the above organizations would publish the findings of their respective publications, namely the "Review" and the "Journal". This, ICA and NCA refused to do, for reasons that have been kept from the field. We do know the FDA has had assistance not only in the mechanical phases of their evaluations, but in the biophysical phases as well. Professional Consultants are a part of the FDA organization, and both mechanical and bio-physical factors are necessary to proper evaluation of the use of our instruments. This information is presumably on file with the FDA, but apparently the ICA did not want it published.

Now, under the subterfuge of a "Department of Investigation", ICA proposes to establish an "independent" study group for the purposes of furnishing FDA information which FDA presumably already possesses. Two plus two equals four! Two top men at Palmer, one of them a member of the ICA Board of Control, are members of this Department of Investigation. The ICA and Palmer College have had an intimate association and interrelationship since the inception of ICA. As long as B.J. was alive, it was expected. Upon the passing of B.J. and the untimely passing of Vinton Logan, I held to the opinion that College men would not sit as officers of any Chiropractic political organization. I still hold that opinion. For all the reasons mentioned, I do not feel we can expect any results from the Department of Investigation of ICA other than those which will support the Palmer interests. The field will be led to believe "all is well"

It is my contention that selfish motives will bury progress in the field of instrumentation technology, and 'protecting Chiropractic for posterity" has become a trite phrase indeed. The reconciliation of our Philosophy with the know facts of science is at hand, and the above combination could stifle this advancement.

This is the last straw, Doctor, and as I intend to continue to work for the advancement as well as the perpetuation of Chiropractic, I submit this as my resignation from ICA.

Sincerely yours,...

1964 (Dec 10): letter from Herbert M. Himes D.C., Dean of CMCC, to Stanley Hayes DC at 3206 E. Grant Rd., Tucson AZ, on CMCC stationery; attached is Himes' letter to "Dear Doctor," dated 1/7/64 (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Hayes:

Just a note to thank you for the "Bulletins" I have been receiving. They are quite revealing, and I don't know where I've been all these years, but I didn't know such an interesting magazine existed.

The enclosed letter may be of interest to you. I am sure ACA people will want to make capital with it, but it has already been distributed to a large number of chiropractors in the field, and it doesn't make much difference.

Keep on with the good work, and please accept my best wishes for a Happy Holiday Season.

Cordially yours,...

1964 (Dec 22): letter from Maurice L. Hollod DC to Harlin R. Larson DC, Editor, Montana Chiropractic News, 419 Third Avenue, Box 468, Havre MT (Hayes collection): Dear Dr. Larson:

Thank you again for sending me your december issue of "Chiropactic News." I wrote you once before that in my dudgement your magazine is one of the most stimulating it has been my pleasure to peruse. Even though I cannot always agree with your analytical conclusions regarding matters of an organization nature, I am happy to know that your judgement is always un-influenced by external pressures and is independently arrived at. And that alone, these days, is a rare and heart-warming experience.

Dr. Larson, the sum and essence of your whole critical thrust is summed up in one encompassing sentence at the bottom of page one, to wit: 'Old smoothie who ran NCA as his private fief and still directs things pretty much from behind the scenes... etc." (My emphasis.) If only your entire approach to a critique of NCA-ACA took its point of departure from that one sentence, it would present a true and startling revelation to the entire membership of the organization of ACA! If you had read my articles to the delegates prior to the Denver convention you would have seen that my entire attack upon the "leadership" of NCA was based upon that very premise and that is why I am utterly despised by the few in present command of ACA (the very same who were in command prior to Denver.) If we could only multiply Drs. Stanley Hayes, Lutdke of Maryland and yourself by a presentable figure, the future of our beloved profession would be far brighter and more inspiring than it is at present. And that must be the task to which we must dedicate ourselves.

Putting to one side for the present the debacle of **NCA** leadership of the past, let me enumerate just a few things perpetrated by 'Old Smoothie" since the Denver convention - a matter of just a few months.

1. A mass expulsion of the entire executive staff (minus two, one of whom was "Old Smoothie" brother-in-law.) The reasons given for the mass firings were palpable frauds as evidenced by their own words. Their reason for firing Bunker was "the new organization of ACA which made it unnecessary to employ an attorney, and in any event Mr. Johns, attorney for NCIC was always available." And just the other day we delegates were informed by Dr. Schierholz that a new attorney had been employed by ACA to adivse on matters of a

legal nature! What fakers! Mr. Bunker was fired because during the Denver convention he ruled against Mr. Johns, the **NCIC** attorney, in favor of the delegates on a crucial matter involving the **Committee of the Whole**. And every delegate to the convention knows that to be a fact!

Dr. **Dewey Anderson**, the most capable, respected and devoted employee of **NCA** was fired, so say the "Smoothies", because he had tendered his resignation "for reasons of health." They conveniently forget to state that Dr. **Anderson** himself implied that a corollary reason was the impossibility of working with 'Old Smoothie" and particularly his revelations of the actual funds available in the **FACE** program as contrasted with the report of "Old Smoothie."

Dr. Emmett J. Murphy, who served the organization for over a quarter of a century and in the formative years without pay, even donating his time and money to the building of the organization, was fired without any stated reason - at first; but little by little their reasons leaked out until Dr. Schierholz, in a letter which I have in my possession, states, "What does Dr. Murphy do for us in Washington? When is he going to get some legislation passed for us in Washington? When is he going to quit telling us about the tires and gasoline he got for us twenty years ago?" Dr. Larson, this vicious, reprehensivle and murderous attack upon a man who has probably done more for chiropractic than all the 'Smoothies" combined, in their entire life-time, exposes the measure of the beast! I won't at this time go into the immeasurable things that Dr. Murphy accomplished for us, but let me give one example: Just prior to the Denver convention, immediately before he was fired, Dr. Murphy received a call from Dr. Holmes, the medical director of claims for the Postal Employees Union. He was on the verge of eliminating all chiropractic claims because of their stupid and unwarranted claims and wanted first to inform Dr. Murphy of his intent. Dr. Murphy called me and asked me to accompany him to Dr. Holmes' office. I did so and there I observed how Dr. Murphy's diplomacy and tact saved the day for chiropractic! His presentation of chiropractic was a work of art! And yet these despicable "leaders" of our profession, after twenty five years of capable, loyal and devoted service, accuse Dr. Murphy of incompetence and throw him to the winds. Without so much as a murmur from the Board of Governors!! When the "Smoothies" were warming their behinds in some office in Iowa, Dr. Murphy was already laying the basis of making their practices more profitable.

- 2. Selection of a geographic site and removal of the headquarters to Des Moines on the heels of the Denver convention, without consulting the delegates first, and barely informing the Board of Governors of the move. The headquarters remained in Webster City for more than twenty-five years. Why the sudden haste in moving it? Of all the places to Des Moines, when the tendency of nearly all large organizations today is the selection of a site in a more effective center. Could it be that the "Iowa Clique" insisted on a tight hold of **ACA** on their home ground? Could it be that the delegates would have insisted on a different geographic center? Could that be the reason why a hand-picked committee was selected to pick the site? Just think about it, Dr. Larson.
- 3. The "retirement" of the previous Executive Director to a life-time post as secretary-treasurer of **NCIC** at a salary of \$12,000 per year. And mind you, it isn't his retirement to that job, not the money involved that is at issue, but the manner in which it was done. Furtively and surreptitiously as they do all things, without a single member or policy-holder being informed of it.
- 4. "Smoothies" appointment to the post of "advisor" to ACA at an unstated salary. Considering the manner in which the present Executive Director was appointed, it is no wonder that an "advisor" is necessary. If there were some delegates naive enough to think that

they finally got rid of old "Smoothie," their education must be complete by now.

5. An appointment of a replacement for Dr. **Murphy** - an attorney with no chiropractic background whatever and with questionable contacts on the "Hill" and in the labor movement, whose only qualification according to Des Moines is that "he has been a chiropractic patient"!! And at a salary of \$18,000 per year! And I was given to understand that the "**Smoothie**" and his cohorts asked the Board of Governors to ratify the appointment by proxy, without discussion!

6. The most amazing manner in which Dr. Schierholz was appointed the new Executive Director of ACA! You will recall that several months prior to the Denver convention when 'Smoothie" announced his impending "retirement," the "Journal" ran several notices stating that "Smoothies" public relations firm was accepting applications for that post, and enumerating the qualifications for the job. According to the listed qualifications, it would have taken a university president and the president of the stock market to qualify! Well, it was announned that no less than some 84 applications were received and were being studied. And finally --- Schierholz was selected!! His qualifications? He is a graduate of the Palmer School of Chiropractic and he was chairman of the Board of Governors of NCA!!!! Now, you know as well as I, Dr. Larson, that "Smoothie" knew all along whom he really wanted to fill his shoes. That choice was bandied about for months prior to the Denver convention. Even had there been another choice that refused to accept the job, surely, there were better qualified men among the 84 applicants! But that's the way "Smoothie" operates, as you well know!

I could go on and on, Dr. Larson, but for the moment, let this suffice. There will be more later. One more thing disturbs me. The new bylaws provide that the Board of Governors are henceforth to be elected by the delegates from the districts which they represent, instead of by the convention as a whole. The purpose of this is for the Governor of each district to be in direct contact with his constituents in order to inform him of events transpiring among the policy-making staff of ACA so that the delegates in turn would be able to participate intelligently in the deliberations of the organization at convention time. Has any delegate heard a word from his governor as yet? I, for one, haven't. And six months since Denver have already elapsed! Now, I am in no sense accusing our Governors of indifference to the needs of the delegates, but I am in a quandry. Just why have we not been informed? Perhaps some one soon will answer that question.

Dr. Larson, let me stop at this point. For the present may I extend to you and yours all the good wishes for a most happy and joyous holiday. Cordially,...

cc: Dr. Hayes

P.S. You certainly have my permission to print this letter if you wish.

1964 (Dec 26): letter from **Stanley Hayes** DC to **Herbert M. Himes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Himes:

Thank you very much for your kind comments on the Bulletin. The "well done" of such men as you is the finest possible reward for effort.

I have been fighting, in my feeble way, for <u>rational</u> chiropractic for 50 years -- and I intend to keep right on fighting for it as hard as I can. Nothing short of death or tlity will stop me. Come what may, I can then take off into eternity with a clear conscience. Again I thank you for your highly valued encouragement.

Does the *Bulletin* have your permission to publish your letter of resignation to ICA? The January issue has already gone to the mimeographers but I can publish it before long.

IF we had another Boss gang or two like **ICA** and **NCA-ACA** we might as well kiss chiropractic goodby.

I trust that you will have a Happy Holiday Season and that a 1965 will give our public and profession something to hope for. Sincerely yours,...

circa 1964 (Dec): undated letter from E.J. Wollschlaeger DC to Carl S. Cleveland Jr. DC is mentioned in letter (12/7/64) from Henry Barge DC and Fred Barge DC to Leonard W. Rutherford, DC, president of ICA (both letters in Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Cleveland:

I note that you have been named to head a special ICA committee or task force to undertake the investigation and evaluation of devices and instruments used by or sold to Chiropractors which may be of questionable or no value in chiropractic practice, to protect the profession and the public against the manufacturers and promoters of worthless and fraudulent devices.

You have a big job cut out for you, but it is a much needed undertaking to help clear our profession of the stigma of quackery with which our profession or its image has been badly stained by certain dubious practices.

Here in Wisconsin we hve been seriously hampered legislatively, because of the continued use by certain ones, of a device formerly called 'Neurolinometer" and later redesigned and called "Toftness Research Instrument."

In 1938 six of the Neurolinometers were siezed by the Federal Food and Drug Administration and following a hearing in the United States District Court a decree was handed down by the Court that the devices were misbranded as alleged by the FDA and ordered released to the FDA for display in educational exhibits for a period of one year, following which they were to be disposed of by sale or destruction. I have a letter in my files from the FDA addressed to me as Chairman of the State Board of Examiners in Chiropractic and dated November 19, 1958, which relates the above in detail.

The result of the above action was that the State Medical Society obtained one of the devices and keeps it on display at their Medical Museum in Prairie Du Chien, and whenever the Chiropractors have a bill up before the legislature they bring it out and display it to the legislators so as to prevent passage of any favorable legislation.

Following the seizure and Court action Dr. **Toftness** redesigned the device somewhat and changed the name and has continued to use it and promote it under the guise of research.

The **FDA** has siezed a number of the new model devices from different users and Federal Court actions have been pending but have been repeatedly postponed and no action seems to be forthcoming. Rumor has it that the I.C.A. has intervened and asked for postponement to avoid a national chiropractic scandal. You may know if there is any truth to this or any grounds for the rumor. For the good of the profession it seems imperative that we get some action on the matter from some source and I hope that your committee may be able to do something about it.

After I received the letter from the **FDA** I presented it to the Board of Examiners and asked for a ruling against further use of the device in this state, but was turned down, one of the Board members being a use of the device. Dr. **Toftness** was then asked to appear at our next Board meeting to explain the details of his program, but he conveniently went on vacation two days prior to the date of our meeting, although he had been notified a month in advance, and at the

time of the following meeting he was too ill to appear. In the mean time he apparently completed redesigning the device to change the appearance somewhat, changed the name of it, and set up his fictitious research program to circumvent the court rulings and continue his promotional efforts and use of the device.

I presented a resolution to the Board at our meeting on March 19, 1959, to disapprove of the use of diagnosis instruments, the use of which is not taught in the regular course of instruction in any of the schools approved by the Board. This was in line with a Board rule which specifically permits the use of diagnostic devices as taught in the approved schools. This would have ruled out the use of the Neurolinometer as well as its successor but my resolution was given no consideration by the other Board members. I went off the Board a month later and at the following meeting the Board took action to rule out the use of the "Neurolinometer", which was meaningless as that name had already been dropped by the users.

Repeated pressure has been brought on the Board of Examiners to get them to rule out the use of the device any form but all efforts have been fruitless as two members of the present Board are or have been users of the device. Furthermore the Wisconsin Chiropractic Association, which seems to be under the control of the users, has seen fit to officially endorse the continued use in spite of the adverse publicity it invokes everytime any progressive legislation is attempted.

It is a sad situation which we have been unable to correct on a state level and it appears to me that the **I.C.A.** as well as the **NCA-ACA** have been extremely lax in tolerating such dubious practices, which can only serve to destroy any favorable professional image we may otherwise acquire.

I want to wish you the best of success and if I can be of any help in supplying further information I will be glad to cooperate as I have a large volume of information on the subject.

The history of the **Toftness** device is that perhaps thirty or more years ago he was a use of the "Radioclast", a **radionic** device, it was a period when there were various brands of **radionic** devices in use by the profession. Dr. **Toftness** interested others in his area in the use of the Radioclast unit to detect nerve pressure and then got the bright idea of commercializing on it and so prevailed upon the Radioclast people to slightly change the outward appearance to his specification and put the name "Neurolinometer" on it. He then claimed to have developed the device and promoted it as his own. So basically and internally the device is still the old Radioclast which has long gone into disrepute. University of Wisconsin as well as **FDA** physicists have examined these devices and claim they are all basically the same.

I personally used one of the radionic devices (Pathoclast) for a number of years during their hey day and was considered an expert operator, in fact I served as factory representative in four states and the technique for a while. But, it always bugged me somewhat as to what really took place and how the adherence to the plate was really produced. After considerable studying and experimenting I finally concluded that it is not the device at all that brings on the reactions but really they are initiated in the subconscious while the operator imagines it coming throught the instrument. I can now bring on the same reactions without the device, but we must realize that while often the answers may be true it depends upon what has been previously deposited in the subconscious by education and experience and the results in my estimation can be extremely unreliable. I hope you can understand the point I am trying to make as I am sure it cannot be clar to the average chiorpracto5who has not studied the working of the conscious and unconscious mind. Anyway, it is the only feasible solution I can come up with as to what is really involved. I am convinced that many of the users of the devices are

really sincere but they are kidding themselves and do not understand what is really taking place.

Scientific tests in physics laboratories appear to prove that the devices are incapable of doing what was claimed for them and they have therefore taken on a very fraudulent and quackery aspect that should be deleted from our profession.

I will be glad to get your reactions to this letter.

With best personal regards, Very sincerely yours,...

1965 (Jan 6): letter from **Maurice Hollod** DC to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Stanley:

I am writing this letter with a heavy heart and a clouded mind. **Emmett Murphy** is at Johns Hopkins, wasting away in great pain from an inoperable cancer of the lung and rib. Please keep this in the strictest confidence, since he doesn't want anyone to know. Only **Dewey Anderson**, Asa Brown and I know about this. While those bastards in Des Moines aren't responsible for the cancer, they are most certainly responsible for the aggravated state of depression in which **Emmett** finds himself. I have taken a vow, that this summer at the Miami Beach convention, I shall square final accounts with those gangsters.

Stanley, I am working on a prepared statement, exposing them of everything they have done to this organization and to chiropractic. I promise that it shall be the most naked expose in the history of this organization. I have all the facts, evidence and material to support every one of the charges I shall make, and defy them to prove otherwise. It may be my swan song, but I assure you that not one sould who shall attend that convention shall ever in his life forget it! The only thing that disturbs me is that they may work out some ruse to deny me the floor. And that must not be. I don't know enough about parliamentary procedure, but I must get that floor if that is the last thing I ever do in my life.

I am in touch with Martin (Ralph) of California, Kieffer of Minnesota and several other delegates. I intend just before the convention to have them organize a caucus and develop some plan of attack. I feel confident that if they work out a program to follow my talk, they can take the organization away from Rogers at Miami. It is wholly up to them. In any event, no one shall stop me from going through with my prepared talk. I owe that much to Emmett and the few of us who have persevered for so long a time. The trouble is that there are so many timid sould among us! They haven't the courage to strike, but hope that somehow, events shall work out themselves for the good of everyone. What those gangsters have done since Denver is enough to bury them, and believe me, that is precisely what I am planning to do -- BURY THEM! There are some other things I want to tell you, but at the moment I am too disturbed. Will keep in touch with you, and let me hear from you. As ever,...

P.S. Meanwhile do all you can to canvas those on whome we can place our trust. You must be present at our caucuses at Miami Beach.

1965 (Jan 13): letter to Edwin H. **Kimmel**, D.C. from Stanley Hayes, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file); includes:

...If you can pick up anything about why Leiter resigned from the Board and what his role is to be in the future, I should like to know about that. Also, I am interested in knowing why Achenbach resigned is position and what he is scheduled to do next...

1965 (Jan/Feb): **Digest of Chiropractic Economics** [7(4)] includes:

-"Arizona elects..." (p. 18)

- 1965 (Feb): Journal of the California Chiropractic Association [21(8)] includes:
- -"Dr. D.D. Palmer as anatomist, physiologist, psychologist, diagnostician, pathologist" by **Stanley Hayes** DC (pp. 14-5); **Hayes** is listed as Editor, **Bulletin of Rational Chiropractic**
- 1965 (Feb 2): letter to Edwin H. **Kimmel**, D.C. from Stanley Hayes, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file)
- 1965 (Feb 6): handwritten letter on ACA stationery from Edwin H. **Kimmel**, D.C. to Stanley Hayes, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file):

Strictly Confidential

Dear Dr. Hayes:

I am trying to lay the groundwork for my election onto the Board of Governors in Miami, representing District 4.

Do you know anyone on "our side" that I could depend upon in my District to help me get elected?

Dr. Martin's term is over and I would like to replace him on the Board – Good idea, don't you think?

Any assistance would be appreciated.

Excuse handwritten letter but I am rushed.

All the best, Ed

1965 (Feb 9): handwritten letter on ACA stationery to Edwin H. Kimmel, D.C. from O.B. Inman, Jr., D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file):

Dear Ed,

You don't need any of the other states to be elected Gov. only N.Y. as long as she has 23 votes – However, I would get busy with Vt. – Poe is ill and doesn't answer mail very fast, you might predicate all your efforts on Cecil's announcement to the Board that he was retiring this year. Got him to confirm this on the idea that you want to arrange a gift from the District or something – then write Kamm and ask him to support you and arrange your election. He eats this type of request up. Now he is well versed in finance and if he wanted a trade get him your seat on FACE – as you know one could use a man that can figure out how to out figure John Prosser. Ha!

The most important thing of all is start moving NOW – don't wait until June.

If you hear anything about the N.Y. School situation, let me know. I'm to see Nap. at our convention in May.

I received a real surprise full of nice things in a letter from Berner today.

The enclosed letter is in a response to a request that we get the facts in Penn. and see what can be done. Remember MCA recommended we throw them in so all this could backfire.

Best of luck with the District. Personally I think it's ours for the asking.

Sincerely,...

- 1965 (Feb 10): letter to Edwin H. Kimmel, D.C. from Stanley Hayes, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file)
- 1965 (Feb 18): two letters on single page of ACA stationery to Edwin H. **Kimmel**, D.C. from George F. Poe, D.C., ACA delegate from New Jersey (in my CINY/Kimmel file): Dear Ed,

The National Convention is scheduled to convene June 20 (Sunday) at noon with adjournment at noon on the 25th. The

scheduling of meetings should be suggested by us and our Agenda must be comprehensive.

You are one of the delegates whom I would like to have assisting me make the necessary arrangements. Please let me hear from you as soon as possible on the above and at any time with information that can make the Council of State Delegates fruitful in its come back.

Should the House be invited to sit in?

Sincerely,...

I like your articles "A Critical Evaluation, etc." How are we ever going to get some of our chiropractors to be proud of themselves as chiropractors? Especially those ICA men who are constantly trying to limit our possibilities?

Geo.

1965 (Feb 12): letter from **Stanley Hayes** DC to **Leonard W. Rutherford** DC, 1st VP of **ICA**, at 339 W. Broadway, Eugene OR (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Rutherford:

About 30 years or so ago, one **Francis J. Kolar**, D.C. conducted in Wichita, Kansas, for a period of several years what he called a "Painless and Bloodless Surgical" Clinic. He apparently did a big business by getting chiropractors to refer al their problem cases to him. His principal "come-on" for that purpose was a big program of advertising in the *NCA Journal*. It was not run as frank advertising but under the deceptive title, "Special Correspondence." He was apparently in cahoots with Dr. **L.M. Rogers**, the Journal Editor. I would very much like to get some facts about **Kolar** -- especially when he started his quackery in Wichita, how long he kept it up, and why he left. He was, of course, operating as a "chiropractor."

Since his Journal advertisements stopped, I have never heard another word about him, but have now ascertained that he is listed as a chiropractor and is practicing at 193 Roxbury Street, Santa Clara, California. I understand that it is hard to get an appointment with him -- which suggests to me that he is probably still operating a con game under a chiropractic license. I have been told that Dr. Cleveland, Sr. knew a lot about him when he was in Wichita and could probably inform me about him in general. Since I have never met Dr. Cleveland, and since he knows nothing about me or what I want with this information, he would probably toss my letter in the wastebasked -- and that would be that. If, however, he knew that I wanted to reveal Rogers' collusion with quackery, he might be willing to give me the fats I need.

Knowing how busy you are bound to be, I hesitate to ask this favor of you. But if you will write Dr. **Cleveland** a note telling him why I want the information I will greatly appreciate it. If you feel free to do that, then I will write Dr. **Cleveland** as soon as you inform me that you have contacted him.

With every good wish, I am, Sincerely yours,...

1965 (Feb 17): letter to Stanley Hayes DC from Dave Palmer (Hayes collection; Dave Palmer folder):

Dear Dr. Stanley:

Having just returned from a trip to California, I have been reading this morning your February issue of The *Bulletin of Rational Chiropractic*.

To say the least, I am most interested in your thoughts and viewpoints.

This prompts me again to say that I hope some day you and I may have the opportunity of visiting with each other. We have much in common, and I am sure that it would be a very enjoyable visit

Please keep me on your mailing list. I enjoy your straightforward, determined expression, and admire your efforts demanding the preservation of chiropractic and our profession as a **separate and distinct Science and Philosophy**.

My most cordial and warmest good wishes. Sincerely,...

1965 (Mar 1): Letter from Stanley Hayes, D.C. to Edwin H. Kimmel, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file); includes:

...As to the RESOLUTIONS Committee, I am delighted that you are on that with such a fine personnel as Keiffer, Mandl, Brassard, and Ralph Martin. Of course Lawrence, the Chairman, has always been "Smoothie's" servant and presumably still is. I know nothing of Partlow...

1965 (Mar 8): letter to **Stanley Hayes** DC from **Leonard W. Rutherford** DC, 1st VP of **ICA**, at 339 W. Broadway, Eugene OR (Hayes collection):

Dear Doctor Hayes:

Replying to your letter of February 12th regarding **F.J. Kolar**, D.C., may I say that while in Los Angeles last week end I discussed this with Dr. **Carl Cleveland**, Sr. of the Cleveland College in Los Angeles.

He will be happy to give you any information he has on this matter and he has requested that you write to him at your convenience.

Kindest regards and I trust that this finds all well. Sincerely,.... cc: Dr. Carl Cleveland, Sr.

1965 (Apr 13): according to Rehm et al. (1994):

Dr. Murphy died on April 13, 1965 after a difficult battle with cancer.

1965 (Apr 23): Letter from Stanley Hayes, D.C. to Edwin H. Kimmel, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file)

1965 (May 7): letter from **Stanley Hayes** DC to **Dave Palmer** (Hayes collection; Dave Palmer folder):

Dear Dr. Dave:

I trust that you will try to pardon me for this unseemly delay in replying to your very knid and greatly appreciated letter of February 17, 1965. The delay was due to the fact that I was overwhelmed by the thoughts of the power that you have to unify, preserve, protect and perpetuate the chiropractic that your illustrious Grandfather gave to the world.

But a sense of utter helplessness completely overcame me when I attempted to marshall my thought to the task of presenting them to you in any intellible form. That is why this letter is so long delayed. The longer I faced the problem the more impossible I felt it to be. But since decency admits of no further delay, I will try to say something in reply.

First, I want to assure you that from the bottom of my heart I have greatly admired and highly respected you for everything you have done since you became head of **PCC**.

But now comes the big problem. I have been intensely interested in chiropractic since 1905, when it restored my health -- and probably saved my life. I have practiced chiropractic since 1922. I have read practically everything that has been written about it -- which included many tons of trash. I watched the profession grow from less than 50 chiropractors to about 12,000 in 1930, since which time its growth has greatly diminished.

You know and I know that chiropractic can do everything today that it did in the days of the Discoverer. Chiropractic has lost nothing in potency but much in public acceptance. Hence it is obvious that the difference lies in the way it has been <u>managed</u>. Conditions have been gradually permitted to change until now the very existence of the profession is in dire peril.

I have been right on the job while all the evils were being perpetrated that have gradually brought our profession to the edge of oblivion. It would take a big book to point out those evils and to explain and prove how they brought us into the mess that now surrounds us. To put it plainly, our profession is right now in a hell of a fix.

I am convinced that unless we do the right things and do them now, our profession will be extinct within less than 20 years from this date -- and that for all practical purposes, it could be done for in 10 years. But I am equally sure that we have everything we need to give organized medicine the worst of it and to give chiropractic a new, glorious and permanent existence. Our past history plainly points the way to our survival and future success -- or to our early extinction.

May I repeat that to make this matter plain is impossible in a letter or a short article. But I think it is possible that with plenty of time to sit down together and talk it through we might see eye to eye the problem we face. But even that in itself might not be the whole solution, as I could explain in a sufficient conference.

I would, of course, greatly appreciate a completely confidential and candid conference with you -- with the agreement that nobody but ourselves should ever know that we had talked together. In such complete confidence I will say that in my well considered opinion the most important thing that **ACA** can do is to get rid of the **Rogers** gang, root and branch, lock, stock, and barrel. I have long been, and still am, up to my ears in efforts to get our **ACA** Delegates to do what needs to be done at the Convention in June.

I am equally convinced that a certain amount of political housecleaning is equally essential in ICA. If we don't eliminate dirty politics from chiropractic, dirty politics will soon eliminate us. Unless we can "shoot square" with the profession -- and thus regain the confidence and support of the really intelligent and able men in chiropractic, the end of our profession is in sight.

Many thanks and every good wish to you. Sincerely yours,.... P.S. To be sure your receive this letter, I am sending it by registered mail

1965 (May): *Bulletin of Rational Chiropractic* [3(5)] includes: -article by Theodore Bryson, D.C. (pp. 1-3)

NAILING THE <u>REAL</u> CULPRITS TO THE WALL: THE PEOPLE WHO <u>OPENED</u> THE <u>GATE</u> FOR ICA

The little political ring who fired Dr. Murphy at Denver are entirely responsible for the defeat ICA handed us in Washington. They and everybody else KNEW what the ICA health insurance forces were trying to do. When the enemy is at your gate, and you leave your gate unguarded -- WHAT could you expect?

Recently our present Executive Director of ACA stated in the Delegates *Newsletter*, "The possibility of future legislation and the accreditation of our colleges could be set back so far that chiropractic would be lost to the public." This was brought out when word was received of our loss of inclusion in the National Association of Letter Carriers Union, with a membership of more than one million. This disastrous defeat is to be ranked as one of the most tragic in chiropractic history. To make matters worse, our blundering leadership is trying to get out of it by blaming the ICA. That always works with no questions asked. The ICA has pulled some good ones but why blame them just to get our so-called leaders off the hook for

50

this gigantic blunder. Our leaders did it and the responsibility for the mess will be placed on their shoulders at the Miami Convention, in Iune

These so-called officers of the ACA engaged in a wholesale dismissal of nearly the entire Executive Staff. Among those fired was Dr. Emmett J. Murphy, our very capable representative in Washington, D.C., for over twenty-five years. He cultivated the friendship of the leaders of the Postal Union and secured an agreement from them to include chiropractic in their insurance plans. He induced their claims adjuster to change the definition of chiropractic from a narrow ICA, exclusive spinal adjustment concept, to one of broad practice and acceptance. Dr. Murphy maintained constant contact with them in aiding them in adjusting chiropractic claims. He had informed our "leadership" of the pressing need to set up some machinery to control the ridiculous claims that many chiropractors were sending in, thereby arousing the ire of the Postal Union. (This was not done until very recently.)

The above is only a small part of what Dr. Murphy was able to accomplish for chiropractic. As a reward for his hard work, on July 1, 1964, Dr. Murphy was ignominiously fired by the "Board." Rumor has it that a long-time-hate-Dr. Murphy man of many years was determined to get rid of him somehow. Dr. Murphy was not kept on until a replacement for him was secured. He was not asked to maintain whatever contacts it took twenty-five years to cultivate, contacts in the highest echelons of Labor and government; fired without a single reason, and without as much as a "thank you." FIVE MONTHS LATER, a replacement was secured with very little, if any chiropractic background. The replacement had no knowledge of what had been done by Dr. Murphy. To make it worse, no introduction to his friends or contacts. And in those five months the damage had been done.

In those <u>five months</u> the chiropractic situation in Washington, D.C. was a glorious mess. In those <u>five months</u> there was NO representation of either our association or our profession. EVERYTHING that took Dr. Murphy <u>twenty-five</u> years to build up was DESTROYED. Here are a few examples we were able to dig up. Mr. Jannsen of the Pure Food and Drug Administration, which presides over acceptance or rejection of instruments and devices, attempted on many occasions to contact Dr. Murphy, but was told that he no longer represented NCA-ACA and that he should contact Webster City.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics called Dr. Murphy numerous times to determine the present status of chiropractic for inclusion in their "Handbook on Professions" (this too, Dr. Murphy had gotten for us) and was told the same story. Many other Labor and government agencies called for information. There was no chiropractic representative to be found. These and many other incidents were created due to one man's egotistical hatred of another man. The cost to our profession never entered the picture.

To cover up this catastrophe to chiropractic these people try to blame it on the ICA. Our profession has been bombed by the atom bomb of hatred. These people believe they've fooled everybody by trying to blame the ICA. Our one hope is that chiropractic will be able to survive this calamity. If we are able to survive, it will take many years and much hard work to accomplish this. The damage done is almost beyond repair.

Among the many things that must be done at the Miami Convention, one of the most important is to vindicate Dr. Murphy of the false accusations. But those responsible for the state in which we now find ourselves must be held accountable and responsible. The blame must be put where it belongs -- on the shoulders of those who fired Dr. Emmett J. Murphy.

1965 (July 8): letter from Stanley Hayes, D.C. to Edwin H. Kimmel, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file)

1965 (Sept 23): letter from **Stanley Hayes** DC to **Bill Harris** DC, at P.O. Box 1296 Albany GA (Hayes collection; in my Harris folder; in my Harris folder):

Dear Dr. Harris:

In compliance with your recent kind request, I am mailing you under separate cover (1) The *Bulletin of Rational Chiropractic* for September, 1965; (2) an excerpt ("What is Rational Chiropractic?") from the *Bulletin of Rational Chiropractic* for January, 1965; and (3) a copy of "Back To Chiropractic!" which I wrote as vice-president of The United Chiropractic Association of Arizona in 1954 to commemorate the 59 birthday of chiropractic.

NOTE: The *Bulletin of Rational Chiropractic* has been published monthly since January, 1963. In it there are many other references to the Discoverer and his sane, scientific teachings. I would gladly send you all of these but for the fact that I have no copies of those *Bulletins* left. The excerpt, "What is Rational Chiropractic?" I can send you because I had several hundred extra copies printed on special order for a chiropractor who wanted to distribute them, and I kept a few copies on hand.

It pleases me to note that **you are immediate Past-President** of the **Palmer International Alumni Association**. I am sure, therefore, that the survival and success of the chiropractic profession are uppermost in your thoughts and desires -- just as they are in my own. **I have practiced <u>straight</u> chiropractic since 1922**. I have the highest respect for everything that **Dr. Dave** has done since he took charge of **PCC**. And I believe that, just as his illustrious Grandfather <u>created</u> the chiropractic profession, it is now in his Grandson's power to <u>save</u> it from destruction by the medical monopoly whose obvious intent is to utterly destroy the <u>profesion</u> and to steal the <u>practice</u> of chiropractic for its own use and profit. If you are interested in considering the ways and means by which he could accomplish that objective, please let me know and I will be glad to outline the plan as soon as I can find the time to do it.

With every good wish, I am, Sincerely yours,....

1965 (Sept 28): letter to **Stanley Hayes** DC from **Bill Harris** DC, at 413 Broad Ave., Albany GA; letterhead notes "Specializing in Structural Spinal Disorders" (Hayes collection; in my Harris folder):

Dear Dr. Hayes:

This is in reply to your letter of Sept 23rd.

I would appreciate you, at your earliest convenience, outlining ways and means by which **Dr. Palmer** and others could accomplish the objectives which you mentioned very pointedly in your letter. Believe me, I am anxiously awaiting your reply.

To date, I have not received (1) the *Bulletin of Rational Chiropractic* for Sept, 1965 (2) an excerpts from the *Bulletin of Rational Chiropractic* for Jan., 1965 and (3) a copy of "Back to Chiropractic". Thanks for having your receptionist check to see if this material was mailed.

Best wishes to you. Sincerely, Bill Harris

1965 (Oct 6): letter from **Stanley Hayes** DC to **Bill Harris** DC, at P.O. Box 1296 Albany GA (Hayes collection; in my Harris folder; in my Harris folder):

Dear Dr. Harris:

The material I recently mailed you by insured parcel post went out on the same day I mailed you the letter. The mail letter would, of course, reach you first and the parcel post package has doubtless reached you long before this. If not, please inform me and I'll get a tracer after it.

I am sorry that I cannot promise you a quick discussion of the plan by which I think **Dr. Dave** could accomplish a great deal for the future of chiropractic. To be understandable, it would require a great deal of explanation which involves many facts that most chiroprators in this **ICA-NCA-ACA** squabble have overlooked.

I am so busy writing and publishing the *Bulletin* that I can't say when I might have time to discuss the matter in question. And besides all that, I have to make a living by the practice of chiropractic -- which takes up a lot of my time. But I feel sure that **Dr. Dave** holds a big trump card that played properly might prove to be the salvation of our profession.

Sincerely yours,...

circa 1965 (Oct): undated letter from Bill Harris DC, at 413 Broad Ave., P.O. Box 1296, Albany GA, on Palmer College of Chiropractic Alumni Association letterhead, to Stanley Hayes DC (Hayes collection; in my Harris folder):

Dear Dr. Hayes:

A mutual friend, Dr. **Sterling Cooley**, has asked me to write you for your article, "Chiropractic will Be Seven Years Old on Sept. 17th - An Eye-Witness Report".

I am making a study of the life of **D.D. Palmer** and any material yu have that might be of help would be greatly appreciated. Dr. **Cooley** has been most helpful.

Thank you for your considerate attention.

Sincerely,

Bill Harris, D.C., Immediate Past President

Palmer International Alumni

1965 (Oct 11): letter to **Stanley Hayes** DC from **Bill Harris** DC, at 413 Broad Ave., Albany GA; letterhead notes "Specializing in Structural Spinal Disorders" (Hayes collection; in my Harris folder):

Dear Dr. Hayes:

Your material arrived.

I have had a chance to read it and it contains much food for thought.

Since you do have a plan which you feel might help and **Dr. Dave** is a part of it, may I urge that before November 1st, you reduce this to writing so when I see him, I might discuss it for the benefit of the profession.

I would be deeply grateful to you for your considerate attention. With every best wish, I am, Sincerely, *Bill Harris*

P.S. After consideration, the **ICA** has formed a conference committee and the heads of the **ACA** and the **ICA** met in an informal meeting in Davenport the middle of September.

The **ACA** is in the process of forming a conference committee for the possibility of a merger.

So naturally you can see that your plan and suggestions are needed at this time. That is why I anxiously await your reply.

The above information is <u>in confidence</u> as it <u>is not know</u> generally at this stage.

1965 (Oct 30): letter from **Stanley Hayes** DC to **Bill Harris** DC, Albany GA (Hayes collection; in my Harris folder):

...Since the day **Dr. Dave** took charge at Davenport, I have admired everything he did. He has certainly acted like a man who really knows

the score. I have dared to cherish the hope that he would be the power to SAVE what his illustrious Grandfather CREATED.

But I am also aware of the obstacles in his way. Arrayed against him on one hand are the "medopractors," backed by **NCA-ACA** politicians -- and on the other hand, the "cultopractors," backed by **ICA** politicians. For what I mean by "medopractors" and "cultopractors" see the *Bulletin* for June, 1963.

Personally, I have always practiced D.D. Palmer's chiropractic -- and so the "medopractors" have always called me "straight" and the "straights" have damned me as a "mixer." The "cultopractic" schools long damned many things as "mixing" that they have all NOW been TEACHING for many years -- physical diagnosis, pathology, for example. They have been forced to concede many things they used to damn with all their might -- thereby keeping constant strife stirred up among us -- when what we needed most was UNITY on a RATIONAL basis. And political profiteers have used the "medopractors" and the "cultopractors" to create and continue the civil warfare that has kept us so weak that our REAL enemy, the AMA, found us easy victims to its plans to DESTROY us. We are losing ground to the medics every day -- and it will soon bee too LATE to escape extermination.

The time has long gone when anybody can didctate what chiropractic is to be. Chiropractic is now and will always be exactly what the legislatures and the courts say it is. See "RATIONAL CHIROPRACTIC" in the January, 1965, *Bulletin*.

Since receiving your letter I have carefully read the October ICA Review, especially Dr. Dave's Homecoming speech (page 20). On page 22 he says much that could mean a great deal -- or NOTHING -- depending on how you think it is safe to interpret it. I realize that he is walking a tight rope between two opposed forces -- and that upon which way HE LEANS will in my carefully considered judgment mean the salvation or destruction of our profession.

But Dr. **Rutherford**'s "PROGRESS REPORT" leaves no room for doubt about what HE means. He is for professional unity -- but only on HIS terms -- which are the exact terms of **BJ**'s "CULTOPRACTIC." Beginning with the DISCOVERER himself, and coming right on down the line to this day, no really intelligent and informed chiropractor -- or layman either -- has ever accepted **BJ**'s "cultopractic" -- and none ever will. And I know what I am talking about when I say that even those who give lip service to "cultopractic" don't actually PRACTICE it.

But BOTH public and profession WILL accept the Discoverer's rational chiropractic. With unity on that basis chiropractic WILL survive -- and chiropractors will prosper. Otherwise, chiropractors will very soon be an extinct species -- and chiropractic will be renamed "Scientific Spinal Manipulation" -- and dealt to the public by captive physical therapists under medical prescription only -- for the prestige and PROFIT of the medics.

Our battling bosses, **ICA** and **NCA-ACA** have been bunking "the field" continuously with their shadow boxing over unity -- which is the very LAST thing they really want. What each side calls unity is total surrender by the other side -- which will NEVER come. It would be no crazier to expect the Republican party to surrender to the Democratic party - or vice versa...

Dr. Dave is the one man who holds the trump cards that can save chiropractic and our profession. 1. He has in his college more than half of ALL chiropractic students. 2. **He OWNS** the college. It does NOT belong to **Rutherford**, **Thaxton**, or any of the others who rushed in to fight for the mantle that fell from **BJ**'s shoulders when he gave up the ghost. **Dr. Dave** can and should run **PCC** to suit himself. And if he dedicates it to, and employs it for, the perpetuation of **Rational** chiropractic discovered and developed by his Grandfather

into a completely scientific system acceptable to ALL intelligent people, he can thus save chiropractic both as a NAME and a successful PROFESSION.

I entirely agree with Dr. **Harlin R. Larson**, (PSC, 1927) Editor of The Montana Chiropractic <u>NEWS</u> when he says: "It is interesting to speculate on just how many letters **Dave Palmer** received when he took over from this father -- telling him that he had better stick to the old man's policies or lose support from the field. We know he received a number from Montana. Any changes he has made have been mild, indeed, andhe has found it best to agree with the hierarchy that runs the **ICA**. He has very little influence in this body, but they have much on him."

I know that **Dr. Dave** has to tread cautiously at first, and I admire his judgment in doing so. But the time for pussyfooting has passed. The quicker he now shows his claws the better. The time is NOW -- or NEVER!

2 - PROPER instruction in the drugless methods that have made unintelligent "mixers" and "medopractors" out of so many otherwise fine chiropractic students would eliminate the use of most such methods, and insure intelligent use of the rest -- the patient always knowing that it was chiropractic that got him well. Such properly instructed chiropractors would readily that they must make that clear -- because the patient can get such methods from any physical therapy technician -- but they can get chiropractic only from a chiropractor -- who is a DOCTOR and not merely a technician. That would probably let more wind out of medical propaganda than any other single thing we could do. (See Dr. Larson's letter to his patients in the Bulletin for February, 1964, pages 14, 15).

... **Dr. Dave** need not worry about losing support by such a move. He would GAIN support from all sides. Many **PCC** graduates who have gone to other schools to get this work would never have done so if they could have got it at **PSC** or **PCC**. And even greater numbers who chose other schools over Palmer on that one account would have even better chiropractors today. I have known very many "**medopractors**" who today would be valuable chiropractors had they been taught the use of "adjuncts" in the <u>right</u> way while in chiropractic school.

Neither does **Dr. Dave** need to worry about the few radicals who would <u>not</u> (at first) support **PCC** if it taught the proper use of the said methods when indicated. The only other schools that they would send their students to are the two **Cleveland** schools -- and THEY would soon follow the lead of **PCC**.

3 - Then offer MERGER with **ACC** on the above basis -- plus a **free press**, free and honest elections -- in short, rule of the profession, by the profession, for the profession -- plus strict adherence to non-medical, non-surgical practice. Draw the red line according to the laws in each state -- and unlicense any chiropractor who stepped over that line

...I am 74 -- so Old Father Time is not on my trail -- and gaining on me at every jumpt.

When I get unable to work, my Social Security will feed me -- and a Veteran's Hospital or Medicare will find a bed for me if I need one...

Sincerely and fraternally yours, Copy to **Dr. Dave**

1965 (Oct 31): letter from **Stanley Hayes** DC to **Dave Palmer** (Hayes collection; in my Harris folder):
Dear Dr. Dave:

In my hrry last night to complete the letter to Dr. Bill Harris, copy of which I enclose, I forgot to add a note to you explaining the circumstances that brought this matter about.

They were these: After the September *Bulletin* was published, Dr. C. Sterling Cooley, one of the few living chiropractors who knew the Discoverer well -- and studied under him -- wrote Dr. Harris suggesting that he write me for a copy of that *Bulletin*. I sent him that Bulletin and also some other material that I thought might be of interest to him. It included a copy of "What is Rational Chiropractic?" from the *Bulletin* of January, 1965.

On October 11, he wrote me that since I had a plan that might help our profession and that **Dr. Dave** was a part of it, he urged that before November 1 I reduce this to writing so that when he saw **Dr. Dave** he might discuss it for the benefit of the profession.

I believe you will find the rest of the copy of my last night's letter to Dr. Harris to be self-explanatory. Sorry for the oversight.

Every good wish to you. As ever,...

1965 (Nov 9): letter from Stanley Hayes to Frank W. Elliott DC in Denver (Hayes collection; in my Elliott folder):

...For 20 years, the **AMA** has had everything its own way in bringing about the extinction of our profession. Not only have the politicians who control the chiropractic press failed to warn our profession of its growing peril -- but have deliberately suppressed every effort by anyone to warn his colleagues of our profession's impending doom. Unless we can very soon rid ourselves of the dumb and dirty political bossism that has held a stranglehold on all our avenues of communication, we'll soon be done for.

Everything the **AMA** has ever used or can ever use in its propaganda to smear our profession can be readily refuted -- and made to backfire on them if we had any brains in our top command.

We have enough incontrovertible facts to ruin the public image of medicine and whip the **AMA** monopoly to a standstill if they were properly used. We have a whole library of the finest medical debunking coming right from medical authorities themselves to make everybody suspicious of the medical monster. This mass of proved and documented facts has been accumulating for 30 years or more -- and has been multiplying at an appalling rate during the last 10 years, especially. Organized medicine looks blacker right now than ever since around 1910 -- when it was almost coal black.

One book alone, published by Macmillan just this year, is more than enough in itself to knock public opinion of **AMA** for a goal. It is <u>The Troubled Calling -- Crisis in the Medical Establishment</u>, by Selig Greenberg, a veteran medical reporter for the Providence, Rhode Island <u>Journal</u>, which granted him a leave of absence long enough to prepare the book. By all means get a copy of that book.

But as long as **Rogers** sits like a big spider in the center of **ACA** headquarters and tells everybody around there what to do, we are and will be helpless against **AMA**. Our "dog-in-the-manger" deadheads neither can nor will do anything -- but they can and will keep anyone else from doing anything to preserve our profession.

Last year when I sent Mr. "Nameless" a copy of the *Bulletin* exposing the dirty libel that the *Rogers* gang tried to pull on me, and asked him to return it because it was the last copy I had -- I could never forget your thoughtfulness in sending me your copy in case I didn't get the other one back. I did get it back, and have intended to return yours, with many thanks, but I have been so busy it slipped my mind. We are sending it to yu under separate cover today. Thank you a thousand times.

By the way, I recall that a good many years ago the Colorado Industrial Commission issued an order that all low back injuries be referred first to a chiropractor. Can you find out for me when and why that order was rescinded. I feel pretty sure that medical pressure was behind that job. I would like to know.

With many thanks and every good wish to you, I am, As ever,...

1965 (Dec 4): Letter from Mrs. Emmett J. (Frances W.) **Murphy**, at 5737 13th St. NW, Washington DC 20011, to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Hayes,

In a conversation with Dr. M.L. **Hollod** a few days ago he said you would probably be interested in having the following information:

I quote verbatim from two issues of the Journal of the NCA:

From the September, 1943 issue of the Journal:

"ANNOUCEMENT

"The National Chiropractic Association has teken official action, through its Executive Board of Directors, to purchase the title and assets of The National Chiropactic Journal, which was the property of Dr. L.M. Rogers, Editor and Publisher.

"The Journal changed ownership at the request of Dr. Rogers on August 1, 1943, and thus the NCA has taken another long step forward in completing its strong, sound organization -- 'of the profession -- by the profession -- for the profession'.

"Dr. Rogers, who established the Journal many years ago, has agreed to continue as Editor-in-Chief and announces that there will be no change in the successful policies previously followed."

The above appeared on page 5 of the Journal. The same announcement appeared on page 5 of the October, 1943 issue of the Journal of the NCA. Instead of the Heading "ANNOUNCEMENT", the words "WE REPEAT" are used.

I would appreciate being placed on your mailing list to receive future issues of your Journal.

Sincerely,...

1965 (Dec 6): letter from Stanley Hayes, D.C. to Edwin H. Kimmel, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file)

1965 (Dec 9): letter on ACA stationery to Stanley Hayes, D.C. from Edwin H. Kimmel, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file); includes:

... "Mr. Nameless" is Dr. Sidney Birdsley of Utah. He's a former ICA man but <u>can be trusted</u>. He owes his position as Chairman of The Council of Delegates to me personally. It was only after spending a few hours with him alone in my hotel room in Florida, to sound him out on policies and objectives, that I gave my consent to the boys. It was on my o.k. that he received no opposition – no one else. If I would not have approved the chairmanship would have gone to Dr. Ralph Schmidt of Oregon. Schmidt is a good man but not the dynamic public image we want to create. I have nothing against him...

1965 (Dec 9): letter from Edwin H. Kimmel, D.C. to James Lavender, D.C. of Bayonne NJ (in my Kimmel/CINY file): Dear Jim:

I am requesting that Dr. Stanley Hayes place you on his mailing list to receive the Bulletin of Rational Chiropractic. I am sure you will receive much information from this source, and can practically guarantee that Dr. Hayes will publish your material. This is just the kind of war he is waging and he does it well.

Enclosed find letter to ACA's Washington representative. Cordially,...

EHK:co

Encl.

1965 (Dec 9): Letter from **Stanley Hayes** DC to Mrs. Emmett J. (Frances W.) **Murphy**, at 5737 13th St. NW, Washington DC 20011 (Hayes collection):

Dear Mrs. Murphy,

I hasten to express my heartfelt and profound thanks for your very kind letter of December 4, which I have just received.

The very valuable information you have given me may be, and I think will be, the key to exposure of one of the foulest betrayals of trust that has ever been committeed against the chiropractic profession.

When Dr. **Hollod**, a year or so ago, told me that he had learned through Dr. **Murphy** that **Rogers** had operated *NCA Journal* as a private venture for some time after NCA's formation, I smelled something that stunk to high heaven. In essence, it was this: Rogers' ownership of the Journal coincided with the worst orgy of swindling that has occurred in the whole history of chiropractic -- and that is saying a lot.

The period of big profits to the swindlers was between 1932 when Rogers "took over" until he turned the *Journal* over to the NCA in 1943, as your letter shows. By that date the big swindlers had reaped their rich harvest. By that time the profession had practically stopped buying the stuff that the swindlers sold. They had robbed the profession of a conservatively estimated 100 million dollars. Rogers had evidently connived with the fakers to carry their ads in the *Journal* for a percentage of the "swag." It was obvious to all that advertisements in the Journal would be the best bait of all to get the names of the suckers on the dotted line. Chiropractors, especially NCA members, would instinctively feel that the instruments advertised in the Journal would have to be all right or the *Journal* would not carry their ads. The trick, of course, to the tune of 100 million dollars or more. You can bet that Rogers didn't thus sell the profession down the river without getting paid plenty for it.

And if the profession had known that **Rogers** owned the *Journal* outright and that the title "NCA" Journal was afake, they wouldn't have been fooled. If the ads that **Rogers** permitted in the *Journal* during his ownership period were those of the swindlers -- and I am sure they were -- that practically completes his conviction of being a necessary accomplice in the biggest fraud in chiropractic history.

Just the night before I received your much appreciated leter, I had written Dr. **Hollod** to find out if Dr. **Murphy** had retained a file of the Journals of the period of Roger's ownership. It went out in the same mail that brought your letter. If so, I asked him by all means to borrow those Journals for me long enough to check them and make copies of the ads in question. If they contain what I am sure they will contain -- that will practically sew the case up. I can then write up the facts and between now and the next Convention, at a time that Dr. **Hollod** and others at interest believe to be the time to strike, I can publish the matter in the **Bulletin**.

If you have those Journal issues and will lend them to me for the purpose above, I will be eternally grateful to you -- and return them as soon as possible. Or I can lock up the most important ones in a bank safe depost box so as to have them in the (remote) event that **Rogers** should attempt to libel action against the *Bulletin*.

I will, of course, be honored to see that you get, with my compliments, every future issue of the Bulletin as long as I publish it.

I am mailing you under separate cover certain past Bulletin issues that I believe you will find to be of interest. There are probably some others that I cannot recall at the moment. If so, I will also send you them as they occur to me.

With profound thanks, and every good wish to you, I am, Sincerely yours,...

1966 (Jan 5): letter from **Stanley Hayes** DC to **Mrs. Emmett J. Murphy** at 5735 13th St. NW, Washington, D.C. 20011 (Hayes collection):

Dear Mrs. Murphy:

In reply to your kind letter of December 20, 1965, I cannot thank you enough for your very kind offer to lend me the *Journal* files that I need so much. If you could lend me for a brief period the bound volumes for 1938, 1939, 1940, 1941 and 1942, I think they will accomplish my purpose.

I fully realize the sentimental value that makes them so precious to you. We shall naturally want them shipped in the way to insure greatest safety in transit. I want to spare you all the time and bother possible, so I suggest shipment by express, collect, which would give you pickup at your residence and the direct delivery to me here. Then I would return them by prepaid express to you. If you believe that insured parcel post shipment would be safer -- or any other way to insure maximum safety -- would be preferable to express shipment, we should use that. Of course, I will be happy to pay all shipping charges both ways.

I believe that I can quickly abstract from them all necessary data and can thus return them to you in a very short time.

If you happen to know of anyone who might have any Journal issues between 1930 and 1938 I would appreciate your calling Dr. **Hollod** to tht effect, and asking him to try to get hold of them for me as soon as he can. I want to make this undertaking a complete success -- and the earlier issues might help to clinch the matter.

I pray that you have had the most consoling Christmas that was possible in the shadow of your recent great bereavement. Sincerely yours,...

1966 (Jan 9): letter to Edwin H. **Kimmel**, D.C. from Stanley Hayes, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file)

1966 (Feb 3): letter to Arthur M. Schierholz, D.C. from Edwin H. **Kimmel**, D.C., and copied to Stanley Hayes, D.C. (in my CINY/Kimmel file):

Dear Art:

Enclosed find biographical resume which was requested for my appearance on WFYI next Wednesday morning at 11:00 A.M. I thought the staff and Board might like to know about my appearance on the Ray Heatherton Show.

I have been informed that <u>Reporter</u> magazine, plans to publish a defamatory article on chiropractic. This is the same updated article that was to appear in <u>True</u> magazine last year and by the same author of the True article and <u>Today's Health</u> article. <u>Reporter</u> magazine is a pugnaciously independent periodical catering to a sophisticated, affluent subscription list. It is available on newsstands as well. The magazine I supported by liberal philanthropic donations and foundations.

If you her about the article to be published, I have been instructed o tell you that no outside pressure should be brought to bear on these people. The first inclination they get of opposition to something that they are considering for publication, and thy will immediately publish it

We are working with some personal contacts in the editorial and advertising divisions of the publication, hoping to kill it from the inside. That's the only way, at least this is what I've been told.

If you hear about this from any other source, let me know immediately.

Enclosed you will also find a copy of my report to the Delegates of District Four. Before reproducingit and sending it to them please pre-read it. If it is all right as is – simply reproduce and send. If you feel that certain comments had better be deleted for security reasons, have the report copied with necessary corrections and then send it out. Please be sure to send me a copy

either way. Frankly, I can't find anything in the report that would be considered too controversial for distribution to my delegates.

Another thought just occurred to me. Perhaps some of the other members of the Board might want to use this as a basis for their own reports to their delegates. It's all right with me if they do.

It was wonderful meeting with you and the staff.

Sincerely....

EHK:co

-handwritten note at bottom of letter:

Stanley-

Enclosed is exact reproduction. It will be interesting to see if and how it will be edited. Ed

1966 (Feb 3): Letter from **Clarence Weiant** DC, PhD to **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Hayes,-

I have sadly neglected you for the reason that, like yourself, I have been swamped with work of one kind or another.

The brochure on <u>The Medical Reaction to Chiropractic</u> has gone through what I hope was its final revision and is now in **ACA** hands. We await their decision as to how it will be distributed, and in what manner they intend to compensate us for our labors.

Minor changes which they have suggested in the manuscript of the vocational guidance manual have been submitted to them for final approval. When I get the OK on these, I will then have to retype certain pages. Meanwhile, behind the scenes, some kind of hassle is going on, I believe, between the publishers and the **ICA** on the thing.

I have rewritten the first chapter and the last chapter of <u>Medicine</u> and <u>Chiropractic</u>. The first chapter will deal more tactfully with the "metaphysical" issue. Without any sacrifice of principle, I have striven to say the same things in language less offensive to the friends of Innate. The new final chapter will be less conciliatory to the M.D.'s, and it will take the form of an over-all view of the issues as a social science problem. There will be new citations from the Germans and others, especially an exciting surprise in a long list of titles from recent Soviet medical publications. Those birds certainly recognize the spinal factor (especially the cervical region) in autonomic disturbances, intracranial and visceral. So, the 4th edition will be real improvement on the original. Within two weeks it should be ready for the printer.

I was able to get hold of the Russian material through the Canadian chiropractor in Montevideo, who happens to have patients among the personnel of the Soviet Embassy in that city. The Soviet-Uruguayan Cultural Center had the title translated into Spanish. It is my job to translate the Spanish into English. (Don't get the notion that this chiropractor has any sympathy for the Latin-American communists, by the way.)

Now that the *ACA Journal* has seen fit to let Janse tell the full story of his recent travels, I think I should get busy on an article regaling my South American exploits. I will be talking to the Alumni and showing our slides of the trip on the 13th.

Sincerely,...

1966 (Feb 7): Letter to **Clarence Weiant** DC, PhD from **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

...I will merely list here the things in your letter that delight me: 1. Your brochure is about to appear, 2. Your getting hold of the latest from the Soviet scientists about the importance of the spinal factor in healing, 3. Your proposed article on your South American trip. You can doubtless work the Soviet findings into that and indicate that the backbone has come to the front in all major countries in the world except the United States -- and that it looks like it is high time WE

started telling our own people about it. That idea, coming from <u>you</u> and placed under the nose of all Journal readers, might help us out in our fight for survival.

Loaths as I am to ask for even a minute of your so over-occupied time, I wonder if you can give the FACTS of the recent New York legislation on chiropractic advertising.

Dr. Poe refers vaguely to it in his January Journal editorial column -- merely leaving the impression that it effects chiropractic "ethics" in some way.

In a recent letter from a nationally known man who ought to be sure of what he writes when he writes it, he said that the Florida Association had gone to the legislature and got a bill enacted to forbid chiropractors to advertise. That sounded so fishy that I wrote to two reliable people in that state for the facts. They were diametrically different from the rumor. One of them had the Secretary of the Examining Board to send me a copy of the law itself -- which did nothing more than to forbid the quackish kind of ads that we have always fought to suppress. As Shakespear so well said, "Rumor is a pipe, blown by surmises, jealousies, conjectures; and of so easy and so plain a stop that that blunt monster with uncounted heads, the still discordant, wavering multitude, can prey upon it."

That is quoted from memory, and may not be quite verbatim, particularly in punctuation -- but the meaning is accurate.

I hope this letter will find you deep in the interesting article about your South America trip. But please don't let anybody, especially me, work you to death.

Sincerely, Stanley Hayes, D.C.

P.S. Incidentally, I had dared to entertain faint hopes that the recent **ACA Board** meeting might do something important. I have not yet quite lost hope -- but have heard nothing, so far.

1966 (Mar 19): Letter to **Clarence Weiant** DC, PhD from **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

...I am delighted with the description of the work you are now doing, and I hope **ACA** will get your product into circulation at the earliest possible moment.

I am especially interested in your revelations of recent chiropractic activities in Germany, and even more pleased at your discovery of the recent Soviet medical estimates of the spinal factor in healing. (No, I won't get the notion that you are a Communist sympathizer.)

Yes the *ACA Journal* could and should give you all the space you want in which to tell the profession about your South American experiences.

To save you time and my own, I will "cease and desist" right here -- except to request that when you can spare a moment you will tell me what the New York Board of Regents have done to regulate chiropractic in advertising in New York. I am hearing all sorts of rumors. One other thing: can you tell somebody at CINY to furnish me an authentic table of the hours required in the various subjects to receive the degree of M.D. and D.C., respectively. I have an idea in which I think we can use that comparison to our considerable advantage. When I get the information, I will write up a brief of the plan I have in mind an send it to you for your opinion. If it can be put to practical use, you are the man to put it in final form and get ACA to print it for appropriate distribution.

All power to you in the fine work you are doing...

1966 (Mar 22): Letter from Edwin H. Kimmel DC on ACA stationery as District Governor at 8514 Fifth Ave., Brooklyn NY 11209, to Stanley Hayes DC (Hayes collection): Dear Stanley: Sorry about the tone of my letter. Perhaps if I had waited a day or two it would not have been so sever. Actually, it wasn't meant to offend youl, but just to enlighten you as to the purpose of the resolution, and where I got the wording.

In answer to your questions; first, I don't know why it took so long for the resolution to appear in the Journal. I can send you copies of correspondence in September, requesting Art **Schierholz** to get that in the Journal. He really didn't have an explanation when I confronted him with the same question in Des Moines in January.

As for the reason my letter took so long, it is because your **Bulletins** don't arrive as first class mail, and the letter which was sent to you had to wait for the return of my secretary, who had been out sick

Believe me, I did not mean to offend you, or put you on the defensive. If I did, I'm sorry.

You are so right, when you say that "They are not going to let anybody with your ability get very far", and that I am a "conspicuously prominent danger to the clique". As a matter of fact, I've been on a few peoples backs recently, and they don't like it when I start to call the signals.

Frankly, Stanley, I don't know where to turn from here. This is very frustrating and time consuming position to hold, and honestly it's beginning to take its toll in loss of practice and time away from the office. My family is on my neck, my patient's resent my leaving so much and my practice is off over \$1,500 from last year at this time. That's a significant drop and pays a lot of bills. To put it bluntly, I don't feel as if I can afford the luxury of going any further in **ACA**. If I were independently wealthy, and could afford it I would pave my way to President, but I'm just a chiropractor, who depends upon his practice for a livelihood, to support myself and my family.

It's nice of you to say all those wonderful things about me, and it is certainly flattering to my generally deflated ego, but after being in this profession for half of my life (twenty years) I realize that if I were in any other endeavor, and I worked as hard for it as I have for chiropractic, I'd be a very rich man by this time. The time, devation, energy and oil that goes with it that I've given this profession seems to be, only in some small way beginning to pay off in some amount of self satisfaction that we may after all accomplish something.

If I find that I am going to be thwarted at every turn, If I find that my time becomes so preoccupied with **ACA** and it's politics, I'm going to pick up my marbles and go home, reconcentrate my efforts in my practice, and go back to teaching (which I did for fifteen years) at the **Chiropractic Institute of New York**.

It took me a long time to get my political feet wet, now that I have, I don't want to drown in the muck. I know the importance of good leadership, but unfortunately good leadership is not only hard to come by, but it does not come cheaply.

I've been on top of **Higley** recently concerning research. He's been with us for about three years now and frankly all he's done is some work on methodology and statistics. Not one basic piece of research which scientifically substantiates chiropractic principles. He's beginning to feel my squeeze and he's hollern "politics". He doesn't realize that I'm on his side, just want him to do a better job. **That's why I was instrumental in disapproving his five year research plan**.

In plain words, it was lousy! I may not do too well on a budget sub-committee, but when it comes to research, there I can function effectively. We'll see what happens.

By the way, believe me when I say that our Board is clean. I can truthfully say that! If anything which smells badly comes out, it is the staff at Des Moines who is to blame not the Board. The "harmony" that existed during the mid-year meetings was preceeded

by a hell of a lot of calling down on a number of issues, long before we officially went into our meetings and on the record. What may appear to be a smooth meeting was prolonged by many messy personal issues and grievances. Again, we'll see what the results of this will be.

That's it for now - I hope I'm forgiven - Sorry for the misunderstanding. Sincerely,...

1966 (Mar 22): letter to **Stanley Hayes** DC From **Mrs. Emmett J. Murphy** at 5735 13th St. NW, Washington, D.C. 20011 (Hayes collection):

Dear Dr. Hayes:

Just a brief note to let you know that I have mailed the following *NCA Journals* to you: 1939, 1940, 1941, 1942. I was under the impression we had the 1938 Journals, but have been unable to locate them. We have so many files I have not been able to go through all of them. I do know that we do not have the bound volume of the *Journals*.

The *Journals* will come to you in two boxes. In the second box you receive you will not in the Journal on top that I have enclosed two labels for returning the *Journals* to me, and in the corner of the box, some brown gummed paper for sealing the boxes.

I have contacted Mrs. Robert J. Jones, widow of Dr. Jones, to see if she has any back issues of the *Journal*. She informs me she does not have a single copy.

If I can be of any further assistance, please contact me. Sincerely yours, Frances W. Murphy

1966 (May 5): letter from Stanley Hayes DC to Mrs. Emmett J. Murphy at 5735 13th St. NW, Washington, D.C. 20011 (Hayes collection):

Dear Mrs. Murphy:

Please accept my apologies for all this delay. I have been drowned in work. Ask Maurice!

We mailed your Journals to you on April 26, and intended to write to you the next day and send you the postage for bringing them to us. But so many things were happening all at once that we temporarily forgot it. I don't recall what the postage was, but anyway, I enclose check for \$5. If that does not cover it, please let me know. If it more than covers it, please donate the remainder to your favorite charity.

I could hardly express my thanks to you for your fine cooperation in this matter. I must always feel indebted to you.

Every good wish to you and yours. Sincerely,...

1966 (June 8): Letter to **Edwin H. Kimmel** DC from **Stanley Hayes** DC (Hayes collection):

Dear Ed:

I have no idea how long it has been since I received your kind letter stating that you might be forced to give more time to your practice and less to the political crises that confront us. I know from plenty of personal experience just what you mean, so I assure you of my full sympathy.

For four years (1928-1932) I edited the *Bulletin of The West Virginia Chiropractors Society*, while we changed that state chiropractically from a complete BJ dictatorship to an enlightened and peaceful professional body -- which it has remained ever since. I was thus compelled to neglect my practice, family, and everything else but the job in hand.

From 1953 to 1959 in Arizona, editing the *Bulletin of The United Chiropractic Association of Arizona*, which we organized from nothing in 1953 to an overwhelming majority of Arizona chiropractors, and thus got a decent law in the state, **I had to fight BJ**

harder than ever. In 1953 he had attempted to pass his "back-to-the-back" law in Arizona. He literally owned the then only chiropractic association here. They sneaked the bill into the Legislature, it passed the House by an almost unanimous vote, had cleared all Senate Committees but Judiciary, which was ready to pass it right on -- before we even knew a thing about it. It took fast work to stop that and then we had to organize another association to fight it to a finish.

It took six years (against everything **BJ** could muster) to convert his followers to the FACTS and get them to join us in passing a good law. We finally forced them to kick **BJ**'s man Friday out of the driver's seat -- which defeat put him in the hospital for several weeks. While in there, he had time to do some thinking and he and I have been excellent friends ever since.

After the law passed, we had real unity here. "Smoothie" [L.M. Rogers DC? BJ?] saw it coming, used his stooges to try to prevent it -- and when we merged the two associations into the Arizona Chiropractic Association, Inc., he made another vile political attempt to break it up. We finally had to kick his few dupes out -- and they promptly proceeded, under his guidance, to form another organization. It is a tiny gang of brainless mavericks that I predict will dry up and blow away very soon.

The mere thought of peace and unity on rational grounds among chiropractors anywhere is equally terrifying to both sets of Bosses that have so long dominated our two big unions.

In both of these battles the *Bulletin* has <u>full coverage</u> of each state, which, of course, was all **BJ** had -- and if the *Bulletin* could have had even one-tenth of the coverage the **NCA-ACA** Journal has -- "Smoothie's" dictatorship of terror and treachery would have been over right now. I know by plenty of experience what the truth can di if you can only get it to the people. It would be easy anywhere to defeat a dumb and dirty dictatorship if you only had the coverage that the dictator's completely controlled press covers.

What 'Smoothie's' steam roller can do at L.A. remains to be seen. But if our Governors and Delegates don't clean house, a lot of things are going to happen that will either make us or break us as a profession. The real thinkers in chiropractic know that it is do or die in the next few years. And they're not going to die if a hot fight will keep chiropractic alive.

Bitter rebellion against both ICA and ACA hypocrisy and corruption is starting to boil all over the country -- and anything can happen anywhere at any time. Even the dummies among us are beginning to feel the pinch that the medical propaganda, to strangle our profession, is causing everywhere. If we can get completely rid of "Smoothie" and his henchmen and replace them with people that the profession can respect and trust and who will really do what any half-smart person knows MUST be done, the rational element will rally around ACA -- and that includes a tremendous lot of present ICA members -- and that will be our best way to stave off the certain and quick destruction that AMA has plainly stated is to be our portion. So I devoutly hope that you can play a prominent part in making ACA the Savior of Chiropractic -- instead of the destroyer that it has been to this date.

I have greatly admired and enjoyed your very fine series of articles in the Journal. I am sure that all really intelligent readers have done likewise. I am sure that those articles have given you a fine image among all Journal readers. I hope you can use that prestige to insure the clean-up job that is essential to **ACA** success. If 'Smoothie'' sees the danger he will probably offer you something tempting to get you on his side. There is one thing sure: you will never get very far with "Smoothie''s" consent unless it is to his personal advantage.

And then he will doubtless and ditch you at the first opportunity -because he can't afford to have a man as smart as you are in his way.

I now apologize to you for such plain speaking. I am not trying to tell you what to do. But for the sake of our profession and public, I am stating the FACTS. If I thus offend you, I am very, very sorry. But I would be sorrier if, knowing the facts, I don't have the guts to state them in plain English.

I have complete faith in your high intelligence to consider them in the spirit in which I offer them.

With every good wish to you throughout your life, I am, As ever....

1967 (Mar): ACA Journal of Chiropractic [4(3)] includes:

-"Washington, D.C." (p. 55) includes photograph of Maurice Hollod, D.C., ACA delegate for District of Columbia, Asa J. Brown, D.C., governor of District 3 of ACA, and Dennis Richards of TV station WOOK:



1967 (Aug 7): according to a letter received by Paul Smallie, D.C. on this date from Stanley Hayes, D.C. (Smallie, 1985, p 66):

From Arizona came a letter commenting on my publishing of the original Chiropractic Encyclopedia, from an ardent historian of the profession, Stanley Hayes, DC, with whom I had been enjoying extensive exchanges of correspondence:

This is an attempt to express my admiration and appreciation of your Chiropractic Encyclopedia. In it, you have created a monumental work that will stand as a landmark in or profession's history as long as it has a history, -which we devoutly pray will be forever. The more I read in it, the more I marvel that you could put so much valuable material into such small space. Truly, you are a real editor whose ability as such achieves the rank of genius."

1943 (Jan 18): according to a list of inactive licenses (Archives of Arizona BCE), Stanley Hayes, D.C. was issued license #251 on January 18, 1943; license lapsed in 1969

1995 (Aug 10): letter to J. Keating from Elmer A. Berner DC at 611 Eggert Rd, Buffalo NY 14215-1223 (Hayes collection): Dear Dr. Keating:

I am responding to your letter of July 30 in which you requested information regarding (1) Dr. Stanley Hayes and (2) the Chiropractic Institute of New York.

(1) I did not know Dr. Hayes, and I don't remember meeting him. I do remember reading some of his articles that he published. I was not aware of the fact that he played a "behind-the-scenes role" in the tumultuous 1964 ACA convention in Denver. As Vice President I was seated at the front table when Dr. Clyde Martin of California, officially opened the convention. Immediately the House of Delegates voted to go into a "Committee of the Whole" and elected Dr. Earl L. Lentz, Jr. of Woolrich, Pennsylvania, as Chairman. He presided over all of the activities for the next several days as the "new by-laws" were developed sentence by sentence. Dr. Harold Kieffer, presently of Albuquerque, New Mexico, and now a member of the Executive Board of Governors of the ACA, was in the House of Delegates at the time and was one of the active persons in a "behind-the-scenes" role. My suggestion would be that you contact either Dr. Lentz (whom I presume is still living) or Dr. Kieffer for information about Dr. Hayes.

(2) I have no information on the history of the Chiropractic Institute of New York. Since I have lived in Buffalo all my life, and we are 400 miles from the Metropolitan New York City area, I was not familiar with any of the colleges from that area.

I have been completely retired since December 1, 1984. I entered the Lincoln Chiropractic College on October 1, 1945. I am fascinated by the growth of the profesion in the last 50 years.

Sincerely, Elmer A. Berner, D.C.

cc: Dr. Kieffer, Dr. Lentz

1995 (Sept 7): letter to J. Keating from **William M. Harris** DC at F.A.C.E., 1001 Cambridge Square, Suite D, Alpharetta GA 30201 (Harris correspondence):

Dear Dr. Keating,

...At no time do I remember meeting with Dr. Stanley Hayes.

Although, we did have considerable correspondence over a period of years, verified by your research; however, I cannot find any other information except what you already have in hand.

The one thing I liked about his writing was that he did not chose sides as much as he expressed opinions upon the person being evaluated.

P.S. I have some of the letters of Dr. **Sterling Cooley**, but I doubt that I could find them because I have moved several times over the years.

Telephone interview on 6/16/95 with **James DiPietro** DC (602-327-5896); Stanley Hayes died circa 1985; he had divorced his wife (who has since re-married); was initially reluctant to get involved in state politics, but DiPietro "dragged" him to meetings, then Hayes became very involved; authored a number of articles in the state journal; Dr. DiPietro will send copies or originals of state journal wherein Hayes' articles appear; Hayes had nephew (now deceased) named Anon Row DC; although Hayes was oriented to broad-scope chiropractic, he only gave adjustments

Telephone interview on 6/16/95 with **Delbert Opie** DC (602-833-8863); while a teenager (age 17 in 1948) Dr. Opie lived with L.H. McClelland DC, a straight DC and opponent of Stanley Hayes DC (therefore, Hayes must have arrived in AZ by 1948); Hayes was influential in the broad-scope state chiropractic society (perhaps called United Chiropractic Association of Arizona); Dr. McClelland was ICA state representative, practiced for 55 years, died at age 55; some reconciliation between Hayes and McClelland in their later years

References:

Palmer BJ. *History repeats. Volume XXVII.* Davenport IA: Palmer School of Chiropractic, 1951

Rehm WS, Fay LE, Keating JC. Chiropractic goes to Washington: with Dr. Emmett J. Murphy, 1938-1964. *Chiropractic History* 1994 (Dec); 14(2): 34-42

Smallie, Paul. Getting the chiropractic show on the road. Stockton CA: World-Wide Books, 1985

Wardwell WI. Chiropractic: history and evolution of a new profession. St. Louis: Mosby, 1992

Bibliography of Papers & Publications by Stanley Hayes

Items indented with asterisks included in periodical published by Dr. Hayes

- Hayes S. Valedictory. National (College) Journal of Chiropractic 1923 (Feb); 11(6): 5-8
- Hayes S. Prior arts rights. *Lincoln Bulletin* 1928; August: 1-4 (reprinted from the "current issue of" the *Bulletin of the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society* with abridgment)
- Hayes S (Ed.). Bulletin of the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society 1929 (July/Aug); 2(1): whole issue (resides in Princeton WV)
 - *Hayes S (Ed.). Editorial: a word of warning. *Bulletin of the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society* 1929 (July/Aug); 2(1): 13-4
 - *Hayes S (Ed.). A vicious and cowardly attack. *Bulletin of the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society* 1929 (July/Aug); 2(1): 14-7
 - *Hayes S (Ed.). The Bulletin and its function. *Bulletin of the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society* 1929 (July/Aug); 2(1): 18-9
- Hayes S. The schools have spoken. Chirogram 1931 (Mar); 7(1): 18, 21-2 (reprinted from the Bulletin of the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society)
- Hayes S (Ed.). *Bulletin of the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society* 1931 (May/June); 3(6): whole issue (resides in Princeton WV)
 - *Hayes S (Ed.). The future of chiropractic. Bulletin of the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society 1931 (May/June); 3(6): 1-10
- Hayes S. Letter to W.C. Schulze. National (College) Journal of Chiropractic 1932 (June); 15(2): 10
- Sipthorp A, Hayes S (Members, Resolutions Committee). Resolution adopted by the West Virginia Chiropractors' Society in convention at Morgantown, May 5, 1932. National (College) Journal of Chiropractic 1932 (Sept); 15(3): 12
- Hayes S. The chiropractic subluxation a new hypothesis for consideration. *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* 1957 (July); 27(7): 9-11, 68-9 (resides in Tucson AZ; listed as Editor, *Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona*)
- Hayes S. The chiropractic subluxation a new hypothesis for consideration. Part two of a series. *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* 1958 (Feb); 28(2): 9, 10, 69-73 (resides in Tucson AZ; listed as Editor, *Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona*)
- Hayes S. The chiropractic subluxation a new hypothesis for consideration. Part three of a series. *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* 1958 (Apr); 28(4): 9-12, 70-3 (resides in Tucson AZ; listed as Editor, *Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona*)
- Hayes S. The chiropractic subluxation a new hypothesis for consideration. Part four of a series. *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* 1958 (July); 28(7): 9-11, 70-2 (resides in Tucson AZ; listed as Editor, *Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona*)
- Hayes S. The chiropractic subluxation a new hypothesis for consideration. Part five of a series. *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* 1959 (May); 29(5): 9-11, 66-70 (resides in Tucson AZ; listed as Editor, *Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona*)

- Hayes S. The chiropractic subluxation a new hypothesis for consideration. Part 6a of a series. *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* 1959 (July); 29(7): 10-3, 70-2 (resides in Tucson AZ; listed as Editor, *Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona*)
- Hayes S. The chiropractic subluxation a new hypothesis for consideration. Part 6b of a series. *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* 1959 (Aug); 29(8): 9-11, 64, 66, 68 (resides in Tucson AZ; listed as Editor, *Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona*)
- Hayes S. The chiropractic subluxation a new hypothesis for consideration. Part seven of a series. *Journal of the National Chiropractic Association* 1959 (Oct); 29(10): 9-11, 63-70 (resides in Tucson AZ; listed as Editor, *Bulletin of the United Chiropractic Association of Arizona*)
- Hayes S. A basic research project for every doctor of chiropractic. Journal of the National Chiropractic Association 1961 (May); 31(5): 33, 58, 60
- Hayes S. Dr. D.D. Palmer as anatomist, physiologist, psychologist, diagnostician, pathologist. *Journal of the California Chiropractic Association* 1965 (Feb); 21(8): 14-5 (Dr. Hayes listed as Editor, *Bulletin of Rational Chiropractic*)
- Hayes S (Ed.). *Bulletin of Rational Chiropractic* 1965 (May 15); 3(5): whole issue
 - *Bryson T. Nailing the real culprits to the wall: the people who opened the gate for ICA. *Bulletin of Rational Chiropractic* 1965 (May); 3(5): 1-3
- Hayes S (Ed.). *Bulletin of Rational Chiropractic* 1965 (June 15); 3(8): whole issue